

# Killing Me Softly

## Part 4

In this segment of “Killing Me Softly” we will be looking at one of the most controversial topics that are arguably one every person with a child, a grandchild, or are planning to have a baby should be briefed on. *“You can't walk into a pharmacy without seeing lines, and the government is now telling preachers to tell their congregations to get flu shots,”* says Dr. Russell Blaylock, renowned neurosurgeon and editor of the Blaylock Wellness Report. *“I've never seen anything like it. “The incidence of flu across the United States is extremely low — there are virtually no outbreaks — and not a single child has died. Yet, the flu vaccine is being pushed as if it's the greatest health advance ever discovered. “The vaccine is completely worthless, and the government knows it,”* says Dr. Blaylock. *“There are three reasons the government tells the elderly why they should get flu shots: secondary pneumonia, hospitalization, and death.*

Yet a study by the Cochrane group studied hundreds of thousands of people and found it offered zero protection for those three things in the general community. It offered people in nursing homes some immunity against the flu — at best one-third — but that was only if they picked the right vaccine. Dr. Blaylock states:

*“The government also says that every baby over the age of six months should have a vaccine, and they know it contains a dose of mercury that is toxic to the brain. They also know the studies have shown that the flu vaccine has zero — zero — effectiveness in children under 5. “Here's the bottom line: The vast number of people who get the flu vaccine aren't going to get any benefit, but they get all of the risks and complications.”* Flu vaccines contain mercury in the form of thimerosal (ethylmercury), a brain toxin, which accumulates in the brain and other organs. *“It's incorporated into the brain for a lifetime,”* says Dr. Blaylock. *“After five or 10 years of flu shots, enough mercury accumulates in the brain that every single study agrees is neurotoxic. Mercury is extremely toxic to the brain even in very small concentrations, and there are thousands of studies that prove it. The changes that we see in the brain associated with neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's and Parkinson's are all easily produced by mercury in these doses.”*

*“Epidemiological studies show no harm from vaccines containing mercury, but if you read the studies you'll find that all of the control subjects had already been given mercury vaccines at some point in their lives. In other words, none of the controls were mercury-free to begin with,”* he says. *“You don't do studies to find out if something is toxic and give the control subjects the toxin. They know that, and their excuse was that they couldn't find people who hadn't taken mercury vaccines. But that's not true. The Amish don't take vaccines, and many home-schooled children haven't had them. The government also refuses to fund studies that use controls who have never been vaccinated. They know what the studies would show.”* Mercury is such a strong immune depressant that a flu shot suppresses immunity for several weeks. *“This makes people highly susceptible to catching the flu,”* says Dr. Blaylock. *“They may*

*even think the vaccine gave them the flu, but that's not true — it depressed their immune system and then they caught the flu."*

Mercury overstimulates the brain for several years, says Dr. Blaylock, and that activation is the cause of Alzheimer's and other degenerative diseases. Flu isn't a big health risk, especially not this year, says Dr. Blaylock, but the single best way of protecting yourself is not through a shot, but through your diet. These five simple tips can help protect you from the flu as well as any other viruses and bacteria this winter:

1. Don't eat large amounts of sugar. *"Sugar is an immune-suppressant,"* says Dr. Blaylock.
2. Avoid omega-6 fats. *"These oils, such as corn oil and canola oil, are powerful immune suppressants,"* says Dr. Blaylock. Don't use canola oil in salad dressings, and don't eat processed foods which are filled with omega-6 fats.
3. Boost your intake of vitamin D3. *"Studies show that increased amounts of vitamin D3 dramatically reduce complications and death rate from flu in people of all ages,"* he says. Elderly people are almost always deficient in vitamin D3. *"Most people should be getting a minimum of 2,000 IU a day,"* says Dr. Blaylock, but between 5,000 IU and 10,000 IU is optimal.
4. Add astaxanthin to your daily regime. The body's immune system's becomes less efficient as we age. *"Studies show that astaxanthin can restore the immune system to the way it was in your 20s,"* says Dr. Blaylock.
5. Take beta glucan. *"Beta glucan selectively stimulates cellular immunity, which fights viruses,"* says Dr. Blaylock.

*"Taking these steps will help you avoid the flu,"* says Dr. Blaylock. *"And if you do get it, it'll be milder, you'll get over it more quickly, and you'll avoid complications such as pneumonia."*

Why the big vaccines push? *"It's all about money,"* he says. *"Vaccines are a pharmaceutical company's dream. Pharmaceutical companies have a product that both the government and the media will help them sell, and since vaccines are protected, they can't be sued if anyone has a complication. If hundreds of millions of people get a shot and a million get serious complications, no one can get sued. Vaccines are a pharmaceutical company's dream."*

You Are 550% More Likely To Get A Respiratory Infection After A Flu Vaccine. Let's assume for a moment that the flu vaccine provided a 100 percent guarantee against contracting influenza (which it doesn't, but just pretend it does).

Would it make sense to vaccinate your family against the flu if doing so meant you increased their risk of contracting other upper respiratory illnesses by more than five times? Of course not! Reducing the risk of contracting one illness only to heighten the risk of multiple

others is simply illogical. And yet that is exactly what the flu vaccine – which is only between 33 and 70 percent effective, anyway – does.

A study published in the journal *'Clinical Infectious Diseases'* actually found that not only did the inactivated influenza vaccine not provide additional protection against the flu virus, but it also left recipients lacking in “temporary non-specific immunity that protected against other respiratory viruses.”

This is nothing more than playing Russian roulette with the flu shot. *'Natural Health 365'* reported that while U.S. authorities have tended to look the other way regarding flu vaccine side effects, researchers in China have been far more proactive about determining exactly what the effects of these vaccines might be.

The study mentioned above, which was conducted in Hong Kong, set out to compare the health of vaccinated patients versus those who have not been immunized in relation to either the flu or other illnesses. The trial included patients between the ages of 6 and 15, some of whom received Sanofi Pasteur's Vaxigrip vaccine, while others received a saline placebo shot.

The participants were then tracked for an average of 272 days to see whether they went on to develop the flu and/or other illnesses. The researchers concluded:

*“There was no statistically significant difference in the risk of confirmed seasonal influenza infection between recipients of TIV [trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine] or placebo, although the point estimate was consistent with protection in TIV recipients ... “*

*“However, participants who received TIV had higher risk of ARI [acute respiratory illness] associated with confirmed non-influenza respiratory virus infection (RR, 4.40; 95% CI, 1.31–14.8).”*

*“Including 2 additional confirmed infections when participants did not report ARI, TIV recipients had higher risk of confirmed non-influenza respiratory virus infection (RR, 3.46; 95% CI, 1.19–10.1).”*

The majority of the non-influenza respiratory virus detections were rhinoviruses and coxsackie / echoviruses, and the increased risk among TIV recipients was also statistically significant for these viruses. Most respiratory virus detections occurred in March 2009, shortly after a period of peak seasonal influenza activity in February 2009.

There is a better way. So, not only is the flu shot ineffective, but it increases risk of other viral infections. It is also known to carry serious side effects, including seizures and convulsions, swelling on the brain and Guillain-Barre syndrome, to name a few.

That doesn't mean, however, that we all need to just concede defeat and get the flu every year. Being proactive about building up your family's immunity before the flu season can provide protection against a host of illnesses.

The first important step is to avoid foods and drinks that add stress to the immune system, including sugar, processed foods, dairy, gluten, soy and alcohol. It is also important to maintain adequate hydration by drinking plenty of pure, filtered water.

Increase your intake of fresh, organic produce and herbs, including those that have antiviral and antibacterial benefits like garlic and ginger. Be sure to get enough rest. It is also advisable to support the liver and immune system by supplementing with high-quality vitamin C, turmeric and Reishi mushroom from a trusted, clean source.

Probiotics, vitamin D and zinc are also important in the fight to boost immunity. There is a better, safer way to protect your family's health this winter than by playing Russian roulette with the dangerous flu vaccine.

### **U.S. GOV'T LOSES LANDMARK VACCINE LAWSUIT:**

Vaccine injury lawyer Robert F. Kennedy Jr., Del Bigtree, producer of the suppressed anti-vaccine documentary, *Vaxxed* and the Informed Consent Action Network (ICAN) are credited with this victory. They demanded the relevant government documents proving that all federally approved vaccines had been tested for quality over the past 32 years — and there were none.

Here are the huge legal and practical implications in this legal victory for the American people: This means that the US Department of Health and Human Services and all vaccine makers have been lying to the American people for over 30 years about the effectiveness and safety of vaccines; this may ultimately mean that continuing the existence — at least in their current form — of five US "healthcare" agencies are now in doubt: the CDC, the FDA, the IOM, the NIH and the "Health" part of DHHS itself; this may also threaten the existence of state medical boards and exclusive medical guilds like the AMA:

- This means that vaccine makers have been fraudulently exempt from what all other pharmaceutical drug makers have been forced to do concerning biannual recertification for quality and effectiveness — meaning that their vaccines have never been tested for quality and have had no proven safety or effectiveness for over 30 years;
- This case can now be legally cited by all parents fraudulently mandated by any government/organizational regulation/requirements that they must vaccinate their children for school or any other activity to stop the forced vaccination of their children;
- This case can now be legally cited by all employees being mandated by their employers to be vaccinated in order to retain their jobs;
- This case can now be legally cited by all those who seek compensation for vaccine injury, making it likely that the pharmaceutical vaccine industry can in the near future be legally

bankrupted out of existence, like Bayer-Monsanto after the landmark legal victory won by the dying landscaper in San Francisco several weeks ago, as well as their stock value plummeting precipitously;

- The future of allopathic medicine in its current form is now in doubt, as well as that of the global pharmaceutical cartel, since almost all of the drugs allopathic practitioners prescribe come from pharmaceutical corporations which have also committed vaccine fraud and injury;
- The existence of the deep-state corporate mainstream news media will now also be further endangered, since 70% of their income stream comes from the global pharmaceutical cartel, which in America has been responsible for 750,000-1 million human sacrifices per year for at least the past half century;
- Autism rates will now likely plummet, freeing the American people from another deep state-engineered debility, and providing further evidence of mass vaccination-caused autism;
- All government officials who have passed laws legalizing vaccine fraud at the state, national, or international level, or otherwise aided and abetted this vaccine fraud can now be charged with vaccine fraud, criminal malfeasance and in some cases, war crimes under the Nuremberg Code.

Research reveals that a vaccinated individual not only can become infected with measles, but can also spread it to others who are also vaccinated against it - doubly disproving that the administration of multiple doses of MMR vaccine is "97% effective," as widely claimed.

One of the fundamental errors in thinking about measles vaccine effectiveness is that receipt of measles-mumps-rubella (MMR) vaccine equates to bona fide immunity against measles virus. Indeed, it is **commonly claimed** by health organizations like the CDC that receiving two doses of the MMR vaccine is "97 percent effective in preventing measles," despite a voluminous body of contradictory evidence from epidemiology and clinical experience.

This erroneous thinking has led the public, media and government alike to attribute the origin of measles outbreaks, such as the one reported at Disney in 2015 (and which led to the passing of SB277 that year, stripping vaccine exemptions for all but medical reasons in California), to the non-vaccinated, even though 18% of the measles cases occurred in those who had been vaccinated against it -- hardly the vaccine's two-dose claimed "97% effectiveness." The vaccine's obvious fallibility is also indicated by the fact that that the CDC now requires two doses.'

But the problems surrounding the failing MMR vaccine go much deeper. **First, they carry profound health risks** (over 25 of which we have indexed here: **MMR vaccine dangers**), including increased autism risk, which a **senior CDC scientist confessed his agency covered up**, which do not justify the risk, given that measles is not only not deadly but confers **significant health benefits that have been validated in the biomedical literature**. **Second, not only does**

**the MMR vaccine fail to consistently confer immunity, but those who have been "immunized" with two doses of MMR vaccine can still transmit the infection to others** -- a phenomena no one is reporting on in the rush to blame the non- or minimally-vaccinated for the outbreak.

### **MMR Vaccinated Can Still Spread Measles**

Three years ago, a groundbreaking study published in the journal *'Clinical Infectious Diseases'*, whose authorship included scientists working for the Bureau of Immunization, New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, and the National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, GA, looked at evidence from the 2011 New York measles outbreak that individuals **with prior evidence of measles vaccination and vaccine immunity were both capable of being infected with measles and infecting others with it (secondary transmission).**

This finding even aroused the attention of mainstream news reporting, such as this *'Sciencemag.org'* article from April 2014 titled "**Measles Outbreak Traced to Fully Vaccinated Patient for First Time.**"

Titled, "**Outbreak of Measles Among Persons With Prior Evidence of Immunity, New York City, 2011.**" the groundbreaking study acknowledged that, "Measles may occur in vaccinated individuals, but secondary transmission from such individuals has not been documented."

In order to find out if measles vaccine compliant individuals are capable of being infected and transmitting the infection to others, they evaluated suspected cases and contacts exposed during a 2011 measles outbreak in NYC. They focused on one patient who had received two doses of measles-containing vaccine and found that, *"Of 88 contacts, four secondary cases were confirmed that had either two doses of measles-containing vaccine or a past positive measles IgG antibody. All cases had laboratory confirmation of measles infection, clinical symptoms consistent with measles, and high avidity IgG antibody characteristic of a secondary immune response."*

Their remarkable conclusion:

*"This is the first report of measles transmission from a twice vaccinated individual. The clinical presentation and laboratory data of the index were typical of measles in a naïve individual. Secondary cases had robust anamnestic antibody responses. No tertiary cases occurred despite numerous contacts. This outbreak underscores the need for thorough epidemiologic and laboratory investigation of suspected measles cases regardless of vaccination status."*

Did you follow that? A twice-vaccinated individual, from a NYC measles outbreak, was found to have transmitted measles to four of her contacts, two of which themselves had received two doses of MMR vaccine and had prior presumably protective measles IgG antibody results.

This phenomenon -- the MMR vaccine compliant infecting other MMR vaccine compliant cases -- has been ignored by health agencies and the media. This data corroborates the possibility that, during the Disney measles outbreak the previously vaccinated (any of the 18% known to have become infected) may have become infected or already were shedding measles from a vaccine and transmitted measles to both the vaccinated and the non-vaccinated.

Moreover, these CDC and NYC Bureau of Immunization scientists identified a 'need' for there to be "thorough epidemiologic and laboratory investigation of suspected measles cases regardless of vaccination status," i.e. investigators must rule out vaccine failure and infection by fully infected individuals as contributing to measles outbreaks.

Instead, what's happening now is that the moment a measles outbreak occurs, a reflexive 'blame the victim' attitude is assumed, and the media and/or health agencies report on the outbreak as if it has been proven the afflicted are under or non-vaccinated -- often without sufficient evidence to support these claims. Clearly stakeholders in the vaccine/non-vaccine debate need to look at the situation through the lens of the evidence itself and not science by proclamation or pleas to authority.

**Amazingly, the truth has been suppressed for decades. Twenty years ago, the MMR vaccine was found to infect virtually all of its recipients with measles.** Scientists working at the CDC's National Center for Infectious Diseases, funded by the WHO and the National Vaccine Program, discovered something truly disturbing about the MMR vaccine: it leads to detectable measles infection in the vast majority of those who receive it. The MMR vaccine's manufacturer **Merck's own product insert**, the MMR can cause measles inclusion body encephalitis (MIBE), a rare but potentially lethal form of brain infection with measles. Learn more by reading my article on the topic, "**The Vaccinated Spreading Measles: WHO, Merck, CDC Documents Confirm.**"

Stop Blaming a failing vaccine on failure to vaccinate. The moral of the story is that you can't blame non-vaccinating parents for the morbidity and mortality of infectious diseases when vaccination does not result in immunity and does not keep those who are vaccinated from infecting others. In fact, outbreaks secondary to measles vaccine failure and shedding in up to 99% immunization compliant populations have happened for decades. Here are just a few examples reported in the medical literature:

- **1985, Texas, USA:** According to an article published in the *New England Journal of Medicine* in 1987, "An outbreak of measles occurred among adolescents in Corpus Christi, Texas, in the spring of 1985, even though vaccination requirements for school attendance had been thoroughly enforced." They concluded: "*We conclude that outbreaks of measles can occur in secondary schools, even when more than 99 percent of the students have been vaccinated and more than 95 percent are immune.*"

- **1985, Montana, USA:** According to an article published in the American Journal of Epidemiology titled, "A persistent outbreak of measles despite appropriate prevention and control measures," an outbreak of 137 cases of measles occurred in Montana. School records indicated that 98.7% of students were appropriately vaccinated, leading the researchers to conclude: *"This outbreak suggests that measles transmission may persist in some settings despite appropriate implementation of the current measles elimination strategy."*
- **1988, Colorado, USA:** According to an article published in the 'American Journal of Public Health' in 1991, "early 1988 an outbreak of 84 measles cases occurred at a college in Colorado in which over 98 percent of students had documentation of adequate measles immunity ... due to an immunization requirement in effect since 1986. They concluded: *"...measles outbreaks can occur among highly vaccinated college populations."*
- **1989, Quebec, Canada:** According to an article published in the 'Canadian Journal of Public Health' in 1991, a 1989 measles outbreak was "largely attributed to an incomplete vaccination coverage," but following an extensive review the researchers concluded *"Incomplete vaccination coverage is not a valid explanation for the Quebec City measles outbreak"*.
- **1991-1992, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil:** According to an article published in the journal 'Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical', in a measles outbreak from March 1991 to April 1992 in Rio de Janeiro, 76.4% of those suspected to be infected had received measles vaccine before their first birthday.
- **1992, Cape Town, South Africa:** According to an article published in the *South African Medical Journal* in 1994, "[In] August 1992 an outbreak occurred, with cases reported at many schools in children presumably immunized." Immunization coverage for measles was found to be 91%, and vaccine efficacy found to be only 79%, leading them to conclude that primary and secondary vaccine failure was a possible explanation for the outbreak.

There are plenty of other examples of the measles vaccine's abject failure, including a study published in *PLOS* titled, "**Difficulties in eliminating measles and controlling rubella and mumps: a cross-sectional study of a first measles and rubella vaccination and a second measles, mumps, and rubella vaccination**," which brought to light the glaring ineffectiveness of two measles vaccines (measles–rubella (MR) or **measles–mumps–rubella (MMR)** in fulfilling their widely claimed promise of preventing outbreaks in highly vaccine compliant populations. We dove deeply into the implications of this study in our article titled, "**Why Is China Having Measles Outbreaks When 99% Are Vaccinated?**"

**The most recent example was released on the CDC's website today** in a report titled, "**Measles Outbreak in a Highly Vaccinated Population — Israel, July–August 2017**," where they describe



a patient zero who had received three doses of MMR. Not unsurprisingly the CDC does not draw the obvious conclusion that the MMR vaccine failed, rather, that they should consider the measles a possibility when they examine a patient with fever and a rash even when the patient is vaccinated.

These seven outbreaks are by no means exhaustive of the biomedical literature, but illustrate just how misled the general public is about the effectiveness of measles vaccines, and the CDC's vaccination agenda in general. No amount of historical ignorance will erase the fact that vaccination does not equal immunization; antigenicity does not equal immunogenicity. Nor are the unintended, adverse effects of MMR and other vaccines in the CDC schedule accurately portrayed, precluding access to the medical ethical principle of informed consent.

Toxic vaccine adjuvants and ingredient are not emphasized enough in the debate over the safety of vaccines. The medical establishment, which has essentially been wed to Big Pharma ever since its inception by the Rockefellers, routinely downplays the idea that these adjuvants are quite harmful. In reality, many are known carcinogens. Western medicine also tends to make the excuse that it is a case of "benefit vs. risk", and that the benefit outweighs the risk – but does it really, given the ton of natural alternative remedies and the fact that these adjuvants can get stuck in your body forever? I have listed 10 of the most common toxic vaccine adjuvants below, and after reading about each one, please consider whether you think we can accurately call vaccines "good medicine", given that these 10 adjuvants are being injected directly into the bloodstream (thus bypassing the digestive filters) of every vaccine patient who receives them.

You can confirm that these adjuvants and ingredients are indeed being added to vaccines by looking the official lists of the CDC (Center for Disease Control) as well as other websites like this.

### **Toxic Vaccine Adjuvants / Ingredients #1: Mercury (Thimerosal or Thiomersal)**

Mercury, which constitutes 49.7% of thimerosal (or thiomersal), has been commonly known for a very long time to be a highly toxic agent. Mercury has always been beloved by allopathy ever since its inception; in fact, the 3 main treatments of the early allopaths of the 19th century were bloodletting, surgery and the injection of toxic heavy metals like lead and mercury to purportedly displace disease! The expression "mad as a hatter" comes from the observation that the early hat makers, who used mercury in felt to make hats, became crazy through inhaling the stuff.

Numerous studies have been done on the toxicity of mercury, with evidence it leads to infertility, gastritis (a precancerous stage of gastric cancer), neurodegeneration, mitochondrial abnormalities, nephrotic syndrome, apoptosis (cell death) and autism, yet the IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer, under the auspices of the Rockefeller-created WHO) still refuses to admit mercury can cause cancer and is leaving mercury categorized as a

class 2b possible carcinogen (as opposed to class 2a probable carcinogen or class 1 definite carcinogen). The study above on cell death concluded:

Mercury (Hg) is a highly toxic metal that can exert multiple adverse effects, ultimately leading to cell death. Before causing death, the Hg enters the cells and affects diverse intracellular targets.

Since thimerosal contains about 50% mercury by weight, vaccines with a ratio of 1:10,000 (or 0.01% thimerosal) have about 50 mg/L mercury, which exceeds the 0.2 mg/L hazardous waste toxicity regulatory level for mercury. According to U.S. state and federal hazardous waste management requirements, any vaccines with this level of thimerosal which are discarded need to be treated as hazardous waste.

### **Toxic Vaccine Adjuvants / Ingredients #2: Aluminum**

Aluminum is another metal which, like mercury, is highly harmful for human health. Aluminum is a “light” metal rather than a heavy metal like lead and mercury, but its health effects are devastating nonetheless. As a vaccine adjuvant, aluminum is normally mixed into the vaccine as a salt, e.g. as aluminium phosphate and aluminium hydroxide.

This study specifically asked the question, “Aluminum vaccine adjuvants: are they safe?” and found they were not. Other studies have implicated aluminum in the development of impaired cognitive function, neurotoxicity, Alzheimer’s and autism. (It should be noted, however, that it is possible to take aluminum into the body if it is tightly bound as a molecule and not suffer any ill effects, because it will pass straight through the body and not be released. An example of this is zeolite, a matrix of aluminum silicates, which is actually a spectacular health supplement that can safely remove toxins like mercury from your body.)

### **Toxic Vaccine Adjuvants / Ingredients #3: Human Diploid Cells (Aborted Fetuses)**

Believe it or not, fetal DNA tissue is used in vaccine cultures, disguised under the name of diploid cells. A diploid cell is simply a cell with a double set of chromosomes. These cells are human cells, derived from the tissue of babies or fetuses, some of which are definitely aborted! This has been going on for over 50 years. The article Human Fetal Links with Some Vaccines admits that “Two different strains of human diploid cell cultures made from fetuses have been used extensively for vaccine production for decades. One was developed in the United States in 1961 (called WI-38) and the other in the United Kingdom in 1966 (called MRC-5).”

Many people, religious or not, are understandably opposed to allowing them to be injected with the tissue of dead babies. Would you want to be injected with that? There are major ethical ramifications here, not to mention grave health issues too. A study by Dr. Deisher found a connection between the injection of human diploid cells and autism. The basis of this is that when you inject something foreign into your body, your cells will either assimilate it (whereby the foreign human DNA will be transported into nuclei and be integrated into host

genome, causing phenotype change) or attack it (meaning you develop an auto-immune response, leading to the auto-immune diseases of the ASD [Autism Spectrum Disorder]). Either way, residual human fetal DNA fragments in vaccines can be one of causes of autism in children. Dr. Deisher's study concluded:

Autistic disorder change points years are coincident with introduction of vaccines manufactured using human fetal cell lines, containing fetal and retroviral contaminants, into childhood vaccine regimens. This pattern was repeated in the US, UK, Western Australia and Denmark. Thus, rising autistic disorder prevalence is directly related to vaccines manufactured utilizing human fetal cells.

I also recommend watching Clint Richardson's fantastic documentary "Lethal Injection" where he delves into the issue of aborted diploid cells and offers further research and analysis.

#### **Toxic Vaccine Adjuvants / Ingredients #4: Animal Cells**

Almost all vaccines need something in which to grow the culture, and that normally means animal cells. All sorts of animals are used for this, including chickens, pigs, dogs, monkeys, horses, rabbits, cows and more. All these animal cells remain in trace amounts in the vaccine, as admitted by the FDA. This means that when you allow a vaccine to be injected into your body, you are allowing animal DNA into your body, which, of course are foreign protein cells. Is this really a good idea for your body? Consider that:

- many vaccines contain egg-derived albumin, which is responsible for a lot of allergic reactions according to this study;
- pig/swine viruses were discovered in the Rotarix vaccine which the FDA suspended in 2010;
- the polio vaccines of the 1960s, which contained monkey cells, were contaminated with a virus known as SV40 (simian virus 40). This later was shown to cause widespread cancer.

New vaccines are now being developed which contain dog cells (e.g. Flucelvax). Additionally, albumin can be human-derived, but it's still a problem. This study concluded side effects were grossly under-reported, and there are still have concerns over the safety of it.

Marti Oakley in an article on Activist Post entitled "Vaccines: Human and Animal DNA" points out that:

Cell lines, which can be derived from aborted human babies, can last for decades and are developed from a single type of cell. Yet it is known that after continuous culturing these lines begin to mutate into cancer-causing agents. If these cell lines do this spontaneously in the lab, what are the chances they are doing the same thing once inside the human body where the culturing never ends? ... We are being injected via vaccine with bits and pieces of other human beings; with the bits and pieces of other mammals. Whatever the intended purpose of vaccines was initially, it is apparent that too little is either known or acknowledged regarding the

potential adverse side-effects from co-mingling the DNA of humans and animals and the potential for viral and bacterial cross-contaminations that can and do occur.

### **Toxic Vaccine Adjuvants / Ingredients #5: MSG (Monosodium Glutamate)**

MSG (monosodium glutamate or sodium glutamate) is the sodium salt of the glutamic acid (glutamate), which is one of the amino acids or protein building blocks. Free glutamic acid is a neurotransmitter that your brain, nervous system, eyes, pancreas, and other organs need to function and start certain processes in your body. MSG has become notorious for being a hidden ingredient in many foods which creates the illusion of protein or more food being present in what you're eating – when they're really not. In 1959, the FDA (Food and Drug Administration) labeled MSG as "Generally Recognized as Safe" (GRAS), yet since then it has acknowledged the existence of an "MSG Symptom Complex" which describes short-term reactions to MSG. These include numerous side effects which people experience after eating MSG, such as numbness, headaches, fatigue, disorientation and heart palpitations.

Despite its GRAS rating, the FDA commissioned a study on MSG in 1995, probably because of people complaining about "Chinese Restaurant Syndrome". This study found that MSG Symptom Complex can involve symptoms such as:

- Burning sensation
- Facial pressure or tightness
- Chest pain or difficulty breathing
- Headache
- Nausea
- Palpitation
- Numbness
- Tingling
- Bronchospasm
- Drowsiness
- Weakness

How many people are sensitive to MSG? It has been estimated up to 40%. Dr. Russell Blaylock, a board-certified neurosurgeon and author of *'Excitotoxins: The Taste that Kills'*, has done considerable research on MSG. He calls it an excitotoxin, meaning it overexcites your cells to the point of damage or death, causing brain damage to varying degrees, and potentially triggering or worsening amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS, Lou Gehrig's disease), Parkinson's, and Alzheimer's. All three diseases can develop gradually.

Why is MSG being used in vaccines? It's being used because it is a stabilizer that prevents or slows down oxidation or damage from light. This website claims that MSG is only added to some vaccines: "Glutamate is added as a nutrient to the growth medium for MMR-II and is not in the final product in significant amounts; monosodium glutamate is added to

FluMist (0.188 mg/0.2 mL dose); monosodium L-glutamate is added to ProQuad (.4mg), Zostavax (.62mg) and Varivax (.5mg); and potassium glutamate is added to RabAvert (1mg).”

Still, since MSG is a known toxin with deleterious effects on human health, why do doctors willingly inject it into people?

### **Toxic Vaccine Adjuvants / Ingredients #6: Formaldehyde**

Formaldehyde is a foul-smelling chemical used as a preservative and biocide. The IARC categorizes it as a class 1 definite carcinogen, and the National Toxicology Program concluded in this study that:

Formaldehyde is known to be a human carcinogen based on sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity from studies in humans and supporting data on mechanisms of carcinogenesis. Formaldehyde was first listed in the Second Annual Report on Carcinogens in 1981 as reasonably anticipated to be a human carcinogen based on sufficient evidence from studies in experimental animals. Since that time, additional cancer studies in humans have been published ...

### **Toxic Vaccine Adjuvants / Ingredients #7: Antibiotics**

Antibiotics are typically used in vaccine production and manufacture. Antibiotics were once the crown jewel of Western medicine, with their ability to wipe out infectious diseases, but many have long known they are a double-edged sword. Antibiotics indiscriminately kill all the bacteria in your system, helpful or harmful. This obviously destroys the balance of bacteria in your gut, which forms the basis of your immune system. Thus, antibiotics invite all sorts of future problems and disease (unless you quickly re-establish the proper balance of bacteria, e.g. with probiotics), including fungal attacks.

There have been many reports in the last few years that Big Pharma is no longer investing in antibiotics, because every time they invent a new antibiotic drug, the bacteria adapt to it and change. This process is fueling the rise of superbugs. The FDA states some of the antibiotics used during vaccine manufacture include neomycin, polymyxin B, streptomycin and gentamicin. Some of these belong to the aminoglycoside class of antibiotics, which include side effects such as dizziness, nausea, renal (kidney) toxicity and ototoxicity (hearing loss).

### **Toxic Vaccine Adjuvants / Ingredients #8: Squalene**

Squalene was an experimental toxic vaccine adjuvant added to vaccines around the time of the first Gulf War. Squalene has been implicated in GWS (Gulf War Syndrome) in this study, and the DoD (Department of Defense) conveniently “lost” (read: destroyed) 700,000 immunization records, which might have told us why squalene was showing up in Gulf War veterans’ blood samples. ProjectCensored.com commented:

A military lab researcher interviewed by Insight was quoted as saying, *“We have found soldiers who are not sick that do not have the antibodies, and we found soldiers who never left the United States, but who got shots (administered by the military) who are sick—and they have squalene in their systems. We found people who served overseas in various parts of the desert that are sick who have squalene. And we found people who served in the desert but were civilians who never got the shots, who are not sick and do not have squalene.”*

### **Toxic Vaccine Adjuvants / Ingredients #9: Peanut Oil #65**

Peanuts are known as a very common allergen, yet despite this, there are some vaccines which contain peanut oil. It has been used for a very long time. In fact, Dr. Lawrence Palevsky writes that it has been in use since 1960, and since Big Pharma vaccine manufacturers are not required by law to list every single ingredient used in culturing vaccines, there may be no real way to now which vaccines have traces of it. He writes:

If current vaccine package inserts do not contain the specific evidence that peanut oil, or peanut meal, is contained within the final vaccine product, it does not mean that peanut antigen is not in the final vaccine product. Vaccine manufacturers use different growth media on which to manufacture the vaccines. They do not report, and I believe are not required to report, the exact ingredients in all of the growth media. Therefore, we may not know whether peanut antigen is used in the vaccine manufacturing process just by reading through the package inserts ... and, it may, or may not, have anything to do with an attempt to purposely hide the information that peanut antigen is present in vaccines.

Peanut oil is far from the only adjuvant used in vaccines which is highly allergenic. Other vaccine antigens, such as those used in Hepatitis B vaccines and the HPV (Human Papillomavirus) vaccine Gardasil, are manufactured in yeast cells. Yeast proteins have been shown to cause allergic reactions in people. Do you want this stuff in your bloodstream?

### **Toxic Vaccine Adjuvants / Ingredients #10: GMOs**

On top of all the toxic vaccine adjuvants listed above, the GMO (Genetically Modified Organism) issue also pertains to vaccines, because vaccines are now being made with genetically engineered viruses. This goes to show that, sadly, the so-called sacred precautionary principle of science is often thrown to the wind when the potential for massive profit arises. We simply do not know what effect genetically engineered viruses will have on the human body long term. What happens when foreign DNA is inserted into the body? Does it trigger undesirable changes in human cells? Does the human body treat it as foreign and attack it? Does it combine with human DNA, and if so, is the new combined DNA an enhancement or impairment? Will it transfer to future generations? We're clearly moving into unknown and potentially very dangerous territory by allowing this stuff to be injected into our bodies.

This study warned of the dangers of using genetically engineered viruses in vaccines:

Genetically modified (GM) viruses and genetically engineered virus-vector vaccines possess significant unpredictability and a number of inherent harmful potential hazards ... Important questions concerning effects on non-targeted individuals within the same species or other species remain unknown. Horizontal transfer of genes, though lacking supportive experimental or epidemiological investigations, is well established. New hybrid virus progenies resulting from genetic recombination between genetically engineered vaccine viruses and their naturally occurring relatives may possess totally unpredictable characteristics with regard to host preferences and disease-causing potentials. Furthermore, when genetically modified or engineered virus particles break down in the environment, their nuclei acids are released ... There is inadequate knowledge to define either the probability of unintended events or the consequences of genetic modifications.

### **Other Toxic Vaccine Adjuvants and Ingredients**

In addition to the above top 10 toxic vaccine adjuvants and ingredients, it would also be wise to take note of many other possible vaccine fillers, which include:

- acetone (solvent used in fingernail polish remover)
- ammonium sulfate
- amphotericin B
- betapropiolactone
- formalin
- gelatin
- glycerol
- hydrolized gelatin
- phenol red indicator
- phenoxyethanol (antifreeze)
- potassium diphosphate
- potassium monophosphate
- polymyxin B
- polysorbate 20
- polysorbate 80
- residual MRC5 proteins
- sorbitol
- streptomycin (antibiotic)
- tri(n)butylphosphate (neurotoxin)

Investigating vaccines fully and deeply is like opening a witch's "Pandora's box" brew ... who knows what creatures and concoctions are lurking in that syringe?

What do you think? Do you think it's fair to call vaccines "good medicine"? Please spread this article far and wide, and let us know your opinion.

Want to keep informed on the latest and greatest news and analysis on the New World Order, Natural Health, Sovereignty and more? Sign up for free blog updates!

Makia Freeman is the editor of The Freedom Articles and senior researcher at ToolsForFreedom.com, writing on many aspects of the global conspiracy, from vaccines to Zionism to false flag operations and more, and also including info on natural health, sovereignty and higher consciousness.

Professor Milton P. Siegel, who for 24 years was the Assistant Director General of the World Health Organization, was recorded in 1992 by Dr. Stephen D. Mumford, President of the Center for Research on Population and Security. This is the first time that it has been made available to the public.

Because of his position and the length of his tenure, Professor Siegel is considered among the world's foremost authorities on the development of World Health Organization policy. In this interview, he reveals the influence of the Vatican in shaping WHO policy, particularly in blocking adoption of the concept that overpopulation is a grave public-health threat -- a concept which, in whose early years, enjoyed a broad consensus among member countries.

Without this separation of population dynamics from WHO public-health policy, the Vatican would have found it much more difficult to subsequently manipulate governments on such issues as family planning and abortion. National leaders would have been able to refer to the international consensus, as demonstrated by WHO policy. WHO, they could have insisted, has determined that family planning and abortion -- like clean water, good nutrition, and immunizations -- are necessary to protect public health.

Professor Siegel decided to speak out on the subject. As he was involved in the WHO at an early stage, his personal experience provides ample evidence that the Vatican influenced WHO policy development from the outset, during the early period of the Interim Commission in 1946. In its 63-year history, this international health body has had a deplorable record in family planning. Its commitment has been minuscule, and even today family planning accounts for only a tiny fraction of its budget.

Professor Siegel joined the World Health Organization in 1946, when it was still in its formative stages -- under the umbrella of the United Nations, created just the year before. Because of his earlier work in North America and the Middle East, he was asked, in effect, to be one of WHO's "founding fathers". So he came on board on the senior staff of the Interim Commission. Dr. Brock Chisholm of Canada was the Executive Director of the Commission, which set up the permanent organization with headquarters in Geneva, Switzerland. Dr. Chisholm was chosen to be whose first Director General.



It has been recognized that the elitists of the world desire to reduce global population by around 80 percent and as much as 99 percent. There are still large numbers of people amongst the general public, in academia, and especially those who work for the corporate media, who are still in denial about the on-the-record stated agenda for global population reduction, as well as the consequences of this program that we already see unfolding.

Following is a compendium of evidence to prove that the elite have been obsessed with eugenics and its modern day incarnation, population control, for well over 100 years and that goal of global population reduction is still in full force to this day.

### **The World's Elite Are Discussing Population Reduction**

During a TED conference, an organization which is sponsored by one of the largest toxic waste polluters on the planet, Bill Gates told the audience that vaccines need to be used to reduce world population figures in order to solve global warming and lower CO2 emissions to almost zero.

Stating that the global population was heading towards 9 billion, Bill Gates said, *"If we do a really great job on new vaccines, health care, reproductive health services (abortion), we could lower that by perhaps 10 or 15 per cent."*

Quite how an improvement in health care and vaccines that supposedly save lives would lead to a lowering in global population is an oxymoron, unless Gates is referring to vaccines that sterilize people, which is precisely the same method advocated in White House science advisor John P. Holdren's 1977 textbook *'Ecoscience'*, which calls for a dictatorial "planetary regime" to enforce draconian measures of population reduction via all manner of oppressive techniques, including sterilization.

Gates' eugenicist zeal is shared by his fellow Bilderberg elitists, many of whom have advocated draconian policies of population control in their own public speeches and writings. Indeed, the Rockefeller family funded eugenics research in Germany through the Kaiser-Wilhelm Institutes in Berlin and Munich. The Rockefeller Foundation praised Hitler's sterilization program in Nazi Germany. David Rockefeller attended the first Bilderberg meeting in 1954 and was until his death the head of Bilderberg's "steering committee".

A joint World Health Organization-Rockefeller inoculation program against tetanus in Nicaragua, Mexico and the Philippines in the early 1990's was in fact a covert trial on using vaccines to medically abort women's babies.

"Comite Pro Vida de Mexico, a Roman Catholic lay organization, became suspicious of the motives behind the WHO program and decided to test numerous vials of the vaccine and found them to contain human Chorionic Gonadotrophin, or hCG," writes historian F. William Engdahl in his article, "Bill Gates And Neo-Eugenics: Vaccines To Reduce Population". "That was a curious component for a vaccine designed to protect people against lock-jaw arising from

infection with rusty nail wounds or other contact with certain bacteria found in soil. The tetanus disease was indeed, also rather rare. It was also curious because hCG was a natural hormone needed to maintain a pregnancy. However, when combined with a tetanus toxoid carrier, it stimulated formation of antibodies against hCG, rendering a woman incapable of maintaining a pregnancy, a form of concealed abortion. Similar reports of vaccines laced with hCG hormones came from the Philippines and Nicaragua.”

Gates recently announced that he would be funding a sterilization program that would use sharp blasts of ultrasound directed against a man’s scrotum to render him infertile for six months. “The foundation has funded a new “sweat-triggered vaccine delivery” program based on nanoparticles penetrating human skin. The technology is described as a way to “...develop nanoparticles that penetrate the skin through hair follicles and burst upon contact with human sweat to release vaccines,” writes health researcher Mike Adams.

As was reported by the *‘London Times’*, a “secret billionaire club” meeting in early May 2009 which took place in New York and was attended by David Rockefeller, Ted Turner, Bill Gates and others was focused around *“how their wealth could be used to slow the growth of the world’s population”*.

News reporters questioned establishment media spin which portrayed the attendees as kind-hearted and concerned philanthropists by pointing out that Ted Turner has publicly advocated shocking population reduction programs that would cull the human population by a staggering 95%. He has also called for a Communist-style one child policy to be mandated by governments in the west. In China, the one child policy is enforced by means of taxes on each subsequent child, allied to an intimidation program which includes secret police and “family planning” authorities kidnapping pregnant women from their homes and performing forced abortions.

Of course, Turner completely fails to follow his own rules on how everyone else should live their lives, having five children and owning no less than 2 million acres of land.

In the third world, Turner has contributed literally billions to population reduction, namely through United Nations programs, leading the way for the likes of Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and Warren Buffet (Bill Gates’ father has long been a leading board member of Planned Parenthood and a top eugenicist).

The notion that these elitists merely want to slow population growth in order to improve health is a complete misnomer. Slowing the growth of the world’s population while also improving its health are two irreconcilable concepts to the elite. Stabilizing world population is a natural byproduct of higher living standards, as has been proven by the stabilization of the white population in the west. Elitists like David Rockefeller have no interest in “slowing the growth of world population” by natural methods, their agenda is firmly rooted in the pseudo-science of eugenics, which is all about “culling” the surplus population via draconian methods.

David Rockefeller's legacy was and still is not derived from a well-meaning "philanthropic" urge to improve health in third world countries, it is born out of a Malthusian drive to eliminate the poor and those deemed racially inferior, using the justification of social Darwinism.

As is documented in Alex Jones' seminal film 'Endgame', Rockefeller's father, John D. Rockefeller, exported eugenics to Germany from its origins in Britain by bankrolling the Kaiser Wilhelm Institute which later would form a central pillar in the Third Reich's ideology of the Nazi super race. After the fall of the Nazis, top German eugenicists were protected by the allies as the victorious parties fought over who would enjoy their "expertise" in the post-war world.

As Dr. Len Horowitz writes, "In the 1950s, the Rockefellers reorganized the U.S. eugenics movement in their own family offices, with spinoff population-control and abortion groups. The Eugenics Society changed its name to the Society for the Study of Social Biology, its current name."

"The Rockefeller Foundation had long financed the eugenics movement in England, apparently repaying Britain for the fact that British capital and an Englishman-partner had started old John D. Rockefeller out in his Oil Trust. In the 1960s, the Eugenics Society of England adopted what they called Crypto-eugenics, stating in their official reports that they would do eugenics through means and instruments not labeled as eugenics."

"With support from the Rockefellers, the Eugenics Society (England) set up a sub-committee called the International Planned Parenthood Federation, which for 12 years had no other address than the Eugenics Society. This, then, is the private, international apparatus which has set the world up for a global holocaust, under the UN flag."

In the latter half of the 20th century, eugenics merely changed its face to become known as "population control". This was crystallized in National Security Study Memorandum 200, a 1974 geopolitical strategy document prepared by Rockefeller's intimate friend and fellow Bilderberg member Henry Kissinger, which targeted thirteen countries for massive population reduction by means of creating food scarcity, sterilization and war.

Henry Kissinger: In the now declassified 1974 document, "National Security Memorandum 200", Kissinger outlines the plan to use food scarcity as a weapon in order to achieve population reduction in lesser-developed countries.

The document, declassified in 1989, identified 13 countries that were of special interest to U.S. geopolitical objectives and outlined why population growth, and particularly that of young people who were seen as a revolutionary threat to U.S. corporations, was a potential roadblock to achieving these objectives. The countries named were India, Bangladesh, Pakistan, Nigeria, Mexico, Indonesia, Brazil, the Philippines, Thailand, Egypt, Turkey, Ethiopia and Colombia.

The study outlined how civil disturbances affecting the “smooth flow of needed materials” would be less likely to occur “under conditions of slow or zero population growth.” “Development of a worldwide political and popular commitment to population stabilization is fundamental to any effective strategy. This requires the support and commitment of key LDC leaders. This will only take place if they clearly see the negative impact of unrestricted population growth and believe it is possible to deal with this question through governmental action,” states the document.

The document called for integrating “family planning” (otherwise known as abortion) with routine health services for the purposes of “curbing the numbers of LDC people,” (lesser-developed countries).

The report shockingly outlines how withholding food could be used as a means of punishment for lesser-developed countries who do not act to reduce their population, essentially using food as a weapon for a political agenda by creating mass starvation in under-developed countries.

“The allocation of scarce PL480 (food) resources should take account of what steps a country is taking in population control as well as food production,” states the document. Later in the document, the idea of enforcing “mandatory programs” by using food as “an instrument of national power” is presented.

The document states that the program will be administered through the United Nations Fund for Population Activities (UNFPA), thereby “avoiding the danger that some LDC leaders will see developed-country pressures for family planning as a form of economic or racial imperialism; this could well create a serious backlash.”

As Jean Guilfoyle writes, “NSSM 200 was a statement composed after the fact. During the late 1960s and early 1970s, the U.S. had worked diligently behind the scenes to advance the population-control agenda at the United Nations, contributing the initial funding of \$1 million.

A Department of State telegram, dated July 1969, reported the support of John D. Rockefeller III, among others, for the appointment of Rafael Salas of the Philippines as senior officer to co-ordinate and administers the UN population program. The administrator of the UN Development Program reported confidentially that he preferred someone such as Salas who had the “advantage of color, religion (Catholic) and conviction.”

A comprehensive outline of what is contained in the National Security Memorandum document can be read at <http://www.theinterim.com/july98/20nssm.html>.

Evidence of the actual consequences of this program can be found with the link between vaccines and sterilization, as well as other diseases such as cancer, in both the west and the third world. Further evidence of the link between vaccinations, birth control, cancer and other diseases can be researched here.

In the 21st century, the eugenics movement has changed its stripes once again, manifesting itself through the global carbon tax agenda and the notion that having too many children or enjoying a reasonably high standard of living is destroying the planet through global warming, creating the pretext for further regulation and control over every facet of our lives.

As we have tirelessly documented, the elite's drive for population control is not based around a benign philanthropic urge to improve living standards, it is firmly rooted in eugenics, racial hygiene and fascist thinking.

According to the *'The London Times'* report, the secret billionaire cabal, with its interest in population reduction, has been dubbed 'The Good Club' by insiders. This couldn't be further from the truth. Anyone who takes the time to properly research the origins of the "population control" movement will come to understand that the Rockefeller-Turner-Gates agenda for drastic population reduction, which is now clearly manifesting itself through real environmental crises like chemtrails, genetically modified food, tainted vaccines and other skyrocketing diseases such as cancer, has its origins in the age-old malevolent elitist agenda to cull the human "chattel" as one would do to rodents or any other species deemed a nuisance by the central planning authorities.

### **Sterilization And Eugenics Returns In Popular Culture**

We are now seeing the return of last century's eugenicist movement through the popular promotion of sterilization as a method of birth control.

A popular women's magazine in the UK recently featured an article entitled, "Young, Single and Sterilized", in which women in their 20's discussed why they had undergone an operation to prevent them from ever having children. The article is little more than PR for a "women's charity" called Marie Stopes International, an organization that carries out abortions and sterilizations and was founded by a Nazi eugenicist who advocated compulsory sterilization of non-whites and "those of bad character".

In the article, sterilization is lauded as an "excellent method of birth control" by Dr. Patricia Lohr of the British Pregnancy Advisory Service. The article includes an advertisement that encourages women to seek "more information about sterilization" by contacting Marie Stopes International. We read that, "Over the past year, a quarter of the women who booked a sterilization consultation with women's charity Marie Stopes were aged 30 or under."

Marie Stopes was a feminist who opened the first birth control clinic in Britain in 1921 as well as being Nazi sympathizer and a eugenicist who advocated that non-whites and the poor be sterilized. Stopes, a racist and an anti-Semite, campaigned for selective breeding to achieve racial purity, a passion she shared with Adolf Hitler in adoring letters and poems that she sent the leader of the Third Reich. Stopes also attended the Nazi congress on population science in Berlin in 1935, while calling for the "compulsory sterilization of the diseased, drunkards, or

simply those of bad character.” Stopes acted on her appalling theories by concentrating her abortion clinics in poor areas so as to reduce the birth rate of the lower classes.

Stopes left most of her estate to the Eugenics Society, an organization that shared her passion for racial purity and still exists today under the new name The Galton Institute. The society has included members such as Charles Galton Darwin (grandson of the evolutionist), Julian Huxley and Margaret Sanger.

Ominously, The Galton Institute website promotes its support and funding initiative for “the practical delivery of family planning facilities, especially in developing countries.” In other words, the same organization that once advocated sterilizing black people to achieve racial purity in the same vein as the Nazis is now bankrolling abortions of black babies in the third world.

While the issue of abortion is an entirely different argument, most would agree that no matter how extreme it sounds, a woman has the right to sterilize herself if she so chooses, just as a man has the right to a vasectomy.

But when a magazine aimed primarily at young women all but encourages girls as young as 20 to have their fallopian tubes tied in order to prevent the “irritation” of children entering their lives and then advertises an organization founded by a Nazi eugenicist that can perform the operation, something has to be amiss.

Even more shocking than this is the fact that the majority of people in the UK routinely express their support for society’s “undesirables” to be forcibly sterilized by the state, harking back to a time when such a thing was commonplace right up to the 1970’s in some areas of America and Europe.

As highlighted at the time, respondents to a *‘Daily Mail’* article about Royal Mail honoring Marie Stopes by using her image on a commemorative stamp were not disgusted at Royal Mail for paying homage to a racist Nazi eugenicist, but were merely keen to express their full agreement that those deemed not to be of pure genetic stock or of the approved character should be forcibly sterilized and prevented from having children.

*“A lot of people should be sterilized, IMO. It’s still true today,”* wrote one. *“Just imagine what a stable, well-ordered society we’d have if compulsory sterilization had been adopted years ago for the socially undesirable,”* states another respondent, calling for a “satellite-carried sterilization ray” to be installed in space to zap the undesirables.

Shockingly, another compares sterilization and genocide of those deemed inferior to the breeding and culling of farmyard animals, and says that such a move is necessary to fight overpopulation and global warming. Here is the comment in full from “Karen” in Wales;

*“We breed farm animals to produce the best possible stock and kill them when they have fulfilled their purpose. We inter-breed pedigree animals to produce extremes that leave them open to ill-health and early death. It is only religion that says humans are not animals. The reality is that we are simply intelligent, mammalian primates”.*

The world population of humans has increased from 2 billion to 6.5 billion in the last 50 years. This planet can support 2 billion humans comfortably. 6.5 billion humans use too many resources and leads to global warming, climate change and a very uncertain future for all of us – humans and all other life sharing this planet with us.

Marie Stopes believed in population control and in breeding the best possible humans. So did Hitler. Neither of the aims are bad in themselves. It is how they are achieved that is the problem. The fact that we still remember Marie Stopes is an achievement in itself.

The nature of these comments is so fundamentally sick and twisted that one is tempted to dismiss them as a joke – but these people are deadly serious. Presumably they would also agree with China’s one child policy, which is routinely enforced by intimidation as young pregnant women are grabbed off the streets by state goons and taken to hospitals where forced abortions are carried out.

Now with popular women’s magazines advising women in their 20’s where they can go to be sterilized and ensure a lifetime of partying and carefree sex, it’s no surprise that experts predict that by 2010 one in four western women will be child free for life. 2010 has come and gone, but the agenda is still the same.

The yearning to have children is the most beautiful, natural and innate emotion either a man or a woman can possibly experience. That is not to say that it’s always wrong for some people not to have children – extreme circumstances can justify such a decision. But to have yourself sterilized because you find children to be an “irritant” and want to live a life free of responsibility or consequences is an awful message to send to young women, especially in the sex-saturated entertainment culture that we are now forced to endure.

Furthermore, the outright promotion of Marie Stopes International as ‘the place to go’ to get sterilized if you’re under 30 is stomach-churning considering the fact that the origins of this organization can be found in Nazi ideology, racist and backward early 20th century eugenics and a long-standing agenda to cull the population of undesirables, an abhorrent belief still held by elites across the planet today.

### **Genocidal Population Reduction Programs Embraced By Academia**

One such individual who embraces the notion that humans are a virus that should be wiped out in masse for the good of mother earth is Dr. Eric R. Pianka, an American biologist based at the University of Texas in Austin.

During a speech to the Texas Academy of Science in March 2006, Pianka advocated the need to exterminate 90% of the world's population through the airborne Ebola virus. The reaction from scores of top scientists and professors in attendance was not one of shock or revulsion – they stood and applauded Pianka's call for mass genocide. Pianka's speech was ordered to be kept off the record before it began as cameras were turned away and hundreds of students, scientists and professors sat in attendance.

Saying the public was not ready to hear the information presented, Pianka began by exclaiming, *"We're no better than bacteria!"*, as he jumped into a doomsday Malthusian rant about overpopulation destroying the earth.

Standing in front of a slide of human skulls, Pianka gleefully advocated airborne Ebola as his preferred method of exterminating the necessary 90% of humans, choosing it over AIDS because of its faster kill period. Ebola victims suffer the most tortuous deaths imaginable as the virus kills by liquefying the internal organs. The body literally dissolves as the victim writhes in pain bleeding from every orifice.

Pianka then cited the Peak Oil fraud as another reason to initiate global genocide. *"And the fossil fuels are running out,"* he said, *"so I think we may have to cut back to two billion, which would be about one-third as many people."*

Later, the scientist welcomed the potential devastation of the avian flu virus and spoke glowingly of China's enforced one child policy, before zestfully commenting, *"We need to sterilize everybody on the Earth."*

At the end of Pianka's speech the audience erupted not to a chorus of boos and hisses but to a raucous reception of applause and cheers as audience members clambered to get close to the scientist to ask him follow up questions. Pianka was later presented with a distinguished scientist award by the Academy. Pianka is no crackpot. He has given lectures to prestigious universities worldwide. Indeed, humanity cannot underestimate Pianka and other scientists from going rogue by releasing viruses into the air.

Indeed, the notion that the earth's population needs to be drastically reduced is a belief shared almost unanimously by academics across the western hemisphere.

In 2002, *'The Melbourne Age'* reported on newly uncovered documents detailing Nobel Peace Prize winning microbiologist Sir Macfarlane Burnet's plan to help the Australian government develop biological weapons for use against Indonesia and other "overpopulated" countries of South-East Asia.

From the article;

Sir Macfarlane recommended in a secret report in 1947 that biological and chemical weapons should be developed to target food crops and spread infectious diseases. His key



advisory role on biological warfare was uncovered by Canberra historian Philip Dorling in the National Archives in 1998.

*“Specifically to the Australian situation, the most effective counter-offensive to threatened invasion by overpopulated Asiatic countries would be directed towards the destruction by biological or chemical means of tropical food crops and the dissemination of infectious disease capable of spreading in tropical but not under Australian conditions,”* Sir Macfarlane said.

The Victorian-born immunologist, who headed the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, won the Nobel prize for medicine in 1960. He died in 1985 but his theories on immunity and “clonal selection” provided the basis for modern biotechnology and genetic engineering.

Controversy surrounding the comments of another darling of scientific academia, geneticist James Watson, who told a *‘Sunday Times’* newspaper interviewer that black people are inherently less intelligent than whites, should come as no surprise to those who are aware of Watson’s role in pushing the dark pseudo-science of eugenics.

Watson told the interviewer that he was *“inherently gloomy about the prospect of Africa”* because *“all our social policies are based on the fact that their intelligence is the same as ours – whereas all the testing says not really”*.

Watson was the Head of the Human Genome Project until 1992 and is best known for his contribution to the discovery of DNA, an achievement that won him the Nobel Peace prize in 1962. But what most people are unaware of is the fact that Watson has played an integral role in advancing the legitimacy of the eugenics/population reduction movement for decades.

Watson is a strong proponent of genetic screening, a test to determine whether a couple is at increased risk of having a baby with a hereditary genetic disorder. Since such screening obviously increases the rate of abortions of babies considered “imperfect,” many have slammed its introduction as nothing more than a camouflage for eugenics or “voluntary eugenics” as British philosophy professor Philip Kitcher labeled it.

Watson’s advocacy of genetic engineering stretched to his call for the “really stupid” bottom 10% of people to be “cured”. Watson even urged woman to be given carte blanche to abort babies should tests determine that they are likely to be homosexual, despite the vast body of evidence indicating homosexuality is a result of environment rather than genetic code. The geneticist has gone so far as to promote the idea of creating a kind of Nazi super-race, where the attractive and physically strong are genetically manufactured under laboratory conditions.

*“People say it would be terrible if we made all girls pretty. I think it would be great,”* said Watson. Dr. Erik Pianka’s doomsday warning of the population bomb, for which he presented

no evidence whatsoever, is complete pseudo-science. Populations in developed countries are declining and only in third world countries are they expanding dramatically. Industrialization itself levels out population trends and aside from this, world population models routinely show that the earth's population will level out at 9 billion in 2050 and slowly decline after that. *"The population of the most developed countries will remain virtually unchanged at 1.2 billion until 2050,"* states a United Nations report. Conservation International's own study revealed that 46% of the earth's surface was an untouched wilderness that is land areas not including sea.

Think about the magnitude of Pianka's statements. He wants to kill nine out of every ten members of your family and he wants to kill them in one of the most painful and agonizing ways imaginable.

If Pianka, or 'The Lizard Man' as he likes to be called, is so vehement in embracing the necessity of culling the human population will he step forward to be the first one in line? Will he sacrifice his children for the so-called greater good of the planet? We somehow doubt it.

Will the students and other top academics who so enthusiastically greeted his ideas go home and kill themselves for the cause if it is so righteous?

It was noted how Pianka presented his argument with the kind of glee that you would see in a demented serial killer before dispatching his victim. This is an attitude we have encountered again and again. To discuss killing 90% of the world's population via a horrific plague is sick enough within itself but you would at least expect its advocates to be serious and sober in their approach to the subject. The opposite seems to be the case, where the subject is aired in a context of lighthearted lip-smacking and hand-rubbing as if the individual was about to sink his teeth into a juicy T-bone steak.

This window gives us a clear view of exactly why these deranged bastards encompass this ideology. They love death and their lives are motivated by dark influences very different to you or I. Throughout history, elites have invented justifications for barbaric practices as a cover for their true agenda of absolute power and control over populations.

### **More Examples of Population Reduction & Eugenics**

From 1932 until 1972, the Tuskegee Study Group deliberately infected poor black communities in Alabama with syphilis without their consent and withheld treatment as the diseased rampaged through the town killing families.

In 1951 the Israeli government used US government provided technology to irradiate 100,000 Jewish children in a mass atomic experiment with an entire generation of Sephardi youths used as guinea pigs. 6,000 died immediately after the experiments and the rest suffered for the rest of their lives with debilitating illnesses and cancer.

As we have documented, members of the elite are quite open in their feverish lust to commit mass murder and ethnic cleansing. In the foreword to his biography *If I Were An Animal*, Prince Philip, another closet Nazi, wrote, *"In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation."*

This is just one of many on the record statements where Prince Philip has advocated his desire to "cull" the surplus human population. In another speech, he even lambasted the fact that lives were saved in Sri Lanka through Malaria treatments because it meant there were three times as many mouths to feed.

One of the most chilling admissions of the elitists' deadly intent to forcibly commit genocide to reduce global population came from the lips of the late Jacques Cousteau, the sainted environmental icon. In an interview with the UNESCO Courier for November 1991 the famed oceanographer said:

*"The damage people cause to the planet is a function of demographics — it is equal to the degree of development. One American burdens the earth much more than twenty Bangaladeshes. The damage is directly linked to consumption. Our society is turning toward more and needless consumption. It is a vicious circle that I compare to cancer...."*

***"This is a terrible thing to say. In order to stabilize world population, we must eliminate 350,000 people per day. It is a horrible thing to say, but it's just as bad not to say it."***

It is important to stress that this essay merely scratches the surface of both the stated goals of global population reduction from elitists and insiders, along with concrete examples of these programs being carried out. We could not possibly list them all in one article because this would take a book the length of an encyclopedia. When I was in college, I took a course in Population Demographics. It became starkly clear back then that the world faces the inevitable fact of the Exponential Function. It has no easy choices. The reality that once was focused on third world countries has become the reality of the industrial world. Population growth continues to outpace population reduction.

In these four segments, we can clearly see the complicity of so-called government safety agencies, established to lookout for the public safety in food, water, air, and work place. In recent years they have been negligent, if not culpable in the deaths of millions. Do not forget, some 50-60 million Americans received Polio vaccines during the late 1950's that were corrupted with SV40, a cancer causing agent found in monkeys.

An infectious viral affliction that attacks nerve cells and, at times, the body's central nervous system, polio causes a phenomenon known as muscle wasting (a decrease in the mass of muscle), and can also cause paralysis and death.

"Since 1900 there had been cycles of epidemics, each seeming to get stronger and more disastrous. The disease, whose early symptoms are like the flu, struck mostly children, although

adults, including Franklin Roosevelt, caught it too," said a report in the journal *'A Science Odyssey'*.

In 1952 that all changed, when Dr. Jonas Salk, a medical student and virus researcher, developed a vaccine against polio that, two years later, was accepted for testing nationwide. The principle behind the vaccine was simple and familiar: Like the vaccine that had been developed to fight smallpox, the polio vaccine introduced a small amount of the virus into the body, which then developed antibodies and an ability to fight off more powerful strains of the disease.

Admittedly, Salk's vaccine logged early success; some 60-70 percent of those vaccinated did not develop the disease. But it also saw some early problems. About 200 people who had been vaccinated got the disease, and 11 of them died, forcing a halt to all testing. Once it was determined that a faulty, poorly manufactured batch of the vaccine was the cause of those cases, stricter production standards were implemented and full-scale vaccinations nationwide resumed once more. Four million vaccines were given by 1955; by 1959, 90 countries were using it.

That said, those early cases were far from the last time the vaccine killed. In fact, throughout its history of use, Salk's polio vaccine left a path of death its wake.

Production and nationwide distribution of the polio vaccine was in full force by the end of the 1950s, but between 1959 and 1960 Dr. Bernice Eddy, a researcher with the National Institute of Health (NIH), made a startling discovery.

While examining the minced kidney cells of rhesus monkeys - from which the polio vaccines were derived - she discovered "that the cells would die without any apparent cause," according to a report by Michael E. Horwin, M.A., J.D., published in the Nov. 3, 2003, issue of the *'Albany Law Journal of Science & Technology'*.

Horwin writes:

Dr. Eddy discovered that the cells would die without any apparent cause. She then took suspensions of the cellular material from these kidney cell cultures and injected them into hamsters. Cancers grew in the hamsters. Shortly thereafter, scientists at the pharmaceutical company Merck & Co. discovered what would later be determined to be the same virus identified by Eddy. This virus was named Simian Virus 40 or SV40 because it was the 40th simian virus found in monkey kidney cells.

A few months later, in 1960, Dr. Benjamin Sweet and Dr. Maurice Hillman, both Merck scientists, published their findings. They wrote that such viruses were common in that particular breed of monkey, particularly in their kidneys:

The discovery of this new virus, the vacuolating agent, represents the detection for the first time of a hitherto "non-detectable" simian virus of monkey renal cultures and raises the important question of the existence of other such viruses . . . . As shown in this report, all 3 types of Sabin's live poliovirus vaccine, now fed to millions of persons of all ages, were contaminated with vacuolating virus...

The term "vacuolating virus" is another name for SV40, Horwin said, adding that later, in 1962, Dr. Eddy published more findings regarding the link between cancer and SV40:

The (SV40) virus was injected at once into 13 newborn hamsters and 10 newborn mice. Subcutaneous neoplasms indistinguishable from those induced by the rhesus monkey kidney extracts developed in 11 of the 13 hamsters between 156 and 380 days...

Shortly after Dr. Eddy's discovery was made public, a host of high-powered researchers and scientists, including Dr. Salk himself, stepped forward to defend the polio vaccine.

An Associated Press story published April 7, 1963 ("New Data Ties Cancer, Virus"), featured quotes from a number of scientists who all pointed out that, to date, no link between SV40 and cancer had been discovered in humans.

*"It seems to me that if danger were attached to SV-40, we would recognize it by now,"* the AP quoted Dr. Michael B. Shimkin of the National Cancer Institute as saying. Dr. Shimkin went on to say that *"the public can be reassured"* because careful studies "have produced no evidence whatsoever that during the last seven years there has been an increase in leukemia or cancer which can be attributed to SV-40."

Dr. Joseph L. Melnick of Baylor University in Texas agreed, saying that there had been no link discovered, a claim echoed by Dr. Salk, according to the AP story. Even Dr. Eddy *"said this virus is not known to induce tumors in man or monkeys,"* the report said.

By 1960, Horwin notes, the Salk injectable polio vaccine had been given to about 98 million American children and adults, while Sabin's oral version had been given to about 10,000 Americans and tens of millions of Soviet citizens, where trials had been conducted. "It was estimated that 10% to 30% of the vaccines contained live SV40," he wrote, noting that despite the link discovered by Dr. Eddy, no federal agency and no new federal rules that regulated the manufacture, sale and distribution of vaccines required makers of the polio vaccine to *"discard their SV40-contaminated poliovirus seeds which were the source for all subsequent polio vaccines."*

Subsequent federal testing of the vaccines, which occurred in the mid-1960s, were also inadequate, Horwin notes, because *"the fourteen-day SV40 tests were not long enough to detect the virus."* Yet in the years afterward, the incidence of pediatric cancer increased. *"Indeed, the pediatric cancer rate continued to climb through the 1960's, 70's, 80's and 90's,"* he wrote.

That claim is backed by other research as well. *"Whether childhood cancer is becoming more common is a controversial question among scientists,"* writes Amy D. Kyle, for EnviroHealthPolicy.net.

*"Data from the cancer tracking systems in the US suggest that childhood cancer is increasing,"* she adds, noting a graph which tracked the increase in pediatric cancer rates in the latter part of the 20th century. The American Childhood Cancer Association goes a step further, stating that according to statistics, cancer is the number one killer of children in the U.S.

In 2005 the National Network for Immunization Information published a somewhat conflicting report regarding a link between SV40 and increased cancer rates. "Although SV40 has biological properties consistent with a cancer-causing virus, it has not been conclusively established whether it has caused cancer in humans," said the report. "Epidemiological studies of groups of people who received polio vaccine during 1955-1963 do not show an increased cancer risk."

But later, the same report seems to contradict itself: However, a number of studies have found SV40 in certain forms of cancer in humans, such as mesotheliomas - rare tumors located in the lungs - brain and bone tumors; the virus has also been found to be associated with some types of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma.

In 2002, the IOM's (Institute of Medicine) Immunization Safety Review Committee considered that the available data was inadequate to conclude whether or not the contaminated polio vaccine may have caused cancer. Because there is biological evidence supporting the theory that SV40-contamination of polio vaccines could contribute to human cancers, the committee recommended continued public health attention in the form of policy analysis, communication, and targeted biological research.

A corresponding study by the National Academy of Sciences, conducted at the request of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, was similarly "inconclusive." A panel of medical and scientific experts charged with examining any potential link between SV40 and increased cancer risk concluded: Available evidence is "inadequate to accept or reject a causal relationship between SV40-containing polio vaccines and cancer;"

The "biological evidence is strong that SV40 is a transforming virus," one that is capable of "inducing malignant transformation of animal cells in culture;" The "biological evidence is moderate that SV40 exposure could lead to cancer in humans under natural conditions;" The "biological evidence is moderate that SV40 exposure from the polio vaccine is related to SV40 infection in humans."

In the "Significance Assessment" portion of its conclusions, the panel's final report said, "The committee concludes that concerns about exposure to SV40 through inadvertent contamination of polio vaccines are significant because of the seriousness of cancers as the

possible adverse health outcomes and because of the continuing need to ensure and protect public trust in the nation's immunization program."

While the panel did not recommend "a policy review of polio vaccine by any of the national or federal vaccine advisory bodies" because the current polio vaccine is free of SV40, it did recommend "development of sensitive and specific serological tests" for the virus, as well as "development and use of ... standardized techniques for SV40 detection.

In a July 15, 2001 report, the San Francisco Chronicle published a story detailing an increased concern among researchers that the SV40 virus found in those early polio vaccines was indeed responsible for higher cancer rates.

*"For four decades, government officials have insisted that there is no evidence the simian virus called SV40 is harmful to humans. But in recent years, dozens of scientific studies have found the virus in a steadily increasing number of rare brain, bone and lung-related tumors - the same malignant cancer SV40 causes in lab animals,"* the report said. *"Even more troubling, the virus has been detected in tumors removed from people never inoculated with the contaminated vaccine, leading some to worry that those infected by the vaccine might be spreading SV40."*

Dr. Michele Carbone of Loyola University Medical Center in Maywood, Ill., told the paper he believed the virus was carcinogenic in humans. *"We need to be creating therapies for people who have these cancers, and now we may be able to because we have a target - SV40,"* he said.

Others say the few government studies regarding the potential link have been flawed. "The government has not sponsored any real research. Here's something possibly affecting millions of Americans, and they're indifferent," Dr. Adi Gazdar, a University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center cancer researcher, said. *"Maybe they don't want to find out."*

Barbara Loe Fisher, president and co-founder of the National Vaccine Information Center, a non-profit organization which advocates vaccine safety, testified before the House Government Reform Committee's subcommittee on Human Rights and Wellness in September 2003 that today, U.S. federal health agencies admit the following two facts: (1) Salk polio vaccine released for public use between 1955 and 1963 was contaminated with SV40; and SV40 has been proven to cause cancer in animals.

Continuing, Fisher said that at a 1997 conference on SV40 and human cancers held by the National Institutes of Health which she attended, *"there was no disagreement among both government and non-government scientists about these two facts. The only disagreement was whether SV40 was actually being identified in the cancerous tumors of children and adults alive today and, if it was, whether the monkey virus was in fact responsible for their cancer. Non-government scientists working in independent labs around the world said, 'Yes.' But the scientists connected with the U.S. government said 'No.'"*

Fisher went on to say that *"credentialed non-government scientists in multiple labs around the world continue to identify SV40 in human brain and lung cancers of children and adults and are finding that SV40 is also associated with bone cancers and Non-Hodgkin's Lymphomas."*

Despite ongoing denials, an increasing number of researchers continue to maintain that not only is there a bona fide link between Salk's SV40-tainted vaccines and cancer, that federal government officials and agencies responsible for ensuring the safety of such vaccines - then and now - are loathe to admit it, perhaps because they fear the fallout in terms of lawsuits and lost credibility. But eventually there is likely to be enough evidence to force a change of heart, because based on what's still being discovered about the link, the issue won't go away anytime soon.

-----

Listed below is a compendium of quotes from elitists who have time and again expressed their intent to see humanity culled by 80 per cent or more. Some come from the progenitors of the eugenicist movement and others from elitists and organizations who are still very much active and in positions of influence.

*"Indeed, it has been concluded that compulsory population-control laws, even including laws requiring compulsory abortion, could be sustained under the existing Constitution if the population crisis became sufficiently severe to endanger the society."*

John P. Holdren, Obama's science advisor, Ecoscience 1977.

*"One way to carry out this disapproval might be to insist that all illegitimate babies be put up for adoption—especially those born to minors, who generally are not capable of caring properly for a child alone. If a single mother really wished to keep her baby, she might be obliged to go through adoption proceedings and demonstrate her ability to support and care for it. Adoption proceedings probably should remain more difficult for single people than for married couples, in recognition of the relative difficulty of raising children alone. It would even be possible to require pregnant single women to marry or have abortions, perhaps as an alternative to placement for adoption, depending on the society."*

John P. Holdren, Obama's science advisor, Ecoscience 1977.

*"Adding a sterilant to drinking water or staple foods is a suggestion that seems to horrify people more than most proposals for involuntary fertility control."*

John P. Holdren, Obama's science advisor, Ecoscience 1977.



*“A program of sterilizing women after their second or third child, despite the relatively greater difficulty of the operation than vasectomy, might be easier to implement than trying to sterilize men.”*

John P. Holdren, Obama’s science advisor, Ecoscience 1977.

*“The development of a long-term sterilizing capsule that could be implanted under the skin and removed when pregnancy is desired opens additional possibilities for coercive fertility control. The capsule could be implanted at puberty and might be removable, with official permission, for a limited number of births.”*

John P. Holdren, Obama’s science advisor, Ecoscience 1977.

*“In today’s world, however, the number of children in a family is a matter of profound public concern. The law regulates other highly personal matters. For example, no one may lawfully have more than one spouse at a time. Why should the law not be able to prevent a person from having more than two children?”*

John P. Holdren, Obama’s science advisor, Ecoscience 1977.

*“Perhaps those agencies, combined with UNEP and the United Nations population agencies, might eventually be developed into a Planetary Regime—sort of an international superagency for population, resources, and environment. Such a comprehensive Planetary Regime could control the development, administration, conservation, and distribution of all natural resources, renewable or nonrenewable, at least insofar as international implications exist. Thus the Regime could have the power to control pollution not only in the atmosphere and oceans, but also in such freshwater bodies as rivers and lakes that cross international boundaries or that discharge into the oceans. The Regime might also be a logical central agency for regulating all international trade, perhaps including assistance from DCs to LDCs, and including all food on the international market.”*

John P. Holdren, Obama’s science advisor, Ecoscience 1977.

*“The Planetary Regime might be given responsibility for determining the optimum population for the world and for each region and for arbitrating various countries’ shares within their regional limits. Control of population size might remain the responsibility of each government, but the Regime would have some power to enforce the agreed limits.”*

John P. Holdren, Obama’s science advisor, Ecoscience 1977.

*“To date, there has been no serious attempt in Western countries to use laws to control excessive population growth, although there exists ample authority under which population growth could be regulated.”*

John P. Holdren, Obama's science advisor, Ecoscience 1977.

*"The resultant ideal sustainable population is hence more than 500 million but less than one billion."*

– Club of Rome, Goals for Mankind

*"In order to stabilize world population, we must eliminate 350,000 people per day. It is a horrible thing to say, but it is just as bad not to say it."*

– Jacques Cousteau, 1991 explorer and UNESCO courier

*"I believe that human overpopulation is the fundamental problem on Earth Today" [and] "We humans have become a disease, the Humanpox."*

– Dave Foreman, Sierra Club, co-founder of Earth First!

*"We don't want the word to go out that we want to exterminate the Negro population."*

– Margaret Sanger

*"MAINTAIN HUMANITY UNDER 500,000,000 IN PERPETUAL BALANCE WITH NATURE"*

– Anonymously commissioned Georgia Guidestones

*"Society has no business to permit degenerates to reproduce their kind"*

– Theodore Roosevelt

*"If I were reincarnated I would wish to be returned to earth as a killer virus to lower human population levels."*

– Prince Philip, Duke of Edinburgh, leader of the World Wildlife Fund

*"A total population of 250-300 million people, a 95% decline from present levels, would be ideal"*

– Ted Turner, founder of CNN.

*"And advanced forms of biological warfare that can "target" specific genotypes may transform biological warfare from the realm of terror to a politically useful tool."*

– The Project for a New American Century, Rebuilding America's Defenses, p. 60, Dick Cheney and Paul Wolfowitz

*“Whatever the price of the Chinese Revolution, it has obviously succeeded not only in producing more efficient and dedicated administration, but also in fostering high morale and community of purpose. The social experiment in China under Chairman Mao’s leadership is one of the most important and successful in human history.”*

– David Rockefeller Banker, Honorary director of Council on Foreign Relations, honorary chairman of Bilderberg Group & founder of Trilateral Commission. Member of Bohemian Club, praising Chairman Mao, whose policies killed at least 30 million people.

*“Every one of you who gets to survive has to bury nine.”*

– Eric Pianka

*“[Disease] will control the scourge of humanity,”*

– Eric Pianka

*“I do not pretend that birth control is the only way in which population can be kept from increasing. There are others, which, one must suppose, opponents of birth control would prefer. War, as I remarked a moment ago, has hitherto been disappointing in this respect, but perhaps bacteriological war may prove more effective. If a Black Death could be spread throughout the world once in every generation survivors could procreate freely without making the world too full. There would be nothing in this to offend the consciences of the devout or to restrain the ambitions of nationalists. The state of affairs might be somewhat unpleasant, but what of that? Really high-minded people are indifferent to happiness, especially other people’s.”*

Bertrand Russell (1872-1970), Philosopher

*“The most merciful thing that a family does to one of its infant members is to kill it.”*

– Margaret Sanger

*“Eugenic sterilization is an urgent need ... We must prevent multiplication of this bad stock.”*

– Margaret Sanger

*“Eugenics is... the most adequate and thorough avenue to the solution of racial, political and social problems.”*

– Margaret Sanger

*“The big threat to the planet is people: there are too many”*

– Sir James Lovelock

*“My three main goals would be to reduce human population to about 100 million worldwide, destroy the industrial infrastructure and see wilderness, with its full complement of species, returning throughout the world.”*

– Dave Foreman, US environmentalist and co-founder of the environmental movement Earth First!

*“The extinction of the human species may not only be inevitable but a good thing.”*

Christopher Manes, Earth First!

*“Childbearing should be a punishable crime against society, unless the parents hold a government license. All potential parents should be required to use contraceptive chemicals, the government issuing antidotes to citizens’ chosen for childbearing.”*

– David Brower, first Executive Director of the Sierra Club

*“I wish very much that the wrong people could be prevented entirely from breeding”*

– Theodore Roosevelt

*“The Puerto Ricans are the dirtiest, laziest, most dangerous and thievish race of men ever inhabiting this sphere... I have done my best to further the process of extermination by killing off eight and transplanting cancer into several more.”*

– Dr. Cornelius Rhoads (1898-1959) | Rockefeller Institute, Rhoads also headed two large chemical warfare projects, had a seat on the AEC (Atomic Energy Commission), and he headed the Sloan-Kettering Institute for Cancer Research

*“I have studied with great interest,” he told a fellow Nazi, “the laws of several American states concerning prevention of reproduction by people whose progeny would, in all probability, be of no value or be injurious to the racial stock.”*

– Adolf Hitler

*“While we were pussyfooting around...the Germans were calling a spade a spade.”*

– Whitney, executive secretary of the American Eugenics Society, declared of Nazism

*(referring to sterilizations) “The Germans are beating us at our own game.”*

– Joseph DeJarnette, superintendent of Virginia’s Western State Hospital, 1934

*“From an historical point of view, the first method which presents itself is execution . . . Its value in keeping up the standard of the race should not be underestimated.” “Applied Eugenics” also devoted a chapter to “Lethal Selection,” which operated “through the destruction of the individual by some adverse feature of the environment, such as excessive cold, or bacteria, or by bodily deficiency.”*

– In 1918, Dr. Paul Popenoe, the Army venereal disease specialist during World War I, co-wrote the widely used textbook, “Applied Eugenics”

*“Instead of recommending cleanliness to the poor, we should encourage contrary habits. In our towns we should make the streets narrower, crowd more people into the houses, and court the return of the plague.”*

– Thomas Malthus

*“Englishmen Francis Galton to describe the “science” of bettering human stock and the elimination of unwanted characteristics... and individuals. Galton proposed societal intervention for the furtherance of “racial quality,” maintaining that “Jews are specialized for a parasitical existence upon other nations” and that “except by sterilization I cannot yet see any way of checking the produce of the unfit who are allowed their liberty and are below the reach of moral control.”*

– Francis Galton

*“The Aids epidemic, rather than being a scourge, is a welcome development in the inevitable reduction of human population... If it didn’t exist, radical environmentalists would have to invent [it].”*

– Dave Foreman, the founder of the environmental group EARTH FIRST!

Francis Crick, who together with James Watson is credited with the groundbreaking discovery of the double-helix structure of DNA, declared at a conference shortly after receiving the Nobel Prize that the “reproductive autonomy” of human beings could not be tolerated in the future. Among other things, Crick suggested the idea of adding a chemical to public water supplies that would make men and women sterile; only those who qualified for a “license” to produce children, would be given an antidote drug.

*“We have to take away from humans in the long run their reproductive autonomy as the only way to guarantee the advancement of mankind.”*

– Francis Crick

Alexander Graham Bell advocated passing laws (with success in some states) for compulsory sterilization of people deemed to be, as Bell called them, a “defective variety of the human race.”

*“If the youth is content to abandon his previous associates and to throw in his lot wholeheartedly with the rulers, he may, after suitable tests, be promoted, but if he shows any regrettable solidarity with his previous associates, the rulers will reluctantly conclude that there is nothing to be done with him except to send him to the lethal chamber before his ill-disciplined intelligence has had time to spread revolt. This will be a painful duty to the rulers, but I think they will not shrink from performing it.”*

– Bertrand Russell, “The Scientific Outlook”, 1931

*“The first task is population control at home. How do we go about it? Many of my colleagues feel that some sort of compulsory birth regulation would be necessary to achieve such control. One plan often mentioned involves the addition of temporary sterilants to water supplies or staple food. Doses of the antidote would be carefully rationed by the government to produce the desired population size.”*

– Paul Ehrlich, The Population Bomb

Blessings,

Pastor Bob, [EvanTeachr@aol.com](mailto:EvanTeachr@aol.com)