

Killing Me Softly

Part 1

Some of you may recognize the title of this article as the title of the popular number one song hit sung by Roberta Flack back in 1973, titled *'Killing Me Softly with His Song'*. Pop tunes were never my thing back in those days, but for some reason that tune stuck with me over the years. This article will show how the American public is being killed softly by its own government agencies.

As the news headlines were focused on fake bombs mailed to and the coming invasion of illegals headed north to invade the United States, my attention was drawn to a series of block-buster news reports about A Harvard Medical School study on Fluoride exposure in pregnancy tied to ADHD symptoms in kids; Monsanto/Bayer glyphosate (Roundup[®]) herbicide in 26 out of 28 breakfast cereals and including pet foods; and then the claim by a health activist that FDA agents admitted to promoting aspartame to “depopulate” the planet. Another one of the other pesky things added to our foods is MSG, an added preservative. Then medical authorities are reporting our world is afflicted by widespread infertility and childless civilizations are left hovering on the brink of collapse. Dr. Russell Blaylock, a renowned world neurosurgeon warned the public not to get a flu vaccine. Each of those reports is enough to create grave concern for any parent or grandparent, but chances are the fake news media has diverted the public attention away from the dangerous implications of those reports, what were once considered as “conspiracy theory”, to fake stories of the *'New York Times'* and the *'Washington Post'*. By the way, it was the CIA that coined the term “conspiracy theory” during the tenure of President George W. Bush and the 9/11 attacks on the WTC and Pentagon. But before discussing these news reports, we need to look back into last half of the 20th Century.

The facts and evidence confirm that we are all being intentionally killed softly, that is innocuously, and in the food we eat, the air we breathe, and the water we drink. The methods are designed to be cumulative, and where death comes over periods of years and even decades. It did not begin last year, or ten years ago, and in fact, you would have to go back at least 50 years ago this month to know where this became the number one issue of world leaders. It began with the Club of Rome, in 1968.

October, 2018, the Club of Rome celebrated its 50th anniversary. The elite responsible for the Club of Rome and its Malthusian philosophy have long used the fear of external enemies and the Hegelian dialectic of problem, reaction, solution to impose a political and social agenda on humanity. For the Club of Rome, you are the problem. We're told if we don't act now rising temperatures and seas along with increasing weather anomalies and crop failures will end humanity in the next twenty or so years. According to this doomsday scenario, the final chapter of humanity will be mega-droughts, famine, and widespread civil unrest. The global warming thesis is designed to control, depopulate, and rollback civilization. An Anthropocene endgame was designed to elicit fear in populations and ensure their compliance and complicity as a global totalitarian system micromanaged by a banker and corporate elite.

Let me enhance your knowledge and understanding of what lies behind this subtle “depopulation” culling of the world’s population. If I had shared this with you when I was a college student pastor back in 1969, chances are you would not believe me and think I was paranoid. You might have been justified if were not for what a pediatrician told his students at the Pittsburgh Pediatric Society, the year I graduated from Waynesburg College.

There was a meeting of pediatricians and students which took place on the March 20, 1969 at the Pittsburgh Pediatric Society, which was located on Ridge Avenue in Pittsburgh. One of the speakers was Dr. Richard Day, an eminent professor and physician, and Medical Director of the organization ‘Planned Parenthood’.

‘Planned Parenthood’ was established in 1921 (then under the name of the ‘American Birth Control League’) in the United States by Margaret Sanger, a proponent of birth control, and a member of the American Eugenics Society, which lobbied for women’s rights to have access to birth control methods and education. The organization grew, and established clinics all over the USA (and has expanded into the world market), and is now the USA’s leading sexual and reproductive healthcare provider – and is the leading provider of abortion services. ‘Planned Parenthood’ has been the subject of intense criticism since its establishment, from anti-abortion organizations and campaigners, to those who have criticized that way the organization is funded, both by the government, the Rockefeller Foundation (a family known to be part of the globalist elite, and has funded ‘Planned Parenthood’ since its inception in 1921), and private donors.

The Ohio chapter of the Religious Coalition for Reproductive Choice will be holding an interfaith gathering next month outside of a Planned Parenthood facility to “bless” its work and the mothers who come for an abortion. The web site ‘Christian News’ posted a report on October 26, 2018: **Apostate Clergy to Hold Interfaith Gathering to ‘Bless’ Ohio Planned Parenthood Abortion Facility.** *“Anti-abortion advocates do not have the monopoly on faith or God,” the event page claims. “Many faith leaders and people of faith hold that accessing and providing abortions are good and godly decisions.”* The gathering will be held on Nov. 9 at the East Columbus Surgical Center, which, according to its website, offers abortions up to 19 weeks, 6 days (nearly 5 months) gestation. Costs for an abortion vary based on gestational progression, from \$540 to \$1,100.

As I read the full news report, I immediately recalled Dr. Dunegan, an attendee at the March 29th, 1969 address by Dr. Day, saying that the church would one day embrace the acceptance of abortion. That came true three years later in 1973. The voice of the church was hardly above a whisper when the Courts legalized abortion as a woman’s right in 1973. With little opposition from the churches as I remember events, it was clear that the institutional church had lost its voice of moral authority earlier in 1962 in Engel vs. Vitale. America’s downhill slide began with the U.S. Supreme Court’s decision of June 25, 1962.

At the meeting on March 20, 1969, Dr. Day asked the attendees not to take notes or record what he was about to tell them. Something which Dr. Dunegan said he found unusual for

a professor to ask of his audience. The reason Dr. Day implied was that there would be negative repercussions – possibly personal danger – against him if it became widely known that he had talked about the information he was about to relay to the group. Dr. Day told the group that what he was about to say would make it easier for them to adapt if they knew what to expect beforehand, something of an ambiguous statement which became clearer as Dr. Day spoke.

Dr. Dunegan got the impression that Dr. Day was talking as an ‘insider’, rather than as a person who was presenting a theory or speaking in terms of retrospect. Dr. Day’s knowledge was concrete as he talked about the future and the strategies of people and organizations that had a defined plan for the world, and were in a position to make sure that plan was executed.

In introducing that there were those who had a plan for the world, Dr. Day also informed his audience that there was a timescale, and that much of what they wanted would be achieved through plans that were already set in motion. Dr. Dunegan recalls Dr. Day saying *“We plan to enter the twenty-first century with a running start. Everything is in place and nobody can stop us now...”*, and that he felt relatively free to talk about this to those he considered friends. Dr. Day referred to the plans of ‘those in power’ as being ‘much bigger than communism’.

When talking about the people who had the power to devise and implement such plans, Dr. Day stated that they were not primarily in public office, but were people of prominence who would be known to the public through their occupations or private positions. This ties-in with what we know about the globalist elite today, primarily consisting of families involved in operating large-scale financial institutions (the Rockefellers, Rothschild’s and others), European royalty (Queen Beatrix of the Netherlands, Queen Elizabeth II, and others), and other extremely wealthy individuals that make up the 300 or so members.

Two other statements Dr. Day made during his introduction were “People will have to get used to the idea of change, so used to change, that they’ll be expecting change. Nothing will be permanent,” and “People are too trusting. People don’t ask the right questions.”

If we look at how society has developed over the past forty years or so, attitudes have changed significantly, especially among the generations who were born from the 1970s onwards and have grown up in an atmosphere of change. The development of science and technology has happened at a faster rate than any other time in human history. People are able to travel to any part of the world, and many choose to spend time abroad, or work in different parts of their own country – something which to older generations would have seemed exotic or unattainable, preferring certain things to remain as they were as reference points in their lives and part of the solid foundation on which their society was maintained.

Our analysis is based on the taped interview with Dr. Dunegan in 1988 when he recollected his memories of the meeting in 1969. In the analysis of events since the meeting in 1969, we have primarily used 1988 as a starting point because Dr. Dunegan could not possibly have knowledge of future events, whereas there is a possibility that memories of the 1969

meeting may have become mixed with other perceptions between 1969 and 1988. Even so, any significant events which occurred between 1969 and 1988 are also included.

One can read Dr. Dunegan's complete 46-page notes of the event that he attended at: http://www.overlordsofchaos.com/html/new_order_of_barbarians.html. Dr. Dunegan taped the interview with moderator Randy Engel, National Director US Coalition of Life. I was first introduced to Dr. Dunegan's story through the Internet radio program 'Radio Liberty' of Dr. Stan Monteith. Dr. Stan played the tapes of Dr. Dunegan's recollections on his program many years ago. Dr. Stan went to be with the Lord four years ago in September of 2014. If you are not familiar with Dr. Stan or his radio ministry, you have missed far more than you can possibly imagine. As a life-long scholar and student of history I can tell you Dr. Stan Monteith has left a void in revealing truth!

Dr. Dunegan provided us the answer why the push to embrace the LGBTQ movement is so prevalent today when the homosexual community is less than 3%. The next subject Dr. Day talked about was homosexuality. According to Dr. Lawrence Dunegan, Dr. Richard Day said *"People will be given permission to be homosexual"*. Dr. Dunegan's remarks follow:

It is curious that this would be included in the globalist's plans for the future because homosexuality has (as far as we know from scholars) been part of human life since we emerged on this planet. It is not until we look into a little bit of history and the development of openness concerning homosexuality that we begin to see why this was specifically mentioned.

The term 'homosexual' is a fairly recent addition to the English language, only appearing in the 19th century, although there have been references to same-sex relationships in various languages throughout history.

The earliest reliable records of (what we now call) homosexual activities are from ancient Greece, where homosexuality was practiced and generally accepted (sometimes celebrated) by both men and women. Not all of ancient Greece approved. There were areas such as Iona that had restrictions on same-sex interactions.

There have been humans in every major period of history, and in every major society, who have engaged in homosexual activity – whether accepted or not. In some societies, homosexual activities were used in rituals, in others homosexuality was practiced for pleasure.

Since the time of the Greeks, many societies have imposed severe penalties for same-sex relationships. In England, anal sex between any gender was made illegal by the Buggery Act of 1553 during the reign of Henry VIII, with the penalty being death by hanging. The 'offence' of sodomy had been dealt with through ecclesiastical courts prior to the Act. The Act went through several alterations until it was finally repealed in 1829 and was replaced by a section 15 of the 'Offences against the Person Act' in 1828.

In our more modern history, homosexuality started to gain interest among scholars and scientists in the 19th century. The writings of Sigmund Freud with his theories on sexual identity started to become widely read and accepted. Magnus Hirschfield established the 'Institute for Sexual Science' in Berlin in 1919, which continued to amass material relating to homosexuality until 1933. Although dissolved in 1933, the material from the institute has been part of various universities in Germany since.

One of the most famous cases in the UK is the trial of Oscar Wilde in 1895 when he was convicted of gross indecency for homosexual acts which fell short of sodomy, and sentenced to two years in prison.

Homosexuality in England was to remain illegal until 1967 when the Sexual Offences Act permitted homosexual acts in private by men over 21 years of age.

There has never been legislation in the UK concerning lesbianism, with laws specifically referring to interactions between males.

Laws concerning homosexuality in the United States have been made state by state, and the remaining sodomy laws were invalidated in 2003 by the Supreme Court ruling in the Lawrence vs. Texas case, with the exception being the US armed forces. Although homosexuality (specifically sodomy) is no longer illegal, military personnel are still governed by the 'Uniform Code of Military Justice' in which an article states 'a) Any person subject to this chapter who engages in unnatural carnal copulation with another person of the same or opposite sex or with an animal is guilty of sodomy. Penetration, however slight, is sufficient to complete the offense, b) Any person found guilty of sodomy shall be punished as a court-martial may direct'. To my knowledge the UCMJ has not been changed.

But perhaps Dr. Day was not referring to the legislative framework in particular when he said "People will be given permission to be homosexual". It is more likely that he was referring to a shift in social attitudes to homosexuality.

In recent times we have seen 'civil partnerships' and 'sodomy marriages' discussed and approved of by many institutions that had previously expressed that homosexual union was 'wrong and immoral'. Societies in most developed countries have accepted homosexuality and transgenderism as part of normal, everyday life.

Media personalities have 'come out' as being gay, and being gay is no longer a barrier to engaging in society – although there are still some exceptions and prejudice still exists. People are no longer afraid to be 'gay' and openly display their sexuality to the rest of their society. Recent polls taken show a dramatic increase in the acceptability of the LGBTQ movement by society with the Supreme Court decision of 2015. The promotion of homosexuality as a life style is rooted in the idea that such life styles do not breed, essentially create children, and conforms to the culling of humanity. This embracing of modern day insanity defies human biology. One is either of an XX chromosome (female) or an XY chromosome (male), determined

at conception. Furthermore, there are 6,500 genetic and physical aspects that are so unique to whether one is a male or female. To argue one is born “gay” is absolute nonsense, despite the American Psychiatric Association was bullied into changing homosexuality as a mental disorder to its handbook of mental and physical disorders.

In 1973 homosexuality per se was removed from the DSM-II classification of mental disorders and replaced by the category Sexual Orientation Disturbance. This represented a compromise between the view that preferential homosexuality is invariably a mental disorder and the view that it is merely a normal sexual variant. While the 1973 DSM-II controversy was highly public, more recently a related but less public controversy involved what became the DSM-III category of Ego-dystonic Homosexuality. The author presents the DSM-III controversy and a reformulation of the issues involved in the diagnostic status of homosexuality. Orthodox practitioners in the mental health profession, felt that the change in policy was caused by pressures from the gay community and that there was insufficient scientific evidence to support such a move by the APA (Bayer & Spitzer, 1982). Based on the recommendations of the APA trustees the category of homosexual behavior was replaced by a category of “sexual orientation disturbance”. In sexual orientation disturbance it is only diagnostically valid to classify an individual as having pathological behavior if the individual is in some way harmed from normal functioning as a result of their sexual orientation

As Dr. Dunegan pointed out, people were being pushed into a world of constant change. That fact serves as a reminder that we must stand our ground against the social engineering from the global elite pulling the strings of society, originating at the UN. The homosexual community is not just about society tolerating their alternative lifestyle but to require us to embrace it and endorse it as normal as heterosexuality. They will not be content for denominations to tolerate it but embrace it. When the tail wags the dog, it is a clear indication the culture has gone insane. The Roman Catholic Institution advances the “Rainbow” church. Dr. Dunegan had much to say how the global elite would bring down the Roman Catholic Institution, and once that happened, the others would collapse. As I read the daily posts at the United Methodist News Service, there is an obvious heavy full-court press and push on local Annual Conferences to embrace the “One Church Plan” that would recognize ordination of homosexuals and lesbians, as well as officiating of same-sex marriage. We shall see what the Special Conference findings will bring in February of 2019. Two other options, the “Traditional Plan” and the “Connectional Conference Plan” get virtually no press in the daily news reports coming out of Nashville, TN. The daily litany of commentary endorsements is stacked toward the “One Church Plan.” The “One Church Plan” will give the homosexual world what they covet in the name of freedom.

One of the opening notations, the issue of infertility was noted. In December 2012, scientists at Institut de Veille Sanitaire, St Maurice, France, discovered that the sperm count in human males across the world was in sharp decline. The research was conducted over a seventeen year period showed that the sperm count had reduced by a third, and the scientist’s prediction was that the reduction in sperm count was set to continue. Reasons for the decline

of the male sperm count we not found. As recent as a few days ago it was reported that the sperm count has been reduced by half, indicating significant decline.

Water fluorination has been a subject of concern. Scientific claims that fluoride in drinking water can cause infertility have been proven in several studies. However, the 'World Health Organization' considers the ratio of 1.5mg/L of fluoride in drinking water to be a safe dose with limited adverse effects. It may be disturbing that there are any effects at all when we consider the millions of people who are exposed to fluorinated water – with every person being different in age, health and so on.

The reason governments give for putting fluoride in the water supply is to reduce tooth decay. In the USA, where around 65-75% of the population is dosed through fluorination of the water supply, the worst tooth decay occurs in poor areas that have been fluoridated for decades. This could be because for fluoride to be most effective it is believed that it should be applied directly to teeth, and not through the water supply. When fluorination was stopped in areas of Finland, East Germany, Cuba and Canada, tooth decay apparently decreased.

Fluoride is a toxic chemical, and has been shown to cause genetic damage at low doses, and has been linked to death, Alzheimer's and eczema. Fluoride also causes collagen to disintegrate, which is an essential part of the structure of bone, muscle and skin. Fluoride has also been linked to underactive thyroid glands, and was once prescribed to depress thyroid activity.

Many countries (especially in Europe) have banned the use of fluoride in water supplies due to the health risks involved.

Mosaic (a major fertilizer producer), is a major supplier of fluorination products for the worldwide water fluorination market. Mosaic was formed by Cargill Inc. and IMC Global Inc. (IMC Global Inc. is owned by Cargill Inc.). I will touch on Cargill's involvement with Monsanto and the GMO industry. The issue regarding fluorination came up as a surprise finding in a Harvard Medical School study.

(NaturalHealth365): Fluoridated water and the ingestion of fluoride have now been identified as factors in the development of ADHD, according to researchers. A report on this topic was published in *'Environmental Health'*, and the study is the first of its kind to closely examine the relationship between ADHD and exposure to fluoridated water.

A fluoride-like chemical called hydrofluosilicic acid has been added to public water supplies for decades in an attempt to help prevent tooth decay in the populations that drink it. The objective was to mimic the effects of natural calcium fluoride. However, the teeth actually require calcium (along with other minerals) for optimal health – NOT fluoride.

ADHD, which stands for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, has become an all too common diagnosis. Over 6 percent of American children are currently being treated for ADHD,

with numbers trending upward. One in thirty-four male children have been diagnosed with symptoms. **The symptoms of ADHD include difficulty in focusing and paying attention, hyperactivity, and problems with impulse control.**

The researchers took participants' socio-economic-status into consideration for the study, and still found fluoridated water to be an environmental risk factor in cases of ADHD. Up until this time, the potential effects of fluoride and fluoridated water had received little to no attention in ADHD research. This was despite an abundance of anecdotal evidence that it could be contributing to the onset of this disorder.

The link revealed: Fluoridated water, ADHD and hypothyroidism. Another recent study out of the UK found a correlation between fluoride and **thyroid disease**. Malin and Till, the researchers behind the ADHD study, strongly believe ADHD manifests via suppression of the thyroid gland caused by fluoridated water.

The researchers estimate that both adults and children in communities that practice drinking water fluoridation ingest between 0.9 and 3.6 mg/L of fluoride per day from drinking water alone. **In pregnant women, fluoride can cross the placenta and accumulate in the infant brain.** From there, it can exert neurotoxic effects that negatively impact attention, learning and memory.

The researchers also found that children exposed to water with levels of fluoride at 1.2 – 3 mg/L (higher than the U.S. recommended level) had increased urinary fluoride concentration. This marker is associated with poorer visual-spatial organization, slowed reaction times, and an impairment of the ability to read and write. And, as if that wasn't enough, the ingestion of fluoride is also linked with lower IQ numbers, by as much as a negative 10 percent!

The crazy city water treatment policy show Fluoridated water is far from safe. Some people believe that the science and research on fluoridation has been 'settled' and that fluoridated water is safe to drink. This is clearly not the case. If it took this long to expose the link between fluoride and ADHD, what else hasn't been thoroughly studied?

The trend of government agencies toward dismissing research that finds fluoridation unsafe instead of protecting citizens is appalling. With fluoridated water and fluoride ingestion now linked with ADHD, hypothyroidism and lowered IQ, continuing the fluoridation of public drinking water is clearly a reckless act. (some might even suggest, criminal!)

I recently purchased a DVD - **'Fluoride: Poison on Tap'** by Paul Wittenberger and Chris Maple. The full history of Fluoride is revealed and it clearly is evidence of the intentional poisoning of the population. Like lead, certain industrial solvents and crop chemicals, fluoride is known to accumulate in the human bloodstream, where it eventually deposits into bones and other bodily tissues. The American public must immediately demand the removal of fluoride from public water supplies for child safety, if not the entire population. I have drank only distilled water for decades. There are several adult disorders that have links or ties to fluoride.

'*Newsweek*' did a report on Fluoride three years ago, so this is not a new discovery. New research shows there is a strong correlation between water fluoridation and the prevalence of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder, or ADHD, in the United States. I've been aware of Fluoride since the late 1970's.

It's the first time that scientists have systematically studied the relationship between the behavioral disorder and fluoridation, the process wherein fluoride is added to water to prevent cavities.

The study, published in the journal '*Environmental Health*', found that states with a higher portion of artificially fluoridated water had a higher prevalence of ADHD. This relationship held up across six different years examined. The authors, psychologists Christine Till and Ashley Malin at Toronto's York University, looked at the prevalence of fluoridation by state in 1992 and rates of ADHD diagnoses in subsequent years.

"States in which a greater proportion of people received artificially-fluoridated water in 1992 tended to have a greater proportion of children and adolescents who received ADHD diagnoses [in later years], after controlling for socioeconomic status," Malin says. Wealth is important to take into account because the poor are more likely to be diagnosed with ADHD, she says. After income was adjusted for, though, the link held up.

Take Delaware and Iowa, for instance. Both states have relatively low poverty rates but are heavily fluoridated; they also have high levels of ADHD, with more than one in eight kids (or 14 percent) between the ages of four and 17 diagnosed.

In the study, the scientists produced a predictive model which calculated that every one percent increase in the portion of the U.S. population drinking fluoridated water in 1992 was associated with 67,000 additional cases of ADHD 11 years later and an additional 131,000 cases by 2011, after controlling for socioeconomic status.

"The results are plausible, and indeed meaningful," says Dr. Philippe Grandjean, a physician and epidemiologist at Harvard University. This and other recent studies suggest that we should *"reconsider the need to add fluoride to drinking water at current levels,"* he adds.

Thomas Zoeller, a scientist at U.Mass-Amherst who studies endocrine disruptors—chemicals that interfere with the activity of the body's hormones, something fluoride has been shown to do—says that this is "an important observation in part because it is a first-of-a-kind. Given the number of children in the U.S. exposed to fluoridation, it is important to follow this up." Since 1992, the percentage of the U.S. population that drinks fluoridated water has increased from 56 percent to 67 percent, during which time the percentage of children with an ADHD diagnosis has increased from around seven percent to more than 11 percent, according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Others felt more strongly. *“The numbers of extra cases associated with a one percent increase in the 1992 artificial fluoridation [figures] are huge,”* says William Hirzy, an American University researcher and former risk assessment scientist at the Environmental Protection Agency, who is also a vocal opponent of fluoridation. *“In short, it clearly shows that as artificial water fluoridation increases, so does the incidence of ADHD.”*

But scientists were quick to point out that this is just one study, and doesn't prove that there is necessarily a causal link between fluoridation and ADHD. They also noted a number of important limitations: Individual fluoride exposures weren't measured, ADHD diagnoses weren't independently verified and there may be other unknown confounding factors that explain the link.

Dr. Benedetto Vitiello, a researcher at the National Institutes of Health, says that the link between the two may not be a causal one and could be explained by regional or cultural factors. Charles Poole, an epidemiologist at the University of North Carolina, says that this research suggests fluoride should be more carefully studied, but doesn't show much of anything by itself. *“I think the authors were quite cautious in their interpretation... and [accurate] in their statement of the study's limitations,”* he says. *“So it would be ludicrous to draw a strong conclusion based on this study alone.”*

Nevertheless, previous research has suggested that there may be several mechanisms by which fluoride could interfere in brain development and play a role in ADHD, says Dr. Caroline Martinez, a pediatrician and researcher at New York's Mount Sinai Hospital.

Animal studies in the 1990s by researcher Phyllis Mullenix, at the Harvard-affiliated Forsyth Research Institute, showed that rats exposed to fluoride in the womb were much more likely to behave in a hyperactive manner later in life. This could be due to direct damage or alteration to the development of the brain. (Mullenix's adviser told her she was *“jeopardizing the financial support”* of her institution by *“going against what dentists and everybody have been publishing for fifty years, that [fluoride] is safe and effective,”* and she was fired shortly after one of her seminal papers was accepted for publication, according to Grandjean and a book by investigative journalist Christopher Bryson called *The Fluoride Deception*.)

Multiple studies also suggest that kids with moderate and severe fluorosis—a staining and occasional mottling of the teeth caused by fluoride—score lower on measures of cognitive skills and IQ. According to a 2010 CDC report, a total of 41 percent of American youths ages 12 to 15 had some form of fluorosis. Another study showed structural abnormalities in aborted fetuses from women in an area of China with high naturally occurring levels of fluoride.

There have also been about 40 studies showing that children born in areas home to water with elevated levels of this chemical (higher than the concentrations used in U.S. water fluoridation) have lower-than-normal IQ's. Grandjean and colleagues reviewed 27 such studies that were available in 2012, concluding that all but one of them showed a significant link;

children in high fluoride areas had IQ's that were, on average, seven points below those of children from areas with low concentrations of the substance.

One recent small study of fewer than 1,000 people in New Zealand suggested that water fluoridation didn't decrease IQ. But that study had some serious errors, according to Grandjean, who writes that "a loss of 2-3 IQ points could not be excluded by their findings." And only a small percentage of people in the study actually lived all their lives in areas without fluoridation, and even less didn't use fluoride toothpaste, severely limiting the validity and relevance of the findings, he says.

About 90 percent of the fluoride that is added to the water takes the form not of pharmaceutical grade sodium fluoride but of a chemical called fluorosilicic acid (or a salt formed using the acid). This material is a byproduct of phosphate fertilizer manufacturing, according to the CDC. Several studies have suggested that this form of fluoride can leach lead from pipes, says Steve Patch, at UNC-Asheville. Other work shows that children in fluoridated areas have elevated blood lead levels, and fluoride may also increase the absorption of lead into the body, says Bruce Lanphear, an epidemiologist at Simon Fraser University. Lead itself is a potent neurotoxin and has been shown to play a role in ADHD, Lanphear adds.

There is also good evidence the fluoride impairs the activity of the thyroid gland, which is important for proper brain development, says Kathleen Thiessen, a senior scientist at the Oak Ridge Center for Risk Analysis, which does human health risk assessments for a variety of environmental contaminants. This could indirectly explain how the chemical could impair attentional abilities, she says.

Just last month, a study was published in the *'Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health'*, which found that there were nine percent more cases of hypothyroidism, or underactive thyroid, in fluoridated versus non-fluoridated locations in England.

"Fluoride appears to fit in with a pattern of other trace elements such as lead, methylmercury, arsenic, cadmium and manganese—adverse effects of these have been documented over time at exposures previously thought to be 'low' and 'safe,'" Martinez says.

Grandjean concurs, citing a 2014 study he co-authored with researcher Philip Landrigan in *The Lancet* that characterizes fluoride as a developmental neurotoxin. Others, like Lanphear, prefer to call the chemical a "suspected developmental neurotoxin." One problem, he says, is that there is no formal process for determining whether or not something is toxic to the brain.

The CDC declined to comment on the study, but maintains the fluoridation is *"safe and effective,"* and calls fluoridation one of the *"ten great public health achievements"* of the twentieth century for its role in preventing tooth decay. The American Dentistry Association says that the process reduces decay rates by 25 percent. It should be noted, though, that in recent decades rates of cavities have declined by similar amounts in countries with and without

fluoridation—and the United States is one of the few Western countries besides Ireland and Australia that fluoridate the water of a majority of the population.

Limitations aside, the study suggests that there is a pressing need to do more research on the neurotoxicity of fluoride, Lanphear says. In fact, every single researcher contacted said that fluoridation should be better studied to understand its toxicity and low-dose effects on the brain. Some deemed the lack of research on the chemical concerning and surprising, given how long it's been around—fluoride was first added to water supplies beginning after World War II.

Regarding whether or not fluoridation is a sound public health practice, he says that he *“can't make that decision for the public, but I'd certainly recommend that more studies are done, in an urgent fashion.”*

Having viewed the DVD “Poison on Tap”, I do not trust the CDC because they have lied repeatedly to the public, many times before about the safety level in consumption of various known toxins. Fluoride came into use after World War 2 as a byproduct of the nuclear industry. Disposing of it was a major nightmare in the production of aluminum tubing used in nuclear fuel processing and could not be simply dumped into the waterways or slurry ponds in evaporation of toxic waste. Consumption of fluoride over many years has cumulative effects leading to Alzheimer's and Parkinson's. It also calcifies the Pineal Gland in the brain where fluoride accumulates.

One of the most difficult concepts for Americans to accept is that there are human beings dedicated to coerce population control and genocide. Many readers will acknowledge that our government is helping to finance the Red Chinese program of forced abortion, forced sterilization, infanticide, and control of the numbers of live births. Our State Department ties financial aid to measures that address depopulation.

Most readers will accept the fact that our nation is helping to finance the United Nations' world-wide "family planning program," a form of population control. Most rational men and women, however, find it impossible to believe that such programs are really part of a "master plan" to kill off large segments of the world's population.

I studied the politics of AIDS (HIV disease) for over a decade before I finally came to a horrifying conclusion. The real motivation behind efforts to block utilization of standard public health measures to control further spread of the HIV epidemic was "population control."

Dr. Cantwell stated that man-made HIV/AIDS was a government Eugenics Program. That was not an easy concept to acknowledge, despite the fact that I had long recognized that the twentieth century has been the bloodiest hundred-year period in all recorded human history.

If you doubt this global plan of reducing the world's population to 500,000,000 is engraved in granite stone at Elberton, Georgia, stand reminiscent of the great Druid-like

monument built there, and read the words engraved on the massive stone pillars of that structure that one finally comes to accept the truth.

At that point it becomes obvious that just as our Lord has given mankind Ten Commandments to guide our lives, so, too, those from "the dark side" have been given their instructions from the "one" they worship. The ten programs of the Georgia Guide Stones "guides" are inscribed in eight different languages on the four great granite pillars of the American Stonehenge.

That message foretells a terrifying future for humanity, and explains why efforts to approach the AIDS epidemic from a logical point of view have been consistently thwarted.

Before you scoff, and reject my suggestion as some sort of conspiracy theory madness, check out the facts, then try to disprove my conclusions. If my allegations are unfounded, you will soon recognize the deception and return to your daily activities, certain that there is no cause for concern.

On the other hand, should you determine that my assessment is correct, or even partially correct, then you have a moral obligation to decide just what part you intend to play in response to the unfolding world genocide - how you will protect yourself, your loved ones, and the countless millions of helpless human beings throughout the world who have been marked for destruction.

You must never forget the warning recorded for posterity by Martin Niemoeller, the Lutheran minister who lived in Hitler's Germany during the 1930s and 1940s. His words echo down to us over succeeding decades:

"In Germany they came first for the Communists, and I didn't speak up because I wasn't a Communist. Then they came for the Jews, and I didn't speak up because I wasn't a Jew. Then they came for the trade unionists, and I didn't speak up because I wasn't a trade unionist. Then they came for the Catholics, and I didn't speak up because I was a Protestant. Then they came for me, and by that time no one was left to speak up."

You will note that Pastor Niemoeller warned that after coming for the Jews the Nazis came for both Catholics and Protestants. Why isn't that fact ever mentioned? The question that I am most frequently asked is, *"How can you possibly believe that there are people who intend to kill off large segments of the world's population?"*

My answer is really quite simple. I hold that belief because I have read their writings. I believe they are telling the truth. Just as Adolf Hitler wrote of his plans for Europe in "Mein Kampf" (My Plan), so, too, those who intend to depopulate large segments of the earth have written of the necessity of limiting the world's population.

They fully intend to "exterminate" a significant portion of the world's population. The fact that the vast majority of Americans have never heard of their intent, of The Georgia Guide Stones in Elberton, or of "The Plan" and "The Hierarchy" attests to the degree of control that exists over what the American people have been allowed to know about the occultic forces which are working within our society today.

As you read on you will soon discover that I have primarily relied on material which can be readily found in books, audio-taped interviews, and public news sources. If you take the time to check any or all of my references, you will soon discover that there really are those who have publicly advocated the elimination of "human weeds" and "the cleansing of society."

Indeed, to this very day your tax money is still being used to finance Planned Parenthood, an organization founded by Margaret Sanger. During the 1930s Margaret Sanger openly supported the Nazi plan for genetic engineering of the German population, and the propagation of a "super race." In Planned Parenthood's 1985 "Annual Report" leaders of that organization proclaimed that they were, "Proud of our past, and planning for our future."

How could anyone possibly claim to be proud of the organization founded by Margaret Sanger when history records that she wrote of the necessity of: *"the extermination of 'human weeds' ...the 'cessation of charity,' ... the segregation of 'morons, misfits, and the maladjusted,' and ... the sterilization of 'genetically inferior races.'"*

Margaret Sanger published *"The Birth Control Review."* In that magazine she openly supported the "infanticide program" promoted by Nazi Germany in the 1930s, and publicly championed Adolf Hitler's goal of Aryan white supremacy. In the years prior to World War II Margaret Sanger commissioned Ernst Rudin, a member of the Nazi Party, and director of the dreaded German Medical Experimentation Programs, to serve as an advisor to her organization.

In his excellent book *'Killer Angel,'* George Grant chronicles the life and writings of Margaret Sanger, and painstakingly documents Sanger's plans for the genetic engineering of the human race. George Grant noted that in the 1920s Margaret Sanger wrote "The Pivot of Civilization" in which she called for:

"The 'elimination of 'human weeds,' for the 'cessation of charity' because it prolonged the lives of the unfit, for the segregation of 'morons, misfits, and the maladjusted,' and for the sterilization of genetically inferior races.'"

According to George Grant, Margaret Sanger believed that the unfit should not be allowed to reproduce. Accordingly, she opened a birth control clinic in:

"The Brownsville section of New York, an area populated by newly immigrated Slavs, Latins, Italians, and Jews. She targeted the 'unfit' for her crusade to 'save the planet.'"

Nineteen years later, in 1939, Margaret Sanger organized her "Negro project," a program designed to eliminate members of what she believed to be an "inferior race."

Margaret Sanger justified her proposal because she believed that: *"The masses of Negroes ... particularly in the South, still breed carelessly and disastrously, with the result that the increase among Negroes, even more than among whites, is from that portion of the population least intelligent and fit..."*

Margaret Sanger then went on to reveal that she intended to hire three or four Colored Ministers "to travel to various black enclaves to propagandize for birth control." She wrote: "The most successful educational approach to the Negro is through a religious appeal. We do not want word to go out that we want to exterminate the Negro population, and the Minister is the man who can straighten out that idea if it ever occurs to any of their more rebellious members."

As Margaret Sanger's organization grew in power, influence, and acceptance, she began to write of the necessity of targeting religious groups for destruction as well, believing that the "dysgenic races" should include "Fundamentalists and Catholics" in addition to "blacks, Hispanics, (and) American Indians."

As the years went by, Margaret Sanger became increasingly obsessed with her occultic beliefs. Along with her acceptance of the occult, she became increasingly hostile to both Christianity and the American precepts of individual freedom under God. Her distaste for America can be seen in her writings when she wrote:

"Birth control appeals to the advanced radical because it is calculated to undermine the authority of the Christian churches. I look forward to seeing humanity free someday of the tyranny of Christianity no less than Capitalism."

Margaret Sanger eventually embraced not only communism but theosophy as well. What is theosophy? It is a covert, occultic religion based upon the repudiation of God and the worship of Lucifer. In modern-day America, theosophy is one of the most powerful hidden (occult) forces working behind the scenes in New York City, Washington D.C., and across our nation today.

How many times have you been told that Adolf Hitler killed 6 million Jews in the Holocaust? What you probably have never been told, however, is the segment of the Holocaust tragedy recorded by Professor Norman Cohn in his historical account of the Jewish Holocaust, "Warrant for Genocide."

Professor Cohn chronicled the dark days of World War II, noting: Only about a third of the civilians killed by the Nazis and their accomplices were Jews ...Other peoples were marked out for decimation, subjugation, and enslavement, and the civilian losses of some of these (countries - Ed.) amounted to 11% to 12% of the total population."

If Professor Cohn's figures are accurate - and I feel certain that they are, because other Jewish researchers have come up with similar figures - then why haven't the Christians of America been allowed to learn the fact that in addition to the 6 million Jews murdered by the Nazis, somewhere between 7 and 12 million non-Jews were also ruthlessly liquidated in Hitler's Germany?

I believe this information has been intentionally suppressed because those who were killed were largely Protestant Christians, and the covert forces which control the reality of the American public today do not want the followers of Jesus Christ in our nation to awaken to their peril ...until it is far too late. Hitler hated not only Jews and Judaism; he also hated Christians and Christianity as well. Why was that? Hitler was Roman Catholic and the Roman Catholic Church endorsed his plan to destroy Russia, at least up to the point where it was obvious he would fail. The Vatican never excommunicated Hitler from the Roman Catholic Church. Hitler was a puppet for the international bankers wanting to profit from the war economies.

Because Adolf Hitler, just like Margaret Sanger, was a disciple of theosophy, and of Madame Blavatsky, the founder of a religion that worshipped Lucifer. Accordingly, both Margaret Sanger and Adolf Hitler were energized by the same "dark, spiritual forces."

The fact that most of our citizens have never heard of Madame Blavatsky, theosophy, or that two of theosophy's most ardent disciples were Adolf Hitler and Margaret Sanger clearly reflects the degree of control that exists over what the American people have been allowed to learn about the occultic forces at work in our nation today. Margaret Sanger is praised by Hillary Rodham Clinton, and speaks often on behalf of Planned Parenthood.

As a researcher on the subject of the occult, I regularly receive letters and publications from the Lucis Trust. The Lucis Trust of today is the modern-day extension of the "Lucifer Publishing Company," an organization founded by Alice Bailey during the early years of the twentieth century. Alice Bailey was a disciple of Madame Blavatsky and the nominal leader of the Theosophical Society between the early 1900s and the late 1920s.

Because the name "Lucifer" had such a bad connotation in those days, Alice Bailey changed the name of her organization from "The Lucifer Publishing Company" to "The Lucis Trust." The nature and beliefs of her organization, however, have always remained the same. The Lucis Trust calls the United Nations as its home headquarters.

The Lucis Trust of today is one of the major front groups through which theosophy works to influence life here in America. The supernatural powers that still energize The Lucis Trust today certainly come from the same dark, spiritual forces that energized Madame Blavatsky, Adolf Hitler, and Margaret Sanger in generations past.

Publications from The Lucis Trust regularly refer to "The Plan" for humanity that has been established by "The Hierarchy." Part of that plan is inscribed on the great granite pillars of the American Stonehenge in Elberton, Georgia ... "The Georgia Guide Stones."

David Graber, a research biologist with the National Park Service, was quoted in the Los Angeles Times Book Review Section, October 22, 1989, as saying:

"Human happiness and certainly human fecundity are not as important as a wild and healthy planet. I know social scientists who remind me that people are part of nature, but it isn't true ... We have become a plague upon ourselves and upon the Earth ... Until such time as homo sapiens should decide to rejoin nature, some of us can only hope for the right virus to come along."

Michael Fox, when he was the vice-president of The Humane Society of the United States wrote, *"Mankind is the most dangerous, destructive, selfish and unethical animal on the earth."*

In *'The First Global Revolution,'* published by The Council of the Club of Rome, an international elitist organization, the authors note that:

"In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine, and the like would fit the bill. All these dangers are caused by human intervention ... The real enemy, then, are humanity itself."

The Los Angeles Times of April 5, 1994 quoted Cornell University Professor David Pimentel, speaking before the American Association for the Advancement of Science, as saying that, "The total world population should be no more than 2 billion rather than the current 5.6 billion." We have reached 7.6 billion in 2018.

In the UNESCO Courier of November 1991, Jacques Cousteau wrote:

"The damage people cause to the planet is a function of demographics - it is equal to the degree of development. One American burdens the earth much more than twenty Bangladeshes ... This is a terrible thing to say. In order to stabilize world population, we must eliminate 350,000 people per day. It is a horrible thing to say, but it's just as bad not to say it."

Bertrand Russell, in his book, *'The Impact of Science on Society'*, wrote:

"At present the population of the world is increasing... War so far has had no great effect on this increase ... I do not pretend that birth control is the only way in which population can be kept from increasing. There are others..."

"If a Black Death could be spread throughout the world once in every generation, survivors could procreate freely without making the world too full... the state of affairs might be somewhat

unpleasant, but what of it? Really high-minded people are indifferent to suffering, especially that of others."

Negative Population Growth Inc. of Teaneck, New Jersey recently circulated a letter stating their long-range goal.

"We believe that our goal for the United States should be no more than 150 million, our size in 1950. For the world, we believe our goal should be a population of not more than two billion, its size shortly after the turn of the century."

In the Global Assessment Report of UNEP (a United Nations sponsored study group), Phase One Draft, Section 9, the authors quoted an expert who suggested that:

"A reasonable estimate for an industrialized world society at the present North American material standard of living would be 1 billion. At the more frugal European standard of living, 2 to 3 billion would be possible."

Speaking at a round-table discussion group at the Gorbachev Conference held in San Francisco in the fall of 1996, Dr. Sam Keen, a New Age writer and philosopher stated that there was strong agreement that religious institutions have to take a primary responsibility for the population explosion. He went on to say that:

"We must speak far more clearly about sexuality, contraception, about abortion, about values that control the population, because the ecological crisis, in short, is the population crisis. Cut the population by 90% and there aren't enough people left to do a great deal of ecological damage."

Mr. Keen's remarks were met with applause from the assembled audience made up largely of New Age adherents, Socialists, Internationalists and occultists. Many of the leading occultists of our modern world attended that meeting in San Francisco; a meeting organized by Mikhail Gorbachev, former Director of the Soviet KGB, and later President of Russia.

What is the message found on The Georgia Guide Stones? What is the plan of the "guides"? If you read occultic literature, you will soon find that those who worship Lucifer today refer to a "Hierarchy" that guides both their actions and the affairs of the world. Who are the "Hierarchy"?

The Lucis Trust, formerly The Lucifer Publishing Company, recently sent a letter to their supporters stating:

"The spiritual Hierarchy makes definite use of the twelve spiritual Festival periods. We can learn to cooperate with the members of the Hierarchy as they work to bring the divine Plan to the attention of men and women of goodwill and spiritual aspiration everywhere throughout the world."

"The idea of spiritual approach - of Hierarchy to humanity and humanity to Hierarchy - is the primary principle underlying meditation... understanding of how the spiritual energies which flow through each zodiacal sign can illumine and inspire right human relations."

The ancient Druids were members of an occultic religion; the circle of monuments at Stonehenge in England is occultic; and the message engraved on the American Stonehenge in Elberton is occultic. Yoko Ono is the widow of John Lennon, a man who was deeply involved in the occult. Yoko Ono has written a musical score with three movements dedicated to the message of The Georgia Guide Stones. She was recently quoted as saying:

"I want people to know about the stones ... We're headed toward a world where we might blow ourselves up and maybe the globe will not exist ... it's a nice time to reaffirm ourselves, knowing all the beautiful things that are in this country, and The Georgia Stones symbolize that."

What is the message to modern-day man which is engraved on the great stone pillars of the Druid-like monument in Elberton? The first of the "guides" reads:

"Maintain humanity under 500,000,000 in perpetual balance with nature." This simply means the entire human race at its climax level for permanent balance with nature.

In recent history, we have seen the influence of occultic population control advocates here in America. Nowhere is that influence better demonstrated than in the Tuskegee Study, a scientific research program in which 400 syphilis-infected black men were recruited by the U.S. Public Health Service back in 1932.

The participants were all told that they would be treated for their infections, but instead of treating their illness, all medicines were withheld. The black men were then actively prevented from obtaining treatment elsewhere as their bodies, and the bodies of their wives and children, were systematically ravaged by disease.

The evil men who conceived that Nazi-style study justified their atrocity by alleging that scientists needed to learn how untreated syphilis progressed in the human body. For a period of forty years, between 1932 and 1972, the genocidal Tuskegee Study continued.

It was not until 1972, when one newspaper finally had the courage to break the story to the public, that the Tuskegee Study was finally terminated. By that time, only 125 of the original 400 black men had survived.

To this very day, decades after the end of that grotesque human experiment, none of the perpetrators of that atrocity have ever been either accused or indicted for their crimes. Back in 1932, when the Tuskegee Study began, Margaret Sanger's ideas had already begun to infect the minds and souls of physicians and scientists here in America.

According to Margaret Sanger's sense of morality, experiments on "human weeds" were fully justified in the name of "science." Do you honestly believe for one moment that such an experiment would have been tolerated here in America had the participants been white men?

Another far more effective method of reducing the world population was devised in the early 1960s by a group of environmentalists and population-control adherents. They set out to block the use of DDT for mosquito and malaria control after it had been found that the insecticide was extremely effective in saving human lives.

Alexander King, president of the Club of Rome, wrote:

"My own doubts came when DDT was introduced. In Guyana, within two years, it had almost eliminated malaria. So my chief quarrel with DDT, in hindsight, is that it has greatly added to the population problem."

In 1970 The National Academy of Sciences, in their book *'Life Sciences,'* stated that, *"In little more than two decades DDT has prevented 500 million deaths due to malaria."*

To population-control advocates, this irresponsible preservation of human life was unconscionable, so they set out to outlaw further use of the pesticide. Up until 1970 all reliable scientific data had consistently demonstrated that DDT was completely safe for both humans and animals.

Indeed, DDT was the safest pesticide ever known to mankind. Furthermore, it was inexpensive and could be widely used in third-world countries to control the spread of insect-borne diseases. Accordingly, population-control adherents set out to have DDT banned in the name of saving the environment.

You have probably read the contrived stories alleging that DDT caused softening of eggshells, interfered with the balance of nature, and endangered humanity by entering into the food chain. In truth, all of those stories were fabricated, and were simply part of a carefully coordinated program to block further use of the life-saving pesticide.

If you are interested in learning the truth concerning the deceitful campaign waged against DDT, Dr. Edwards is a world-renowned biologist who led the fight in the 1960s to counter the propaganda program waged by environmentalists and population-control advocates to ban further use of DDT. You can also order a copy of Dr. Edward's excellent monograph, "Remembering Silent Spring and its Consequences."

William Ruckelshaus was a long-time member of the Environmental Defense Fund, and the Director of the EPA. He outlawed further use of DDT in 1972 despite the recommendation of the chairman of the EPA investigating committee which had heard six months of testimony on use of the pesticide, and had determined that DDT was completely safe.

When Ruckelshaus outlawed further use of DDT, he signed the death warrant for hundreds of millions of helpless human beings living in third-world countries. To those energized by the dark side, however, the loss of hundreds of millions of human lives was relatively inconsequential.

In his excellent monograph, *'Remembering Silent Spring and its Consequences,'* Professor J. Gordon Edwards quoted from a speech delivered by Victor Yanconne, founder of the Environmental Defense Fund. In that talk, Mr. Yanconne related a story told to him by a reporter who had asked Dr. Charles Wurster, one of the major opponents of DDT, whether a ban on DDT wouldn't actually result in far greater use of much more toxic pesticides.

Dr. Wurster is reported to have replied:

"So what? People are the cause of all the problems. We have too many of them. We need to get rid of some of them and this is as good a way as any." Then asked by the same reporter, *"Doctor, how do you square the killing of people with the mere loss of some birds?"*

Dr. Wurster is reported to have replied, *"It doesn't really make a lot of difference, because organo phosphate acts locally and only kills farmworkers and most of them are Mexicans and Negroes."*

How many people have died in the past 40 years since the use of DDT was outlawed? If the National Academy of Sciences was correct in their 1970 assessment that 500 million lives had been saved by DDT over a twenty-year period, then we have probably lost well over 600 million human lives during the past twenty-five years since advocates of population control succeeded in outlawing DDT.

Let me offer another example of a population control program which is being promoted here in the United States today. Many physicians have expressed their concern about the dramatic increase in breast carcinoma seen in women in recent years.

Despite the fact that 18 scientific studies published in both domestic and foreign medical journals have clearly demonstrated the direct causal relationship between first-trimester abortion and breast cancer, all efforts to disseminate that information here in the United States have been consistently blocked by those who favor abortion and population control.

In the fall of 1996 a new scientific paper dealing with a meta-analysis of 23 different scientific studies on the relationship between first-trimester abortions and breast cancer was published in a British medical journal.

That study clearly demonstrated a higher incidence of breast cancer in women who had had first-trimester abortions. In response to that publication, the American Medical Association (AMA), the American Cancer Society (ACS), and pro-abortion / population-control advocates

joined together in an unholy alliance to attack the conclusions of the authors, and to block all efforts to disseminate that information to American physicians. Focus on the Family noted in its literature the relationship of breast cancer with first-trimester abortions.

All of the organizations mentioned above continue to oppose efforts to have physicians warn women of the risk they face when they submit themselves to first-trimester abortions. Before carrying out all surgical procedures in America "advised consent" is required, except for abortion.

The AMA, the ACS, and the pro-death lobby continue to insist that women must not be advised of the risk they incur when they destroy the life of their unborn child. Why is there such inconsistency?

Current abortion policies in America are absolutely necessary to reduce our population. That is why a minor child can be taken from school to an abortion clinic without parental notification, yet that same child cannot be given an aspirin without parental consent. It all has to do with population control. Insanity at its best!

Another dramatic example of population control is the tragedy being played out in the "former" Soviet Union today in regard to male longevity. In Russia, the life span of the average Russian male has dropped precipitously over the past several decades.

The average life expectancy of an American male is 74-78 years of age, and in Japan the average life span is 78 years, but the average life span of Russian men has fallen from 68 years in the 1970s to 63.8 years in 1985, to 57.7 years in 1994.

It is estimated that, if current trends continue, the average life span of a Russian male will be 53 years shortly after the turn of the century. Do you really believe that this shocking reduction in life span is happening simply by "accident"?

One has only to learn what really happened to the Christians in Rwanda between April and July of 1994 to imagine what may lie in store for Christians here in America at some time in the not-too-distant future.

After the Christian Tutsis had been disarmed by governmental decree in the early 1990s, Hutu-led military forces began to systematically massacre the defenseless Christians.

The massacre began in April 1994 and continued until July 1994. Using machetes rather than bullets, the Hutu forces were able to create a state of abject fear and terror within the helpless Christian population as they systematically butchered hundreds of thousands of them.

The United Nations immediately convened hearings on the genocide taking place in Rwanda, but Madeline Albright, the American Ambassador to the United Nations, argued

strenuously that neighboring African nations should not be allowed to intervene until the "civil war had come to an end."

In reality, of course, there was no civil war since those being slaughtered had no weapons with which to defend themselves; it was simply a matter of mass murder.

In addition to blocking intervention by neighboring nations, Madeline Albright also insisted that the word "genocide" must not be used, and that the United Nations forces stationed in Rwanda were not to be allowed to intervene.

In the three months that followed, between one-half and three-quarters of a million Christians were systematically dismembered, hacked to death, and slaughtered in the bloody carnage that ensued. Tens of thousands of Christians were murdered in their churches; tens of thousands more were murdered in their hospitals and in their schools.

On several occasions, United Nations soldiers stationed in Rwanda actually handed over helpless Christians under their protection to members of the Hutu militia. They then stood by as their screaming charges were unceremoniously hacked to pieces.

At the end of the carnage, in late July 1994, the American government rewarded the Hutu murderers with millions of dollars in foreign aid. Strangely, the American press has remained silent about the fact that almost all of those who were slaughtered were Christians, and it was the policies of our government that were primarily responsible for blocking efforts by neighboring African countries to intervene.

There are literally dozens of other examples of population - control programs which have been implemented throughout our world by modern-day "Malthusians" in their effort to ensure that the world population is dramatically curtailed. To date it is estimated that far more than one billion human lives have been terminated as a result of the world-wide abortion programs financed by the United States.

In addition, we are beginning to see the devastating effects of the AIDS epidemic as this modern-day plague begins to depopulate large areas of both Asia and Africa. Because of the influence of occultic population controllers, however, all logical efforts to address the HIV epidemic throughout the world continue to be blocked.

Rather than utilizing the proven public health methods used with all other illnesses, advocates of population control continue to promote both hedonistic sex education and condom distribution.

In the May 1996 issue of 'Special Warfare', a publication of the John F. Kennedy Special Warfare Center and School, it was noted that: *"The immediate future may present other daunting challenges to Civil Affairs units."*

Because of complicated social and cultural reasons, AIDS already infects a high proportion of the military and civilian officials of Zaire, Uganda, Kenya, Zambia, and other central Africa countries. In some or all of these countries, government establishments may collapse in the next 10-15 years. If this pattern is repeated in other areas where AIDS is spreading at an alarming rate, then civil rule may also erode or break down in parts of North Africa, the Middle East, India, and Southeast Asia.

Let me assure you that there really are hundreds of thousands of occultly energized people throughout the world today who honestly believe that human compassion is outmoded, and that the inferior peoples of the world must either be allowed to die or be actively exterminated. Thomas Robert Malthus (1766-1834) wrote in his book: *'An Essay on the Principle of Population,'* Malthus wrote:

"All children born, beyond what would be required to keep up the population to a desired level, must necessarily perish, unless room may be made for them by the deaths of grown persons ... Therefore ... we should facilitate, instead of foolishly and vainly endeavoring to impede, the operations of nature in producing this mortality..."

Fifty years ago, the Club of Rome was created, and shortly after its inception in 1968, the driving issue has been "Depopulation".

The Conference on Conditions of World Order was held from June 12-19, 1965 at the Villa Serbelloni in Bellagio, Italy, sponsored by the Congress for Cultural Freedom, with a grant from the Ford Foundation and the American Academy of Arts and Sciences. A group of 21 scholars, writers and scientists from all over the world met to define the concepts of world order. A segment of their report by Helio Jaguaribe said:

"The establishment of world order depends not only on its intrinsic desirability and viability, but also on the support of men and groups who decide to dedicate themselves to the completion of such a goal. As increasing sectors of developed and underdeveloped societies begin to realize the urgent necessity of world order, the viability of its establishment, and the fact that it can be achieved by adopting measures which are reasonable in themselves, none of the governments will be able to escape public pressure for establishing world order ...

It is incumbent upon the intellectuals to play the decisive role in the formation of pressure groups in favor of world order ... the establishment of world order demands the mobilization of groups dedicated to international pressure for the gradual implantation of that world order ... the negotiated establishment of world order is theoretically possible and practically feasible since, in the last analysis, the probable effects of nuclear conflagration have made way an impractical alternative to the peaceful solution of contemporary problems."

Three years later, in April, 1968 a think-tank of financiers, scientists, economists, politicians, heads of state, and industrialists from ten different countries, again met at Rockefeller's private estate in Bellagio, Italy, at the request of Aurelio Peccei, the Italian

industrialist who had close ties to Fiat and the Olivetti Corporation. He claimed to have solutions for world peace and prosperity, which could be accomplished through world government. The Club of Rome (COR) was established with a membership of 75 prominent scientists, industrialists, and economists from 25 countries, which along with the Bilderberg, have become one of the most important foreign policy arms of the Round Table group.

Many of the (COR) executives were drawn from NATO and they have been able to formulate a lot of what NATO claims are its policies. Through Lord Carrington, they were able to split NATO into two factions: a left-wing political group whose doctrine was formed on the basis of Peccei's book *Human Quality*, and its former military alliance.

The first Club of Rome conference in the U.S. was in 1969, where the American branch was organized as the "American Association of the Club of Rome." Among its members were:

- Norman Cousins (honorary Chairman of Planetary Citizens)
- John Naisbitt (author of *Megatrends*)
- Amory Lovins (a speaker at Windstar, John Denver's New Age center in Snowmass, Colorado)
- Betty Friedan (founding President of NOW, the National Organization of Women)
- Jean Houston and Hazel Henderson (New Age authors and speakers)
- Robert O. Anderson and Harlan B. Cleveland (CFR members and part of the Aspen Institute for Humanistic Studies)
- Sen. Claiborne Pell (D-RI)
- Rep. Frank M. Potter (staff director of the House Subcommittee on Energy).

Their first book titled *'The Limits to Growth'* was published in 1972 and dealt with the problem of worldwide overpopulation. It stated that *"if the world's consumption patterns and population growth continued at the same high rates of the time, the earth would strike its limits within a century."* The book, which sold 12 million copies in 27 languages, described their vision for the world:

"We believe in fact that the need will quickly become evident for social innovation to match technical change, for radical reform of the institutions and political processes at all levels, including the highest, that of world polity. And since intellectual enlightenment is without effect if it is not also political, The Club of Rome also will encourage the creation of a world forum where statesmen, policy-makers, and scientists can discuss the dangers and hopes for the future global system without the constraints of formal intergovernmental negotiation."

For the most part, the Club of Rome (main office at 193 Rissener Landstr. In Hamburg, Germany) functions as a research institute on economic, political, and social problems, and claims that *"there is no other viable alternative to the future survival of civilization than a new global community under a common leadership."* Their website claims:

"The Club of Rome's mission is to act as a global catalyst of change that is free of any political, ideological or business interest. The Club of Rome contributes to the solution of what it calls the

world problematique, the complex set of the most crucial problems- political, social, economic, technological, environmental, psychological and cultural- facing humanity. It does so taking a global, long term and interdisciplinary prospective aware of the increasing interdependence of nations and the globalization of problems that pose predicaments beyond the capacity of individual countries."

On September 17, 1973, they released a Report called the "Regionalized and Adaptive Model of the Global World System" which was prepared by Directors Mihajlo Mesarovic and Eduard Pestel as part of the "Strategy for Survival Project". This revealed the Club's goal of dividing the world into ten political/economic regions... which would unite the entire world under a single form of government. These regions are: North America, Western Europe, Eastern Europe, Japan, Rest of Developed World, Latin America, Middle East, Rest of Africa, South and Southeast Asia, and China. The same plan was published in a Club of Rome book called 'Mankind at the Turning Point', which said:

"The solution of these crises can be developed only in a global context with full and explicit recognition of the emerging world system and on a long-term basis. This would necessitate, among other changes, a new world economic order and a global resources allocation system..."

In 1976, they published RIO: 'Reshaping the International Order' which called for a new international order, including an economic redistribution of wealth.

During the Carter Administration, a task force was appointed to expand upon this report, and on July 24, 1980, a two-volume document called "Global 2000 Report," which had been written by former Secretary of State Cyrus R. Vance, was presented to President Carter, and then Secretary of State Edward S. Muskie. It attempted to project global economic trends for the next twenty years, and indicated that the resources of the planet were not sufficient enough to support the expected dramatic increase in the world population. **The report called for the population of the U.S. to be reduced by 100 million people by the year 2050.** This is basic to what underlies what we are experiencing in "Killing Me Softly". Ask yourself, how you would go about achieving that objective, if not by stealth, subtly, and secretly through the food we eat, the air we breathe, and the water we drink!

Howard T. Odum, a marine biologist at the University of Florida, who is a member of the Club of Rome, was quoted in the August, 1980 edition of Fusion magazine, as saying: "It is necessary that the United States cut its population by two-thirds within the next 50 years." He didn't say how this would be accomplished.

About six months later, the Council on Environmental Quality made recommendations based on the Report, called "Global Future: A Time to Act." They suggested an aggressive program of population control which included sterilization, contraception and abortion. In August, 1982, the Executive Intelligence Review published a report called "Global 2000: Blueprint for Genocide" which said that the two aforementioned Presidential reports:

"...are correctly understood as political statements of intent- the intent on the part of such policy centers as the Council on Foreign Relations, the Trilateral Commission, and the International Monetary Fund, to pursue policies that will result not only in the death of the 120 million cited in the reports, but in the death of upwards of two billion people by the year 2000."

Peccei wrote (based on a report by COR member Harland Cleveland, U.S. Ambassador to NATO, who believed that Third World countries should decide for themselves who should be eliminated):

"Damaged by conflicting policies of three major countries and blocs, roughly patched up here and there, the existing international economic order is visibly coming apart at the seams ... The prospect of the necessity of the recourse to triage deciding who must be saved is a very grim one indeed. But, if lamentably, events should come to such a pass, the right to make such decisions cannot be left to just a few nations because it would lend themselves to ominous power over life of the world's hungry."

Throughout the world, the Club of Rome has indicated that genocide should be used to eliminate people who they refer to as "useless eaters." This would be accomplished by using limited wars in advanced countries, and even a limited nuclear strike at a strategic location; as well as starvation through created famines and diseases in Third World countries.

In the 1976 novel *Ceremony of the Innocent* by Taylor Caldwell, she effectively explains the rationale behind their actions:

"...there will be no peace in the tormented world, only a programmed and systematic series of wars and calamities- until the plotters have gained their objective: an exhausted world willing to submit to a planned Marxist economy and total and meek enslavement- in the name of peace."

Have their plans for genocide already started? [Since the 1970's], the Acquired Immuno Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS) has become a global plague, spreading to 91 nations [and resulting in millions of deaths].

In 1961, at the Litton Bionetics laboratory (who was working with the Navy's Biomedical Research Laboratory, in association with the University of California), retroviral experiments with African green monkeys and human T-cell leukemia were being conducted under the auspices of the National Institute of Health (contract # SVCP PR#8 NIH #71-2025).

[The experiments] consisted of taking monkey viruses that were harmless to humans, recombining them with DNA, RNA and enzymes from other animal viruses that were known to cause leukemia, lymphomas, and sarcomas; then causing them to jump species. The new mutant viruses were cultured into human white blood cells in some studies, and in other studies human fetal tissues, which [reportedly] produced "immune-system destroying, cancer-causing viruses". The scientist who was directing the tests was Dr. Robert Gallo.

In 1969 at a House Appropriations hearing, the Defense Department's Biological Warfare unit requested funds to develop, through gene-splicing, a new disease that would be resistant to treatment and break down a victim's immune system. They received \$10 million (H.B. 15090), to produce "a synthetic biological agent, an agent that does not naturally exist and for which no natural immunity could have been acquired."

In the 1972 Bulletin of the U.N.'s World Health Organization (WHO), volume 47, it says:

[Recommendation (3):]

"An attempt should be made to see if viruses can in fact exert selective effects on immune function. The possibility should be looked into that the immune response to the virus itself may be impaired if the inflicting virus damages, more or less selectively, the cell responding to the virus."

-- "Virus Associated Immunopathology: Animal models and implications for human disease", WHO Bulletin, 1972, vol. 47, no 2, pg. 259

Derivatives from sheep and cattle have been commonly used to manufacture vaccines; however, certain virus's common to these animals can interact forming a new strain of deadly viruses called retro-viruses. In 1974, the National Academy of Sciences recommended that "Scientists throughout the world join with members of this committee in voluntarily deferring experiments (linking) animal viruses."

In 1975, Dr. Robert Gallo and eight other scientists (working at the Bethesda Cancer Research Center in Maryland) had been working to modify the genetic structure of the virus so that it could be more easily transmitted. That same year, after Fort Detrick had become demilitarized, the newly established Frederick Cancer Research Facility was placed under the direction of the Bethesda Cancer Research Center where Gallo was the Director.

One investigation revealed that in March, 1976, a special federal government virus development program began producing the AIDS virus, and it was headed by Dr. Gallo and Dr. Novakhatskiy of the Ivanosku Institute in Russia. Gallo would later be investigated and found guilty of scientific misconduct, but President Clinton pardoned him.

In response to the charges that AIDS was developed as a military biological warfare weapon, in February, 1987, Army Col. David Huxsoll said: *"Studies at army laboratories have shown that the AIDS virus would be an extremely poor biological warfare agent."* He later denied saying it.

Dr. Robert Strecker, a practicing gastroenterologist with a Ph.D. in pharmacology, who was hired as a consultant to work on a health-care proposal for Security Pacific Bank, said:

"I don't think there is any doubt that AIDS is a man-made problem. The question is whether it was created either accidentally or intentionally. I believe the AIDS virus was requested, predicted, produced, and deployed."

Strecker's research indicated that the AIDS virus was developed by the Frederick Cancer Research Facility of the National Cancer Institute, in cooperation with the WHO, in their laboratories at Fort Detrick, Maryland (which until 1969 was part of the U.S. Army's germ warfare unit, known as the Army Infectious Disease Unit, or Special Operations Division) by combining bovine (cattle) leukemia virus and visna (sheep) virus, and injecting them into human tissue cultures.

The bovine leukemia virus is lethal to cattle, but not to humans; and the visna virus is deadly to sheep, but not to man. However, when combined, they produce a retro-virus that can change the genetic composition of the cells that they enter. He said:

"If one analyzes the genes of the human AIDS virus and the genes of the bovine leukemia virus of cattle and the visna virus of sheep, and compares them, the genes appear related. How is it possible that the bovine visna virus -- which looks like AIDS and produces an AIDS-like disease, and which produced pneumocystis carinii pneumonia in chimpanzees in 1972 -- has not been analyzed and compared with AIDS ... until 1987 when 'Characterization and Molecular Cloning of Bovine Lente (Latin for 'slow') Virus Related to Human Immunodeficiency Virus' was published in Nature magazine. Matthew Gonda, the author, described a virus that looks like AIDS, named bovine visna virus, and suggested that it was most closely related to AIDS and may well be its precursor."

On August 11, 1988, Ted Strecker, Dr. Strecker's brother was found shot to death in his home in Springfield, Missouri. His death was ruled a suicide. A month later on September 22, 1988, Illinois State Representative Douglas Huff of Chicago was found dead in his home. The autopsy revealed that he died of a stroke as a result of an overdose of cocaine and heroin. Rep. Huff just happened to be a very vocal supporter of Dr. Strecker's work to publicize the AIDS cover-up.

The most common theory about the origin of AIDS was that it came from green monkeys in Africa. Yet several virologists have said that the AIDS virus does not occur naturally in any animal. Besides, it would have been statistically impossible to reach the point we are at now, just from a single episode. If the AIDS virus had originated with the monkeys, then the disease would have surfaced with the Pygmies, who are closer to them, and use them as a food source, yet, it appeared first in the cities. Further damaging evidence comes from the fact that AIDS occurred almost simultaneously in the United States, Haiti, Brazil, and Central Africa.

In 1972, there was a vaccination program for smallpox in Africa by the WHO, and some researchers believe that the smallpox vaccination program was used to introduce the [HIV/AIDS] virus into the population. On May 11, 1987, the London Times ran an article called "Smallpox Vaccine 'Triggered AIDS Virus'" written by Science Editor Pearce Wright, who linked

the mass vaccination program of the World Health Organization in the 1970's to the outbreak of AIDS, because Central Africa was the focus of the program and has become the most affected area in the world.

While in Africa AIDS is generally regarded as a heterosexual disease, in the United States it has the stigma of being a 'gay' disease. Prior to 1978, there was no sign of the AIDS virus here; yet in 1978, the killer disease struck with a vengeance within the homosexual community. The evidence points to the introduction [via] an experimental Hepatitis B vaccine. In 1969, Dr. W. Schmugner, a Polish physician, who was educated in Russia, came to the United States where he became head of the New York City Blood Bank. He set up guidelines for a Hepatitis vaccine study, and only promiscuous males between the ages of 20 and 40 were included in the study, which has led some to believe that this was how the virus was introduced into the gay population.

In 1978, more than 1,000 [promiscuous homosexual] adult males received an experimental vaccination against Hepatitis B, which was sponsored by the National Institute of Health (NIH) and the Center for Disease Control (CDC). With the Hepatitis vaccine, which is not produced from a human tissue culture, it is impossible to have an accidental contamination, which seems to indicate that the AIDS virus was intentionally put in the vaccine. In 1981, the Center for Disease Control reported that 6% of those receiving the Hepatitis vaccine were infected with AIDS, but in 1984, they admitted that it was actually 64%. These Hepatitis vaccine studies are now in the possession of the Justice Department in Washington, DC.

To allow the disease to become entrenched within the population, various facts were covered-up and glossed-over. A great deal of emphasis had been put on the prime cause of AIDS infection being the exchange of body fluid through sexual activity and intravenous drug use, which led to a campaign for the importance of using clean, unused needles, and condoms. The use of a condom does not guarantee protection against the transmission of the AIDS virus. All it takes is one AIDS virion (a complete virus particle with its outer coat intact) and the smallest sperm is 500 times larger than one such virion. In addition, the quality of condoms have become highly suspect, since failure rates of 30-50% have been reported.

The risk of casual contact has been played down, when in fact AIDS is a highly contagious disease which demands that quarantine be placed on those who suffer from the disease [and that partners of infected persons be notified]. Rather than treat the disease as the epidemic that it is, the government has concerned itself with giving AIDS carriers more rights and more exposure to the general population. There is concrete medical evidence that indicates that the virus can survive up to 7 days on a dry petri dish, and up to 15 days in an aqueous (wet) environment. It can incubate [in the host] 10-15 years before causing any noticeable signs of illness, which means that [a carrier can unknowingly transmit the disease] in that period of time.

A February, 1985 report in the British medical journal '*Lancet*', said: "*There is little evidence for homosexual activity among African AIDS patients (and it) appears to be*

transmitted through heterosexual contact or exposure to blood through insect bites..." On September 9, 1985, a research team of researchers from the National Cancer Institute, the Laboratory of Tumor Cell Biology and the Institute of Tropical Medicine, said that *"human retroviruses could be transmitted by mosquitoes or within the parasite itself."* In a report published in the October, 1981, issue of Science, Boston hematologist Dr. Jerome Groopman, and researchers with the National Institute of Health said that recovery of the AIDS virus *"from saliva suggests that direct contact with this body fluid should be avoided..."*

On January 11, 1985, the Center for Disease Control reported: *"There is a risk of infecting others by ... exposure of others through oral-genital contact or intimate kissing."* Dr. Richard Restak, a Washington neurologist, made this statement:

"At this point live AIDS virus has been isolated from blood, semen, serum, saliva, urine and now tears. If the virus exists in these fluids, the better part of wisdom dictates that we assume the possibility that it can also be transmitted by these routes. It seems reasonable, therefore, that AIDS victims should not donate blood or blood products, should not contribute to semen banks, should not donate tissues or organs to organ banks, should not work as dental or medical technicians, and should probably not be employed as food handlers."

Professor William Haseltine of the Harvard Medical School, in a presentation to a University audience, said that:

"anyone who tells you categorically that AIDS is not contracted by saliva is not telling you the truth. AIDS may in fact be transmissible by tears, saliva, bodily fluids, and mosquito bites."

[Note: At the time this was written, much was still unknown about HIV transmission. Later research studies have shown that HIV/AIDS cannot be easily transmitted by mosquitoes or other biting insects.]

In the early 1990's the 'U.S. News and World Report' stated: *"If there is not a cure for AIDS within the next thirteen years, tens of millions will die."* Even though there has been a lot of talk about AIDS awareness and prevention, the full danger of it has been covered-up by the Center for Disease Control, and the media which has increasingly shown its pro-homosexual bias.

AIDS is an epidemic that will not be stopped. The scientists that created this deadly virus have created an organism that multiplies 100 times faster than influenza. There are more than 180 different AIDS viruses and 300 strains, which makes blood testing meaningless. The virus is constantly mutating, which makes it impossible to develop a general vaccine that would be effective with everyone.

Quite simply, AIDS is a world-wide, modern-day plague, and every year the number of those affected increases drastically. In the March, 1987 issue of Vanity Fair, Dr. William Grace, chief of Oncology at St. Vincent's Hospital in New York, is quoted as saying: *"I think AIDS is*

going to devastate the American medical system. Besides not being able to combat it medically, the disease will progress to being an economic drain, especially if national health care is instituted."

Whether AIDS is [actually an instrument for the population reduction] that the Club of Rome has referred to... is undoubtedly open to speculation, even in light of all the questions raised. If its purpose is to thin out the population, it certainly has been successful. To be continued in Part 2.

Blessings,

Pastor Bob, EvanTeachr@aol.com