

The Beast Empire

Part 24

A new study suggests the Catholic priest abuse scandal isn't about pedophilia, but about rampant homosexuality within that church. This new study does not make mention of **"The Secret Doctrine"** or Freemasonry's **"Royal Secret"** that I have noted previously, and we will deal in greater detail in this and the next segment.

The "Ruth Institute" pulled the numbers together from several agencies that have been tracking the scandal, as well as from statistics gathered by the U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops and the detailed 1,100-page report issued by the Pennsylvania attorney general. The study shows:

- A disturbing recent increase in the number of sexual incidents reported since 2010; and
- A strong correlation between the percentage of self-described homosexuals in the Catholic priesthood and the incidence of sexual abuse of minors by the clergy.

"There was a very strong correlation between the growth of homosexual men in the priesthood from the 1950s through the 1980s and the growth in sex abuse," study author Father Paul Sullins further explains. In the 1950s, he says, about three percent of Catholic priests were homosexual; by the 1980s, that proportion had risen to over 16 percent.

"It was about eight times the proportion of homosexual men as there are in the general population," he tells "OneNewsNow". *"That led to a unique environment where the Catholic priesthood became, in a way, more homosexual-friendly than heterosexual-friendly."*

The Vatican network of "gay" priests and Catholic authorities goes all the way to the Vatican, according to several sources. It's known to some as the **"Lavender Mafia."** High-ranking Vatican emissary archbishop Carlo Viganò has even accused the pope himself in the cover-up.

Sullins says the abuse will continue until the Roman Catholic Church stands up to pressure inside and outside its walls to whitewash the homosexual connection. *"I think the first thing we need to do is to recognize what the problem is – and that having homosexual men in the priesthood to the extent that we did and may still do is part of the problem,"* he states.

"Ruth Institute" founder and president Dr. Jennifer Roback Morse agrees, saying that certain issues surrounding the decades-old scandal must be "confronted with an uncompromising commitment to learning the truth wherever it leads us – even if that truth is politically incorrect."

On Monday, November 12th, 2018, the American bishops were scheduled to convene a bishops' conference in Baltimore, and chief among the topics to be discussed was the recent failure of Pope Francis to address the scandal that plagues the Roman Catholic Institution. Michael Voris of *'Church Militant'* reported from outside the venue of the bishops' those moments into the meeting, conference President Cardinal. Daniel DiNardo dropped the bombshell that Pope Francis has pulled the rug out from under the feet of the U.S. bishops and ordered them to cancel their expected Thursday vote in what to do about the priestly sex abuse crisis, most of which is homosexual predatory abuse.

Cardinal DiNardo appeared disturbed by the orders from the Vatican having been given to him at essentially the last minute late last night.

Following that, Papal Nuncio Christophe Pierre got up and essentially said great strides had been made in fighting this problem and the bishops don't really need to involve the laity to any great degree. Catholic laity has been standing up to church leaders as this scandal persists.

That's significant because the bishops were going to vote on two proposals — the second one which was going to seek the establishment of a lay board with investigative powers into the actions of bishops. Traditionally, Catholic laity kept silent over issues of this nature.

Pierre slammed the idea and said it is bishops who run the Church and, in essence, the laity needs to pipe down. This action from Rome — again, at the last minute — raises serious questions. It is no secret that there in Rome and close to Pope Francis various high-ranking churchmen who are rushing headlong into advancing the homosexual agenda in the Church.

Cardinal DiNardo appeared disturbed by the orders from the Vatican having been given to him at essentially the last minute late last night. Father James Martin has even publicly stated that the Pope is actively surrounding himself with various bishop appointments who are homosexual-friendly.

The men closest to the Pope — especially Cardinal. Maradiaga — have been embroiled in various homosexual controversies themselves. Maradiaga has defended one of his longtime associates and auxiliary bishops in Tegucigalpa, Honduras — a man accused by dozens of seminarians of sexually assaulting or harassing them. Maradiaga's response to the reports in Catholic social media has been to tell faithful Catholics to shut up and stop gossiping.

Given his recent condemnations of laity decrying the filth in his own archdiocese, speculation is that he may be involved in this latest kiss of death to American bishops who are trying to get to the bottom of this filth — again, the vast majority of which is homosexual in nature.

The bishops were supposed to be here discussing various draft proposals and so forth and then vote on a final document as we said on Thursday. But now, with that vote forbidden from taking place by Francis — and undoubtedly some of what Archbishop. Viganò identified as

the homosexual current running Rome — whatever the bishops here do with the rest of their time will essentially be meaningless. There was even some chatter that they should just pack up and go home.

That's was unlikely to happen, at least in meaningful numbers, but this does show the complete disconnect between Rome and the U.S. bishops, the few who want to resolve this crisis and provide some seed of hope for the faithful.

Pope Francis is doing his level best, by sheer virtue of his will, to somehow make the entire Catholic priest pedophile sex scandal pop like a soap bubble and disappear into thin air, never to be seen or heard from again. But someone should tell the pope that, in spite of the impressive size of Vatican City, there are not nearly enough closets in which to hide the truth he so desperately wants to keep from view.

Earlier this year, the Vatican asked the bishops to cancel their annual conference in Baltimore and instead hold a retreat for spiritual reflection on sex abuse. The bishops refused and went ahead with the meeting anyhow, though they did schedule a spiritual retreat for January.

The patience of the laity is at an end. When Cardinal DiNardo was finally given an audience with the Pope in Rome after waiting almost a month, the Pope completely shut him down, saying there would be no investigation of former Cardinal McCarrick and no investigation headed by laity. DiNardo came back from Rome and tried to put the best possible spin on it, but no one fell for it.

And when you add it to today's total shoot down by the Pope of the whole reason for this meeting, many are taking this as the final sign that either Rome doesn't get it or the homosexual current in the Vatican is exercising its muscle and deliberately preventing the truth from coming out because too many of them would be implicated in it.

Whichever the case, the patience of the laity is at an end. Catholics from all over the country have begun arriving here in Baltimore for tomorrow's "Silence Stops Now" rally which 'Church Militant' will be live-streaming.

Clergy sex abuse is on the rise again, and church leaders are ignoring why, sociologist says, Kevin Jones, writing November 2, 2018, in an article in 'Catholic News Agency Analysis': After years in decline, Catholic clergy sex abuse could be on the rise again, warns a professor-priest's analysis of relevant data.

The professor's report sees a rising trend in abuse, and argues that the evidence strongly suggests links between sexual abuse of minors and two factors: a disproportionate number of homosexual clergy, and the manifestation of a "homosexual subculture" in seminaries.

"The thing we've been told about the sex abuse is that it is somehow very rare and declined to almost nothing today is really not true," Father D. Paul Sullins, a Catholic priest and retired Catholic University of America sociology professor, told a November 2nd, 2018 press conference:

"I found that clergy sex abuse did drop to almost nothing after 2002, but then it started to creep up," he continued. *"It's been increasing. And there are signs that the bishops or the dioceses have gotten complacent about that."* *"It's not at the great heights that it was in the mid-1970s, but its rising. And it's headed in that direction,"* he added.

Clergy sex abuse incidence is today about one third as common as in the late 1980s. While sex abuse by clergy is "much lower" than 30 years ago, it has not declined "as much as is commonly thought." Most of the decline since the 1990s is consistent with "a similar general decline in child sex abuse in America since that time," Sullins' report said.

The decline is not necessarily related to measures taken by the U.S. bishops. Sullins told the press conference he saw no link between a decline in abuse and the implementation of the U.S. bishops' 2002 Charter for the Protection of Children and Young Adults. *"Recent experience calls into question whether the current understanding of the nature of the abuse and how to reduce it is accurate or sufficient,"* said Sullins in his report.

Efforts to address clergy abuse must acknowledge both "the recent increase of abuse amid growing complacency" and the "very strong probability" that the surge in abuse in past and present is "a product, at least in part, of the past surge and present concentration of homosexual men in the Catholic priesthood."

The report was released Nov. 2 by the Louisiana-based "Ruth Institute", where Sullins is a senior research associate. It has been reviewed by several scholars, including four social scientists, and is planned to be included in an upcoming book.

His study aimed to address a common question: is the sex abuse related in any way to homosexual men in the priesthood? *"I hear on the one hand denial of that, almost without even thinking about it, and I also hear advocacy of that, almost without even thinking about it,"* Sullins said Nov. 2. *"The question comes up logically because the vast majority of victims were boys. Usually in sex abuse of minors, two-thirds of victims are girls."*

Sullins' report is titled "Is Catholic clergy sex abuse related to homosexual priests?" and he does not avoid the sometimes controversial question. The report compares "previously unexamined measures of the share of homosexual Catholic priests" and the incidence and victim gender of minor sex abuse victims by Catholic priests from 1950 to 2001.

Sullins' sources included a 2002 survey of 1,854 priests by the Los Angeles Times that included questions about respondents' sexual orientation, age, year of ordination, and whether they thought there was a homosexual subculture in their seminary. He measured abuse using

data provided by the authors of the John Jay College of Criminal Justice reports, which themselves used reports of abuse provided by Catholic dioceses. *“Although over 8 in 10 of victims have been boys, the idea that the abuse is related to homosexual men in the priesthood has not been widely accepted by Church leaders,”* said Sullins. *“The data show that more homosexual men in the priesthood was correlated with more overall abuse and more boys abused compared to girls,”* he added.

The increase or decrease in the percent of male victims correlated “almost perfectly” with the increase or decrease of homosexual men in the priesthood, he said, citing a 0.98 correlation. While the correlation was lower among victims under age 8, it was “lower but still strong,” 0.77. The statistical association between homosexual priests and abuse incidence was “extremely strong,” given that this scale ranges from -1.0, an inverse correlation, to 1.0, an absolute positive correlation.

Such results were *“as close as you can get to a perfect correlation as I have ever seen,”* Sullins said Nov. 2, adding that researchers usually consider correlation association above 0.3 or 0.4 to be a strong effect. He took care to say it is the disproportionate presence of homosexual men in the priesthood, not the simple presence of any homosexual men that appears to be the major factor.

“What I say in the paper is that when homosexual men were represented in the priesthood at about the same rate as they were in the population, there was no measurable problem of child sex abuse,” Sullins said. *“It was only when you had a preponderance of homosexual men.”* The percentage of homosexual men in the general population is estimated at two percent. In the 1950s, homosexual men in the priesthood were about twice their percentage in the general population, making up four percent. In the 1980s they were eight times the percentage in the general population, 16 percent, according to Sullins.

“When you get up to 16 percent of priests that are homosexual, and you’ve got eight times the proportion of homosexuals as you do in the general population, it’s as if the priesthood becomes a particularly welcoming and enabling and encouraging population for homosexual activity and behavior,” he said Nov. 2. Sullins was clear he wanted to avoid recommending any particular action based on his research.

“I would certainly not recommend that we remove all homosexuals from the priesthood,” he said. *“The reason for that is: the abuse is not necessarily related to someone’s sexual orientation.”* He cited his knowledge of men with same-sex attraction who are “strong, faithful persons,” adding *“I would hate to have some sort of litmus test for that.”*

If the Catholic Church in the U.S. were like most institutions, where two-thirds of abuse victims were female, people would reject the suggestion to eliminate all heterosexual men from the priesthood. That suggestion would be an *“ideological reaction,”* he said.

He suggested the priesthood should reflect the general population, as a sign priests are selected for *“holiness and commitment to Christ and the things that we would hope would make for a good priest.”* *“When you start to get a larger proportion of homosexuals It looks like you are actually selecting for same-sex orientation,”* he said.

Seminary candidates have reported about the problems this disproportion creates, he continued. According to Sullins, Donald Cozzens’ 2000 book *‘The Changing Face of the Priesthood’* discusses accounts of homosexual students being so prevalent at some seminaries that heterosexual men felt destabilized and disoriented and left. *“That’s not a positive outcome. I do not think we would want to have that proportion of a homosexual culture in the priesthood,”* said Sullins.

There appear to be verifiable trends in increases and decreases in the ordinations of homosexual priests. *“From 1965 to 1995 an average of at least one in five priests ordained annually were homosexual, a concentration which drove the overall proportion of homosexual men in the priesthood up to 16 percent, or one in six priests, by the late 1990s,”* said Sullins’ report. *“This trend was strongly correlated with increasing child sex abuse,”* he said.

Drawing on his findings, Sullins predicted that if the proportion of homosexual priests remained at the 1950s level “at least 12,000 fewer children, mostly boys, would have suffered abuse,” he said. As a percentage, this means abuse would have been about 85 percent lower.

The presence of homosexual subcultures in seminaries, as reported by priests considering their own seminary life, accounts for about half the incidence of abuse, but apparently not among heterosexual men. *“Homosexual subcultures encouraged greater abuse, but not by heterosexual men, just by homosexual men,”* Sullins said Nov. 2. He suggested these subcultures encourage those who may have been attracted to male victims to act out more than would have been the case otherwise.

Sullins, a former Episcopal priest, has been married for 30 years and has three children. He was ordained to the Catholic priesthood in 2002 by then-Cardinal Theodore McCarrick of Washington. *“I was surprised and shocked, like most of us earlier this year, to hear about Cardinal McCarrick,”* Sullins said. *“I was particularly impressed by that because I was ordained by Cardinal McCarrick in 2002, and probably knew him better than most people would have.”*

His report follows the June revelations that former Archbishop McCarrick was credibly abused of sex assault on a minor, revelations which prompted men to come forward saying he had sexually abused them as seminarians—and prompted Pope Francis to accept the archbishop’s almost unprecedented resignation from the cardinalate. McCarrick was deeply influential and had been a leading personality in the U.S. bishops’ response to the 2002 scandals.

In August, a Pennsylvania grand jury released its report on Catholic clergy sex abuse in six dioceses. It tallied over 1,000 credible accusations against hundreds of priests over decades,

though many of these accusations had been reported in 2004. *“What was new in 2018 was not primarily the revelation of abuse by priests, but of a possible pattern of resistance, minimization, enablement and secrecy—a ‘cover-up’—on the part of bishops,”* said Sullins, who used some of the grand jury report data for his study. What was new was the fact that priests under Cardinal Donald Wuerl as archbishop of Pittsburgh were engaged in making and selling child pornography.

As part of the U.S. bishops’ response to the first sex abuse scandal in 2002, the John Jay College of Criminal Justice issued two bishop-commissioned reports: a 2004 report on the nature and scope of clergy sex abuse and a 2011 report on the causes and context of sex abuse.

Sullins criticized the 2011 report’s claim that sex abuse perpetrators are mainly “situational or opportunistic” and the sex of the victim is less relevant to them. In his view, multiple offenders “abused a higher proportion of male victims than did single offenders, and the proportion increased with higher numbers of victims.” If multiple offenders were better at acquiring victims, “they appear to have used their skills to obtain access to more boys, not fewer.”

Abuse of girls dropped off at the same rate in the 1980s and 1990s, and the data suggest that as girls became more prevalent in priestly life, such as in the introduction of altar girls, abusers of boys *“responded to the presence of fewer younger boys primarily by turning to older boys, not to female victims.”*

For clergy offenders who were “classic or fixated pedophiles,” targeting only victims under age eight, they still strongly preferred male victims, “conditional on higher proportions of homosexual men in the priesthood.”

Sex abuse by Catholic clergy is “substantially less” than in similar institutions or communities, but it is notable that underage victims of sex assault by Catholic priests in U.S. Catholic parishes and schools have been *“overwhelmingly male,”* said Sullins. Comparable reports in Germany indicate that up to 90 percent of abuse victims of Catholic clergy have been male, compared to about half of victims in Protestant or non-religious settings in that country. In Germany, former Pope Ratzinger’s brother had victimized an entire boys’ choir.

Some Catholic commentators have blamed clericalism for the abuse. Pope Francis’ August 20 letter on sex abuse, which did not mention homosexuality, said communities where sexual abuse and “the abuse of power and conscience” have taken place are characterized by efforts to reduce the Catholic faithful to “small elites” or otherwise replace, silence or ignore them. “To say ‘no’ to abuse is to say an emphatic ‘no’ to all forms of clericalism,” the Pope said.

In a May 21, 2018 audience with Italian bishops, the Pope said it is better not to let seminary candidates enter if they have “even the slightest doubt” about the fitness of individuals with homosexual “deep-seated tendencies” or who practice “homosexual acts,” but want to enter the seminary. These acts or deep-seated tendencies can lead to scandals and can

compromise the life of the seminary, as well as the man himself and his future priesthood, he said, according to Vatican Insider.

A 2016 document from the Vatican's Congregation for Clergy, "The Gift of the Priestly Vocation," cites a 2005 Vatican document which says: *"the Church, while profoundly respecting the persons in question, cannot admit to the seminary or to holy orders those who practice homosexuality, present deep-seated homosexual tendencies or support the so-called 'gay culture'."*

The bishops' 2002 child protection charter drew criticism from Sullins. Its failure to acknowledge that bishops can commit abuse or cover up abuse "seemed to confirm the suggestion of a cover-up: indeed, to the extent bishops may have covered up priestly misbehavior, the charter itself may have covered up episcopal misbehavior."

He faulted the 2011 John Jay report on the causes and context of clergy sex abuse, which said that a reported increase in homosexual men in seminaries in the 1980s did not correspond to the number of boys abused. Sullins noted that the authors acknowledged they did not collect or examine direct data on priests' sexual identity and any changes in it over the years. They relied on *"subjective clinical estimates and second-hand narrative reports of apparent homosexual activity in seminaries,"* Sullins said.

The "Ruth Institute", which published Sullins' report, was founded in 2008 by Dr. Jennifer Roback Morse, an economics-trained author and writer on marriage, family and human sexuality. She served as spokesperson for Proposition 8, the California ballot measure which defined marriage as a union of one man and one woman. The institute was backed by the National Organization for Marriage Education Fund until 2013.

Groups including the Human Rights Campaign, GLAAD, and the Southern Poverty Law Center have criticized the "Ruth Institute's" stance against same-sex marriage and other LGBTQ causes.

The Southern Poverty Law Center, which originally monitored foes of the civil rights movement, in the 1980s began tracking neo-Nazi groups and Ku Klux Klan affiliates. In recent years it has listed mainstream groups like the "Ruth Institute", the "Family Research Council" and "Alliance Defending Freedom" as "hate groups" for their "anti-LGBT" stance.

In an Aug. 23, 2017 response to the listing, the "Ruth Institute" said it *"categorically condemns white supremacy, racism, Nazism, and all violent totalitarian political movements."* *"People who cannot defend their positions using reason and evidence resort to name-calling to change the subject away from their anemic arguments,"* the institute said. *"The 'hate group' label is a club such people invented to bludgeon their political opponents."*

Few people understand the evil that lies behind the Papacy. Why does the world still wonder after her? What is her secret, the science of her apparent indestructibility; and what

part will she play, if any, in coming world events? I have spent fifty years studying three major topics: 1. The Bible; 2. Freemasonry; 3. Roman Catholicism. I have been a student of history for more than fifty years. I have a pretty good picture on how all three of these come together in our day. One of the greatest sources of information that bring these altogether, for me, has been Fr. Malachi Martin. Fr. Martin was a Jesuit, an insider for more than a decade. Fr. Martin wrote nearly a dozen books. Written as novels, his books reveal the corruption and diabolical activities that go on within the walls of the Vatican and local Catholic churches. Fr. Martin unveiled the inner workings of the Vatican.

In *'Dominus Jesus'*, Pope Benedict XVI, as Cardinal Ratzinger reaffirmed the Catholic dogma that the Catholic Church is the only church through which men must obtain salvation; and that any blessings obtainable through other churches derives solely from "the fullness of grace and truth entrusted to the Catholic Church". Some might see my articles as 'anti-Catholic'. Such arguments are of no consequence or substance merely bait-and-switch tactics.

The scandal that plagues the Roman Catholic Institution cannot be refuted by archbishop, priest, or pope. There are many good, honest, decent Roman Catholics – deeply religious men and women, who are simply unaware, in the dark, about what really goes on within the Vatican; about what their church really teaches; and most importantly, about what the popes actually believe and practice. But it is time they realize the "mystery" of their religion.

Albert Pike wrote secretly, *"The Templars, like all other Secret Orders and Associations, had two doctrines, one concealed and reserved for the Masters... the other public, which was the Roman Catholic."* The Church of Rome follows the teachings of the Pagan Varno, whom St. Augustine (bishop of Hippo) report: *There are those that are true which it is not useful for the vulgar crowd (community people) to know; and certain things which although they are false it is expedient for the people to believe otherwise."*

Virtually all the major secret societies are under one central command in the Gesu at Rome. We saw, too, that the Catholic Church, under John Paul II, suddenly lifted the ban on its members being Freemasons. So we can conclude from this that the Church of Rome does not disagree with or disapprove of the satanic, vulgar and deceptive tenets of Masonry. The power which seeks to form the next World Government, as revealed by the Jesuit professor Malachi Martin, is none other than that system which Revelation calls "**Mystery Babylon**"; and that this power has its nerve center at the Vatican. ***"And I stood upon the sand of the sea, and saw a beast rise up out of the sea, having seven heads and ten horns, and upon his horns ten crowns, and upon his heads the name of blasphemy."*** -(Revelation 13:2). Again we read in Revelation 17:4-6): ***"And the woman was arrayed in purple and scarlet colour, and decked with gold and precious stones and pearls, having a golden cup in her hand full of abominations and filthiness of her fornication: And upon her forehead was a name written, MYSTERY, BABYLON THE GREAT, THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH. And I saw the woman drunken with the blood of the saints, and with the blood of the martyrs of Jesus: and when I saw her, I wondered with great admiration."***

We need to understand these two passages! Most people reading these verses in Revelation do not half comprehend them; they do not half take them in! Yet in these verses we find the answers to many questions. The first verse passage says: ... **the dragon gave him his power, and his seat, and great authority.** Who or what is the dragon?

Fortunately all of these code words, or symbols used in Revelation chapters 13 and 17 can be found elsewhere in the Bible, and thus we have a ready key to decoding these cryptic lines, which, contrary to what many people think, are not meant to conceal or confuse, but rather to reveal! Hence the reason the book is called Revelation! So what is meant by the **“the dragon”** in Revelation 13:2? There is a curious passage in a book by the occultist Helena Petronva Blavatsky which reads, *“The red or fiery dragon of the ancient time was the military ensign of the Assyrians. Cyrus adopted it from them when Persia became dominant. The Romans and Byzantines next assumed it; and so the **“great red dragon,”** from being the symbol of Babylon and Nineveh, became that of Rome.”*

Blavatsky is only partly correct. Revelation 12:9 explains more accurately what is meant by ‘the dragon’. **“And the great dragon was cast out, that old serpent, called the Devil, and Satan...”** So the dragon is Satan = Lucifer = the Devil himself. Curiously, at Rome, in St. Peter’s Basilica there is an exquisite marble sculpture of Pope Gregory XIII on a throne with several dignitaries and monks bowing in homage before him. Underneath the Pope’s throne, crouching like a guard dog, is a winged-dragon looking up at the Pope as if in watchful approval of the scene unfolding before him. The paw of the dragon draws the eye to a legend which reads: **“Gregorio XIII. Pont. Max.”**

So the dragon is Satan. But what is symbolized by the **“beast with seven heads”** in Revelation 17:4. Here is another code-cracking Bible verse: Daniel 7:17-22. It tells us that a beast in prophetic or symbolic language represents a political power, nation or kingdom.

The next symbol is that of **“the woman.”** Revelation 17:4 states: **“I saw a woman seated on a beast...”** Again, the Bible has its own key to crack this code word. A “woman” in Bible prophecy is usually, if not always, a symbol for a church. Jeremiah 6:2 says, **“I have likened the daughter of Zion to a comely and delicate woman.”** Isaiah 51:16 reads, **“And say unto Zion, Thou art my people...”** And Saint Paul writing to the church he had established at Corinth, says in 2nd Corinthians 11:2, **“For I am jealous over you with godly jealousy: for I have espoused you to one husband, that I may present you as a chaste virgin to Christ.”** Revelation 12:17 also speaks symbolically of the true church as a pure, or “chaste” woman: **“And the dragon (Satan, the Devil) was wroth (angry) with the woman, and went to make war with the remnant of her seed, which keep the commandments of God, and have the testimony of Jesus Christ.”** Thus, an obedient woman is a church whose adherents (i.e., “her seed”) “keep” all “the commandments of God.”

On the other hand, an impure, or disobedient church is called a harlot. For example, Israel was referred as a harlot when her people were disobedient to God’s commandments and as His wife when they were faithful, or obedient – (Isaiah 54:5; Jeremiah 3:1-10; Ezekiel 16).

Revelation 17:3 speaks of a corrupt church. ***“And upon her forehead was a name written, MYSTERY, BABYLON THE GREAT, THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH.”*** Speaking of the great merchant city, it says: ***“Tyre... shall turn to her hire, and shall commit fornication with all the kingdoms of the world upon the face of the earth”*** -(Isaiah 23:17). Clearly, the spiritual symbolism of a harlot (*porne* in Greek) refers to one that seduces nations (i.e., large numbers of people from true worship into false or idolatrous worship. And since a woman is a church the harlot is a false church. This explains “the woman” and the “harlot”

Next we must explain the word ***“MYSTERY”*** written on the woman’s forehead. The word “mystery” here refers to the secret of this woman, the fact that she hides secret doctrines and various religions within her rituals the rites from *the mystery cults of ancient Pagan religions*. In 378 A.D. the violent Bishop Damasus of Rome, who was trained in the “Babylon Order”, was made pope of the “Catholic Church”, uniting both systems and injecting further the Babylon “mysteries” into the Catholic. From that time all the rites and Pagan ritual of the Babylon “mystery religions” were centered in Rome: the icons of the Virgin goddess Ishtar, whom the Pagans worshipped as queen or mistress of heaven; the festivals celebrated in honor of their chief god, Bel-Marduk, for Belzebug; the fertility rites of Easter, the annual spring festivals; the cult of relics; the veneration of a Virgin Mother; the consecrated host, or wafer; the rosary; and other “fertility rites” of Giza.

Even ‘*The Catholic Encyclopedia*’ admits and acknowledges: *“A great many pagan customs, celebrating the return of spring, gravitated to Easter. The egg is the emblem of the germinating life of early spring, the rabbit is a pagan symbol and has always been an emblem of fertility”*. -(‘*The Catholic Encyclopedia*’, Vol. V (1913) pg. 227). There are multiple sources confirming the so-called “Queen of Heaven” is not Mary, the mother of Jesus of Nazareth, but rather is the goddess Ishtar. The Catholic faithful are misled that they are worshipping Mary mother of Jesus, they worship a pagan goddess!

These “mystery” or fertility rites are what Revelation 2:24 calls ***“the deep things of Satan,”*** – what the mystics called the “deep mysteries.” The “mysteries” are the source of “many so-called religious rites which have crept into ritualistic churches.”

We must now decipher a passage noted earlier, Revelation 13:2. It says: ***“the dragon gave him his power, and his seat, and great authority”***. Who or what is this entity referred to here? We know who the dragon is (see Rev. 12:9), but who is the “him” to whom the Dragon give his power, seat, and authority? Revelation 13:16-18 explains: ***“And he causeth all, both small and great, rich and poor, free and bond, to receive a mark in their right hand, or in their foreheads... that no man may buy or sell save he who has the mark or the name of the Beast or the number of his name.”*** Verse 18: ***“Here is wisdom. Let him that has understanding count the number of the beast: for it is the number of a man; and his number is six hundred three score and six [i.e., 666].”*** The Catholic Church will tell us in her own words.

The Catholic publication *'Our Sunday Visitor'*, April 18, 1915, admitted that the pope's Mitre (not his triple crown) bears the Latin inscription ***Vicarius Filii Dei***, which the Catholic Church confirmed "adds up" to 666! Even if the description (title) were not in the Mitre it has been used, officially by the popes, as can be shown by imprimatured Vatican documents on the Papal tiara. The papal tiara is a crown that was worn by popes of the Catholic Church from as early as the 8th century to the mid-20th century. It was last used by Pope Paul VI in **1963** and only at the beginning of his reign. The fact that all popes since 1963 have not worn the triple crown tiara is related to the fact that the Ecumenical Movement began with Vatican II, convened by the Jesuits in 1965. The papal triple crown tiara remains on display behind glass in the Vatican. Virtually every pope since 1963 has placed it on their head for photographic purposes, chose not to flaunt it to the world they wish to deceive.

We have to consider the words of Jesuit Malachi Martin (1921-1999). Fr. Martin was a high-ranking and respected Roman Catholic Professor. Vatican diplomatic attaché, and Papal adviser, exposes, with an unsparing hand, unwittingly or otherwise, the sinister nature of the Vatican. His testimony is all the more valuable coming from a source as he.

In his book *'Windswept House'* Fr. Martin writes: *"...it became unarguable [to Pope Paul] that now during his papacy the Roman Catholic organization carried a **permanent presence of clerics who worshipped Satan... of bishops and priests who sodomized boys and each other; of nuns who performed the "Black Rites" of Wicca Including Sundays and Holy Days... were committed and permitted at holy Altars... Sacrilegious actions and rites were not only performed on Christ's Altars, but had the connivance or at least the tacit permission of certain Cardinals, archbishops, and bishops...."***

Fr. Martin assures us that *'Windswept House'* is no mere fiction. He says much the same thing in his other book *'The Decline and Fall of the Roman Church'* (a title, which has interesting parallels to the "fallen" church, or "woman" of Revelation 14:8 and 18:2. *'The Decline and Fall of the Roman Church*, a non-fiction book, sets out the Vatican's geo-political views. Martin writes: *"Paul (Pope Paul VI) realized in his last two years that something unimaginably ominous had been moving inexorably toward them, was already in their midst, and had nothing to do with the Holy Spirit. "The smoke of Satan has entered the church, is around the altar," he remarked somberly... there was a conflagration feeding on the dry wood and the underbrush of the centuries-old kingdom.*

Father Martin called that expression staggering ***"The smoke of Satan"*** that has entered the church" is a term that appears to have been taken straight out of the book of Revelation, chapter 9, verses 2, 3 (where it speaks of ***"the smoke"*** from the ***bottomless pit"*** and ***"the angel of the bottomless pit"*** called Apollyon" or "Abaddon" – meaning the destroyer). The smoke spoken of there is Satan. Note also, that the secret password of the 32nd-degree of Scottish rite Freemasonry is "Abaddon," meaning Satan – the very word is used in Revelation 9:3.

In his last published work *'The Keys of This Blood'* Martin repeats his allegations of Satanism in the Vatican, but this time with a most stunning explanation about why it has not

been rooted out of the Catholic Church. On page 278 of his book, Malachi Martin sheds additional light on this issue, and the more light we get, the uglier it looks. Fr. Martin's oblique reference to an **enthronement** ceremony by Satanists in the Vatican was a stunning revelation from a man who was a diplomat, adviser, and confessor to three popes in recent memory. The Vatican has never denied Fr. Malachi Martin's statements. Indeed, it has received their tacit approval, as he was not excommunicated or reprimanded by the Vatican, John Paul II or Benedict XVI. This was their admission by silence. Even Pope Francis has chosen to follow the practice of "Silence is Golden!"

Fr. Martin dropped the bombshell: ***"Besides, the incidence of Satanic pedophilia – rites and practices – was already documented among certain bishops and priests as widely dispersed as Turin, in Italy, and South Carolina, in the United States. The cultic acts of Satanic pedophilia [or pedophilia] are considered by professionals to be the culmination [i.e. the highest phase] of the Fallen Archangel's [Satanic] rites."***

Much of the pedophilia within the Roman Catholic Church is satanic ritual abuse. Fr. Malachi Martin stated the high incidence of pedophilia among Catholic priests, bishops, and cardinals is largely, if not entirely, due to ritual child molestation – part of the organized satanic initiation process – the *ne plus ultra* of the Catholic priesthood. This would explain the high incidence resulting in billions of dollars of settlements from lawsuits. When such acts are sanctioned or supported by the hierarchy of bishops, cardinals, and the Vatican, this is a matter that has gone too far.

Anyone who has read Catholic Church history would know that, until 1565, the paintings of Michelangelo in the Vatican's Sistine Chapel were full of overt phallicism-erotica. In one such piece there is a "man being dragged by the genitals and others kissing," in varied indecent postures. It took a full twenty-four years before the Vatican, at the Council of Trent, decreed that "corrections" be made to the paintings – that is, an artist with either modest loincloths or robes.

When Pope Benedict XVI was in the papal seat, he was himself very deceptive about the homosexuals in power. Notwithstanding all the complaints of sexual abuse and the huge financial payouts, Pope Benedict XVI reacted by having a letter written to several of the victims saying that their complaints *"had been examined but, for the time being, the Vatican considered the matter closed."* It was never reopened. But it got even worse.

In his capacity as Prefect of the Faith, Ratzinger penned a controversial letter, *'de Delictis Gravioribus'*, to all Catholic bishops, directing that confidential details of Church investigations into accusations made against priests of "certain serious ecclesiastical crimes" were subject to the pontifical secret and could not, on pain of excommunication, be revealed. "The Pontifical Secret" is an oath of secrecy, which every priest takes, to keep confidential knowledge of wrongdoings of the Church. Let the friends of the pope consider how they can justify, ameliorate even, this decision of the professed Vicar of Christ. Notice, that there is no

mention of excommunication of the pedophile priests, only those priests who dare reveal the lustful and deviant crimes of fellow clergy, in breach of the **pontifical secret!**

Indeed, the Vatican revealed its arrogance when in 2007 Vatican Secretary of State Cardinal Tarcisio Bertone said: *"I hope that other institutions... will face this same problem.. with an equal degree of courage and realism as the Catholic Church has done. I wonder if the victims of the Catholic Church has done; if they have **taken care of the victims** and those who are guilty."*

Fr. Malachi Martin's intriguing revelations of the secrets of the Vatican seem to have tacit acceptance by the last two popes, both of whom kept strangely silent on Martin's damning revelations. In fact, so far, the strongest criticism of Martin's books comes not from the Vatican but notably from the book *'Clerical Error: A True Story'*. The book is written by Robert Blair Kaiser. *'Time'* Magazine's former Vatican correspondent, whose most potent objections to Martin's claims is to accuse Martin of being a womanizer and unfaithful to his wife, and hence a liar. This is about all Blair has to say. The allegations were never true.

If we think that Fr. Martin's revelations about Satanism are alarming, then we are stunned by the corroborating statements from another Catholic Archbishop, Emmanuel Milingo, an exorcist and the author of the book *'Face to Face with the Devil'*. Milinago made a similar admission in a speech entitled, "Satanists at work in the Vatican," which was given at the Fatima 2000 International Congress on World Peace, held in Rome on November 18-23, 1996. Addressing an international audience of Catholic bishops, priests, nuns, and laity, Milingo said: *"The Devil in the Catholic Church is so protected now that he is like an animal protected by the government; put on a game preserve that outlaws anyone, especially hunters, from trying to capture or kill it. The Devil within the Church today is actually protected by certain Church authorities..."*

Protected by whom? When asked, *"Are there men of the Curia who are the followers of Satan?"* Bishop Milingo responded, *"Certainly there are priests and bishops. I stop at this level of ecclesiastical hierarchy because I am an archbishop, higher than that I cannot go."* In other words, he was not prepared to speak about his superiors. However, and of some significance, Archbishop Milingo cited a papal statement to back up his assertions: *"[Pope] Paul VI said that "the smoke of Satan had entered into the Vatican,"* he told his audience.

So Pope Paul VI (whose Pontificate was from 1963-1978) knew of this transaction with the Devil, and did nothing; likewise John Paul II. Was the Devil being protected by the popes? Archbishop Milingo worked inside the Vatican for many years, as Special Delegate to the Pontifical Council for the Pastoral Care of Immigrants and Itinerants. He is, therefore, uniquely qualified to speak on the name of Satanism within the Catholic Church. The Catholic Church has since excommunicated Archbishop Milingo.

The allegations don't stop there. Another Catholic, retired Italian priest Msgr. Luigi Marinelli, also claimed in his book *'Gone With the Wind at the Vatican'* that Satanic rituals have

been performed within the walls of the Vatican. One has to ask, why would any of the popes, beginning with John Paul II, and his successor Benedict XVI (who was Head of the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith), allow those who performed satanic rituals in St. Peter's to remain in the Church without any censure or discipline? Why would these two most senior men of the Roman Catholic Church permit the surrogates of the Prince of darkness to perform Black Masses on Sundays and Holy Days?

These grave charges were made by none other than the ex-Jesuit Malachi Martin, former Archbishop Milingo, and Father Marinelli, three senior Vatican insiders. Those who keep silence when they could and should speak are in essence giving consent in the case of this ominous silence. Pope Francis has taken this path as well, thinking that in silence all of these accusations will go away. For Pope Francis silence is golden!

The popes claim to be comforters of the Church of Christ, his visible replacement on earth. But as anyone can see or aware of even a modicum of Church history will know that the popes have proved miserable comforters indeed! The corruptions of Rome went all the way to the top. Scores of popes, like the sorcerer Simon Magus, have been proven guilty of simony corruption. Simon Magus became the first pope of Rome, and not the Apostle Peter. In fairly recent times the popes, it is alleged, have overseen corrupt banking practices, the laundering of drug money, trading in counterfeit securities, and dealing with the Mafia (documented in police and court records). Nino Lo Bello, Rome's bureau chief for the *'New York Journal of Commerce'*, writes in *'The Vatican Empire'* that the Vatican is so closely allied with the Mafia in Italy that "many people believe that Sicily is nothing more than a Vatican building.

Corruption among popes is nothing new. The late Pope John XXII was condemned, among many other crimes, for incest and adultery. The abbot-elect of St. Augustine, at Canterbury, who in 1171 A.D. was found, on investigation, to have seventeen illegitimate children in a single village; or an abbot of St. Pelayo in Spain, who in 1130 A.D. was proved to have kept no less than seventy concubines; or Henry III, bishop of Liege, who was deposed in 1274 A.D. for leaving sixty-five illegitimate children. We could go on for pages about past popes and their vices. Make no mistake about it, very few if any at all were righteous shepherds of the flock. There are many excellent works documenting the Papacy, but we need to address the question of the Secret Doctrine of the Church.

What is the secret doctrine? The Roman Catholic Church is one of the very few, if not the only religious which cultivates a system of symbolism. Why? So as to conceal its "Mysteries"! A.T.C. Pierson writes that the origins of the secret doctrine, or Mysteries, throughout the world, "though acknowledged under different appellations," were derived from one source and "can be traced to the plains of Shinar before the dispersion of mankind." The "secret doctrine was also found among the Asiatics." A.T.C. Pierson was a 33rd Degree Freemason and Past Grand Master. He wrote the book *'The Traditions, Origin, and Early History of Freemasonry'* in 1872. Other Masonic writers confirm Mr. Pierson's work.

The whole structure of Paganism was built around a secret doctrine. Each of the Pagan gods had (themselves the public or open ceremonies) a secret worship paid unto them to which none were admitted but those who had been selected by preparatory ceremonies, called Initiations.” “This secret worship” was termed the Mysteries. Hence, the significance of the term “Mystery Babylon”.

The respected Cardinal John Henry Newman revealed the true source of the Catholic Church’s doctrines, which tellingly, he also calls the “secret doctrines.” He writes, “How was any secrecy practicable, seeing that the Scriptures were open to everyone who chose to consult them...? The secret doctrines of the early church [which he claims was Catholicism], have never been learnt from Scripture.... Surely the Sacred Volume was never intended, and is not adapted, to teach us our Creed. So what is their creed – their secret doctrine – if it is not entirely based on the Bible?

Chrysostom, Bishop of Constantinople said: *“I wish to speak openly: but I dare not, on account of those who are initiated... Where the holy Mysteries are celebrated, we drive away all uninitiated persons, and then close the doors.”* And St. Cyril, Bishop of Alexandria, confirms that there is such a secret doctrine: *“These Mysteries are so profound and so exalted, that they can be comprehended by those only who are enlightened [i.e., Illuminated].* So, the real meaning behind the icons, symbols, and doctrines of the Roman Catholic Church are only revealed to the “initiated.” This, is patently veiled Gnosticism!

So what is this secret doctrine? It is the same doctrine held and understood by those of the 30th, 31st, 32nd, and 33rd degree of Freemasonry – the very doctrine taught by the Knights Templars, which is Johannism [Gnosticism, or cabalism]. *And, it involves the veneration of the dead.* Paragraphs 958 and 2683 of the ‘*New Catholic Catechism*’ encourages “*communion with the dead*” a practice adopted wholesale from the Pagan “mysteries” the Babylonian religions; and sentiments produced by a taint of superstition!

Before moving on, we need to note a Cardinal Mariano Rampolla (1843-1913), one of those significant yet shadowy and largely unknown figures of the modern Catholic Church. Cardinal Rampolla had a stellar career within the Vatican: he entered Papal service during the pontificate of Leo XIII, and later became Secretary of Propaganda the Church’s department of doctrinal correctness, before becoming Secretary of State for the Vatican. In 1903, he almost became pope after the death of Pope Leo XIII. His mentor was none other than the Freemason and Satanist, Aleister Crowley! After Rampolla’s death his personal papers revealed that not only was he a high Freemason, but as Malachi Martin confirmed, Rampolla was deeply initiated into Satanism –(‘*The Keys of This Blood*’, pages 535-536).

This brings us nicely back to the secret password of the 32nd degree of Scottish rite Freemasonry. **“Abaddon”** – another formidable secret of Freemasonry, sex magic through pedophilia. The Satanist Aleister Crowley (a 33rd, 90th, and 96th Degree Freemason) revealed – *and this is a Templar-Masonic teaching of the highest degree – **that the Mason’s path to eternal life is the sexual violation of little children.*** That is every time the Satanists or

Freemason has sex with a child or young person he steals some of the child's youth – so they say. This is why we have a mushrooming epidemic of child abuse – spreading like a plague. This is the **Royal Secret** of Freemasonry; and this is also an inner doctrine of Roman Catholicism, which is Knights Templarism. Aleister Crowley is known for his philosophy the fundamental principle underlying Thelema, known as the "law of Thelema". Central to Aleister Crowley was the statement, **"Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law. Love is the law, love under will."**

When most people think of worshipping the Devil, they think of 666, rituals, burning incense, sacrifices, candles and sorcery (and all those things are true); but pure Satanism is much simpler. So simple in fact that you may be a Satanist and not even know it. The very essence of Satanism is to do your own thing.

This following statement was taken from an article written on 02-18-03 by journalist Eric Gillin in an interview with Church of Satan high priest Peter H. Gilmore... *"What they [Satanists] essentially believe, is that life should be lived in the pursuit of pleasures, and we only get one chance to do it. Sounds like America to us."*

It is interesting that Satanists declare America an "absolutely satanic nation." What is even more disturbing is "why" they consider America a satanic nation, that is, because of our freedom to DO AS THOU WILT SHALL BE THE WHOLE OF THE LAW. This is explained to some degree in Dr. Tom Horn's 2018 blockbuster DVD *"Belly of the Beast"*.

To say that Satanist Aleister Crowley was an evil man would be an understatement. But before we get to who this man was and the influence he has had on this world, let us tell you a little bit about just where this guy stood morally. He said *"I simply went over to Satan's side and I do not know why."* Crowley also said *"I was not content to just believe in Satan, I wanted to be his chief of staff."* Crowley was once considered "The wickedest man on earth" and was kicked out of almost every country he tried to make his home. The following overview of Crowley's life is from *'Hungry for Heaven'* by Steve Turner:

"Born in 1875, Aleister Crowley had, like the Rolling Stones, rebelled against a regulated small-town background. He'd been raised in Leamington, Warwickshire, by parents who were members of the Strict Brethren Church, a fundamentalist Christian sect. From an early age young Aleister identified with the enemies of God in the Bible stories that were read to him. In particular he identified with the antichrist predicted in the book of Revelation. In 1898 he joined the Hermetic Order of the Golden Dawn, a magical society."

"Most of Crowley's adult life was dedicated to indulging in everything he believed God would hate: performing sex magic, taking heroin, opium, hashish, peyote and cocaine, invoking spirits, and even once offering himself to the Russian authorities to help destroy Christianity. He wrote volumes of books that he believed were dictated to him by a spirit from ancient Egypt called Aiwass. "To worship me take wine and strange drugs," the spirit conveniently told him. "Lust, enjoy all things of sense and rapture. Fear not that any God shall deny thee for this." ...

Aleister's father Edward was a Brethren preacher, but he had inherited a fortune from his father, Crowley Ale. Edward who died when Aleister was eleven and the son inherited the fortune. From this inheritance, Aleister financed his satanic career. He began torturing and killing animals at age twelve. Crowley was a heroin addict and a sexual pervert. His Christian mother referred to him as "*The Great Beast of Revelation whose number is 666,*" and he was pleased with the title. He was convinced that he was the reincarnation of the magician Eliphas Levi, who died the year Crowley was born. Crowley also believed he had lived other lives, including that of Pope Alexander VI. Crowley claimed that dark powers gave him the words to his '*Book of the Law.*' His first wife, Rose, died in a mental asylum. His second wife also went insane. "Five mistresses committed suicide, and scores of his concubines ended in the gutter as alcoholics, drug addicts, or in mental institutions" (*'Hellhounds on Their Trail'*, pg. 56).

In 1922, Crowley published '*Diary of a Drug Fiend*', which was about the use of cocaine. He described the widespread use of cocaine among Hollywood stars, which he described as "cocaine-crazed sexual lunatics."



The above picture of Aleister Crowley and the deceased Barbara Bush, wife of George H. W. Bush reveals a remarkable resemblance. It has been reported Barbara Bush was the daughter of Aleister Crowley. To learn more about this strange story, go to the link: themillenniumreport.com/.../aleister-crowley-and-the-bush-connection.

As noted, Crowley died a wasted heroin addict given to rages and doubts. His last words were "*I am perplexed...*" Crowley worshipped the demon god Pan, the god of sexuality and lust.

His "Hymn to Pan" was read at his funeral: *"I rave and I rape and I rip and I rend/ Everlasting world without end!"*

Crowley believed in human sacrifice and said *"A made child of perfect innocence"* is the most suitable victim. The evidence for satanic ritual abuse of children went on largely under the cloak of secrecy, until October, 2016 with the release of emails by John Podesta, advisor to Democratic candidate Hillary Rodham Clinton. E-mails between them used terms like "spirit cooking", a reference to the dark world of satanic ritual abuse of children and a world pedophile network for child trafficking, and worse. Former Marine officer and CIA agent Robert David Steele claims the CIA and the Vatican are the head of the child trafficking and satanic abuse of children. His testimony is confirmed by others knowledgeable of the Vatican/CIA ties.

So the Satanists equate independence from God with Satanism (doing your own thing instead of obeying God's Word). This is what the serpent offered Eve, that is, independence from God. Satanists define Satanism as "freedom from God's Laws." Satanists say, "Hey, in America we can legally do wickedness." They define Satanism as "the pursuit of pleasures." "If it feels good, do it" they say. Welcome to SIN SIN America!!! The motto of Freemasonry is "Freedom, Equality, and Liberty" a clear reference to "absence of God" or "without God".

The 32nd Degree of Scottish Rite Freemasonry is called "The Master of the **Royal Secret**," or "Sublime Prince of the **Royal Secret**." It is also the *"ne plus ultra"* in York Rite Masonry, where it is called "Order of Knights Templar."

If you still doubt these revelations, then consider this fact. On May 16, 2002, *'The Sun Chronicle'* reported that court documents revealed that a Roman Catholic priest, James Porter, confessed to Pope Paul VI, in 1973, of having sexually abused dozens of little children, but the professed Vicar of Christ did nothing to prevent further violation of other innocent victims.

The report said that "former North Attleboro priest James Porter told Pope Paul VI in 1973 that he had been sexually molesting children, *for nearly 20 years before victims stepped forward to reveal the abuse they had suffered...* while he was a priest in the Diocese of Fall River, MA in the 1960's. He pleaded guilty to molesting 28 children in 1993."

It is a fact that both heterosexual and homosexual acts of depravity have been practiced (and sanctioned) within the Roman Catholic priesthood for hundreds of years! As to the holy sisters, Catholic Cardinal Peter D'Ailly said that he dared not to describe the sexual immorality carried on in the nunneries, but was prepared to say that "taking the veil" was simply another mode of becoming a "public prostitute." Of course, not all nuns are implicated. No doubt many are decent women. But unfortunately a great many, too, are defiled by the common priests and high-ranking clergymen.

There is a puzzlingly cryptic passage found in Revelation 17:4-6, 18 which reads: ***"...and I saw a woman seated on a beast with seven heads and ten horns. The woman was arrayed in purple and scarlet color, and decked with gold and precious stones and pearls... and on her***

forehead was a name written: MYSTERY, BABYLON THE GREAT, MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND OF EARTH'S ABOMINATIONS...that Great city, which reigneth over the kings of the earth."

Why is this significant? In those few verses of Revelation 17, we are given the clue to the identity of the "beast" and of the woman that is symbolically "seated on" the beast. Verse 18 states ***"the woman" is that great city which reigneth over the kings of the earth.*** The woman, you will recall is a church; and this one will rule over the earth! Catholic theologian Cardinal Gibbons of Baltimore explains this passage. In seeking to argue for the Apostolic successors of the pope, Gibbons says: *"...intrinsic evidence of St. Peter's first Epistle [1st Peter 5:13], and the testimony of his immediate successors... all concur in fixing the See [Bishopric] of Peter in Rome. "Babylon," from which Peter addresses his first Epistle, is understood to refer to Rome – the word Babylon being symbolic of the corruption then prevailing in the city of the Caesars... Irenaeus, Origin, St. Jerome, Eusebius, the great historians, and other eminent writers to testify to St. Peter's residence in Rome... no ancient ecclesiastic writes has ever contradicted the statement."*

Cardinal Gibbons said, *"The penetration of the religion of Babylon became so general and well-known that Rome was called the 'New Babylon.'* Two paragraphs earlier we read in Revelation 17:4-6: ***"The woman [seated on the beast with seven heads and ten horns] was arrayed in purple and scarlet color... and on her forehead was a name written MYSTERY, BABYLON THE GREAT..."*** I have noted elsewhere that the seven heads are seven continents and the ten horns were ten regions established by the Club of Rome and the U.N. of controlling all of humanity.

As noted before. A woman in prophetic Scripture is symbolic of a *church* –(Jeremiah 6:2) and if Cardinal Gibbons is correct about **"Babylon"** "being symbolic" of Rome, then the *woman that sits on , or rides the beast must be a church that controls and has its seat at Rome.* So, the only question left to be answered is, was Gibbons right? Did the Apostle Peter really refer to Rome as "Babylon" in his Epistle? ***"The church that is at Babylon, elected together with you, saluteth you; and so doth Marcus my son."*** -(1st Peter 5:13). So we know that Peter was writing from a place he called **"Babylon"**. As Peter was not in ancient Chaldea, he could not have been referring to the ancient city of Babylon, which had been completely destroyed by the Persians between 539 and 482 B.C. So Peter must have been referring to another place called "Babylon"

Now, if the city of Rome was called **"Babylon"** by the Apostle Peter –(1st Peter 5:13), as the Catholic Church admits to, then it is reasonable to conclude that the Apostle John who penned the book of Revelation, would also have known that Rome was called **"Babylon"**. So it is logical to conclude that when John wrote in Revelation 16:19 and 17:5, 18: **"Babylon the Great", "Mystery Babylon", "that Great city" and "great Babylon" that reigneth over the kings of the earth,"** his first century audience knew he was referring to the "Babylon" from which the Apostle Peter wrote – the "Eternal" city of Rome.

What this means is that the Antichrist power or *church* of which John speaks so forcefully and woefully was to have its “seat” at Rome and remain at Rome until the end – or until its destruction. This system would be a “real political power,” for John says it would **“reign over the kings of earth.”** It was also to have a **“Mystery”** religion, which all men would be required to accept its religion –(Revelation 13:7 and 17:18). We see, too, from Revelation chapters 13 and 17 that these passages are speaking of the same entity, **“Babylon the Great.”** This power, the Beast System, is described as being **“drunk with the blood of the saints and the blood of martyrs.”** It was to be a persecuting power. This is the same “Babylon” referred to in 1st Peter 5:13 – the beast of Revelation 13:17 and 17:4-6. Logic therefore requires us to conclude that the “man” referred to in Revelation 13:18, whose number we saw was **“Six hundred threescore and six,”** must be the one who rules over the city called Babylon and *that the “woman” of Revelation 17:4, 5 is the organization or church over which the “man” presides.* Respected scholar Karl Keating, a faithful Roman Catholic, confirms this assertion:

Babylon is a code word for Rome. It is used that way six times in the last book of the Bible... and in extra-biblical works such as Sybilline Oracles and Apocalypse of Baruch, Eusebius Pamphilian, writing about 303 A.D., noted that “Peter’s first epistle was composed at Rome itself.; and that he himself indicates this, referring to the city figuratively as Babylon. These references cannot be to the onetime capital of the Babylonian Empire. That Babylon had been reduced to an inconsequential status by the march of years, military defeat, and political subjugation; it was no longer a ‘great city’... **The only ‘great city’ in New Testament times was “Rome.”**

That Rome is here meant (in 1st Peter 5:13) even the ablest champions of Catholicism admit. For example, Cardinal Bellarmine says, *“St. John, in the Apocalypse calls Rome Babylon; for no other city except Rome reigned in his age over the kings of the earth, and it is well-known that Rome is seated upon seven hills.”* The question is, therefore, not whether Rome is referred to in Scripture as Babylon, but why did both the Apostles Peter and John use the term “Babylon” to describe the city of Rome, when the name Rome was known to them? Why is Babylon used in the Bible as a code word for Rome? Anyone who has read the Bible will know that it often refers to persons and places using metaphoric name. For example, a city is called “Sodom and Egypt” in Revelation 11:8 and Satan is addressed as the prince of Tyre in Isaiah 14:4, 12). This is significant! If Rome was called Babylon in 1st Peter 5:13, and Satan is called “the king of Babylon,” then Babylon must be where Satan has his kingdom or “seat,” i.e., the place from which he exercises his power on earth!

Revelation 2:12 introduces us to a strange religious system under the figure of the “church of Pergamos.” The figurative Pergamos represents a period in the Christian era of church imposed darkness, where an apostate or corrupt church (a false system) would arise professing Christ, but yet deny His teachings and substituting another doctrine for the Gospel. This church was to rule almost supreme (“over all the earth,” –(Revelation 17:18). In verse 13 of Revelation 2 it tells us quite frankly that the church of Pergamos was “where Satan’s seat is...” and “where Satan dwelleth.” We recall that Satan is called the “king of Babylon” –(Isaiah

14:4, 12) and *“the dragon”* –(Revelation 12:9); and Revelation 13:2 says, *“the dragon gave him [anti-Christ his power, and his seat, and great authority.]”*

The city of Pergamos was a literal place – the capital city of ancient Mysia, in Asia Minor – modern day Bergama in western Turkey. Once established in Pergamos, the Babylonian priesthood set up their religion there: thus, Pergamos soon became the new seat of the ancient Babylonian sun worship. We must therefore look at what actually took place in the literal Pergamos to understand what is being alluded to in the mystical, or prophetic (i.e., symbolic) Pergamos of Revelation 2:13. To this day, Roman Catholicism is about “Sun” worship and not “Son” worship!

When Attadus, the Pontiff and King of Pergamos, died in 133 B.C., he bequeathed the Headship of the “Babylonian Priesthood” to Rome. William B. Barker in his book *‘Lares and Penates’* cracks open the code word *Pergamos* as used by John in Revelation 2:13. He writes:

The Chaldean Magi enjoyed a long period of prosperity at Babylon. A pontiff appointed by the sovereign ruled over a college of **seventy-two hierophants...** [*just as the popes have 70 Cardinals*] the defeated Chaldeans fled to Asia Minor, and fixed their central college at Pergamos, and took the *Palladium* of Babylon, the cubic stone [believed to represent Cybele or Kybele, the *mother goddess*] with them. Here, independent of state control, they carried on the rites of their religion, and plotted against the peace of the Persian Empire, caballing with the Greeks for that purpose.”

Thus we see that the Chaldeans continued to wield political and religious influence, injecting their presence into the next world empire. When the city was later given to Rome (Rome acquired the city of Pergamos by decree of Attalus III, bequeathing his kingdom to the Roman Caesar), the priesthood of Pergamos moved to the new power center on the Italian peninsula. Within the pagan Roman Empire they were able to continue their ancient Chaldean practices, and according to the late Dr. John Walvoord, the late chancellor of Dallas Theological Seminary, ***“when the teachers of the Babylonian mystery religions later moved from Pergamum to Rome, they were influential in paganizing Christianity and were the source of many so-called religious rites which have crept into ritualistic churches.”*** The Perga-menes worshipped a plethora of gods, including Dionysus, or Baccus (the god of wine) and a virgin goddess associated with secret fertility cult rituals, and an order of temple ‘virgins’ (like nuns?) who performed the services of religious prostitutes. There is a book written by a former nun *‘Mysteries of the Neapolitan Convents’*, pgs. 150-152, 163, where on pg. 163, we find this statement: ***“The fanatical passion of the nuns for their confessors, priests, and monks, exceeds belief... a liberty which they can enjoy in the convent...”***

Now, returning to Revelation 2:14-15, it states that within the Church of Pergamos there would be those *“that hold the doctrine of Balaam... [who] eat thing sacrificed unto idols, and commit fornication [i.e., the mixing of Pagan rites with Christian ones].”* E.W. Bullinger says in the Introduction to his *‘The Apocalypse’*, *“In Pergamos we have the reference to Balaam.”* What was the doctrine of Balaam? Sorcery, or witchcraft! Revelation 2 states that this doctrine

of Balaam would be practiced in a church that has as part of its liturgy the offering up of things to be blessed and consecrated unto idols – that is Pagan icons: images, relics, rosaries, etc.

And Revelation 2:13 tells us the church of Pergamos is the place ***“where Satan’s seat is.”*** This is quite a statement. Is this to be understood in a literal sense, that Satan will have his headquarters, his seat of power on earth? In a later part of Revelation, chapter 13:2-6 we find the answer to this cryptic word, ***“where Satan’s seat is.”*** It says: ***“And the dragon gave him his power, and his seat, and great authority”*** -(Rev. 13:2) The “dragon” you will recall is the biblical code name for Lucifer (Satan): ***“the great dragon was cast out, that old serpent, called the devil, and Satan....”*** -(Rev. 12:9). So, ancient Pergamos was described as ***“where Satan’s seat is,”*** but where is Satan’s “seat” today?

There is a cryptic message, allegedly given in 1846, from an entity claiming to be the Virgin ‘Mary,’ According to her, ***“Rome will lose the Faith and become the seat of the antichrist. The Church will be in eclipse.”*** The real question is, did Rome ever possess the true Faith?

On May 13, 1917, three illiterate children, ages ten, eight, and seven, at the little town of Fatima in Portugal, claimed to have received a vision from the “Virgin Mary.” According to the Vatican, Mary told the children: ***“Satan will reign even in the highest places. He will even enter the highest position in the Church.”*** This was the famous “vision of Fatima,” which the Vatican has approved as a “genuine” message from Mary. Could it be that Satan really reigns in the Vatican?

Having unscrambled the code words in Revelation 2:13; 12:9; 13:2; and in 1st Peter 5:13 (“the church that is at Babylon”), it should be obvious that the Vatican is Satan’s Seat. In the next segment we will look closer at a dual prophecy revealing an extremely significant aspect of the belly of the Beast!

Shortly following Pope Francis installation as the latest pope, Pope Francis did a number of things that troubled Catholics and Protestant Vatican watchers alike.

The highlight of the ceremony was the singing of a Catholic prayer known as ‘The Exsultet’, or ‘Easter Proclamation’. The problem starts in the wording of the prayer itself. On April 27th, 2014, Pope Francis held a ceremony in St. Peter’s Square at the Vatican to induct two former popes into sainthood. Catholic sainthood ceremonies are fairly standard procedures, and happen quite often. But on this particular occasion, Pope Francis wanted it broadcast around the world in 3D, HF and 3K.

“How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! how art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations!” -(Isaiah 14:12) Most modern English translations removed the words “O Lucifer, son of the morning” and replaced it with the words “Morning Star” in its place. This was discussed in some detail in a previous segment of this series.

What was so special about this sainthood ceremony to go to all that expense and trouble? What was it that the pope needed the entire world to watch? As it turns out, Pope Francis was about to declare that Lucifer is the Morning Star, a title that the Bible gives to Jesus Christ alone. **The pope was telling the entire world that Lucifer is God of the Catholic Church.**

“I Jesus have sent mine angel to testify unto you these things in the churches. I am the root and the offspring of David, and the bright and morning star.” -(Revelation 22:16) This is confirmation that Jesus Christ is the morning star. Modern translations, such as the NIV, removed “Lucifer” as found in the KJV Bible, and substituted the word “Morning Star” in its place. Lucifer is not the “morning star” as Revelation 22:16 confirms it to be Jesus Christ!

The highlight of the ceremony was *the singing of a Catholic prayer known as ‘The Exsultet’, or ‘Easter Proclamation’.* *The problem starts in the wording of the prayer itself. As you can see below, twice the name of Lucifer is mentioned as you can clearly see in both the Latin and English versions. The name of Jesus is not mentioned at all.*

- **THE EXSULTET IN LATIN:** *Flammæ eius **Lucifer** matutinus invéniat: ille, inquam, **Lucifer**, qui nescit occásus. Christus Fílius tuus, qui, regréssus ab ínferis, humano géneri sérénus illúxit, et vivit et regnat in sæcula sæculórum.*
- **THE EXSULTET IN ENGLISH:** *May this flame be found still burning, by the **Morning Star**: the one **Morning Star** who never sets, Christ your Son, who, coming back from death’s domain, has shed his peaceful light on humanity, and lives and reigns forever and ever.*

In the Bible, the name Lucifer appears only one time, in Isaiah 14. It is the proper name of a created being who fell from grace and as such was renamed Satan. The word ‘Lucifer’ means ‘light bearer’ and the word Satan means ‘adversary’. But they are both proper names of the same person, otherwise known as the Devil. The word ‘Lucifer’ is never used as anything else but as a name for the Devil.

When the Antichrist shows up during the time of Jacob’s trouble, he will fool people into thinking that he is actually the Messiah, Jesus Christ. Antichrist has the title of messiah, ‘Christos’, built right in his name, he is just a false messiah. But this is exactly who is being sung about in the Exsultet prayer at the Vatican, it has nothing to do with the real Messiah, Jesus.

“For there shall arise false Christs, and false prophets, and shall shew great signs and wonders; insomuch that, if it were possible, they shall deceive the very elect.” -(Matthew 24:24)

Jesus doesn’t have a last name, the word ‘Christ’ meaning ‘Messiah’ is His title. There is only one Jesus, but the Bible tells us there is many more claims than one messiah, more than one christ. The Exsultet, which in its full version is quite lengthy, never mentions the name of Jesus one single time. It only uses the name ‘Christ’, which as you can see in the Bible can mean either Jesus or the Antichrist, depending on the context.

What is the context of the Exsultet? Well, the only proper name that is mentioned in the Exsultet is the name of Lucifer, and it declares that 'Lucifer the Morning Star' is the Son of God. But Lucifer is not the Morning Star, Jesus is. If the writers of the Exsultet had wanted to write a song about Jesus being the Morning Star, they could easily have done that, but they didn't. They gave that honor to Lucifer, who is the Devil and Satan. Every time the Exsultet is sung in a Roman Catholic Church it is praising the name of Lucifer, not Jesus! Only the KJV Bible renders the name "Lucifer" in Isaiah 14:12. The NIV removed "Lucifer" and changed it to "morning star" as to make it compatible with the Roman Catholic bible being produced at the time. The NIV translators had on their committee, a Jesuit cardinal Carlo Martini.

Carlo Maria Martini SJ (15 February 1927 – 31 August 2012) was an Italian Jesuit and cardinal of the Catholic Church, and Italian Freemason. He was Archbishop of Milan from 1980 to 2002 and was elevated to the cardinalate in 1983. A towering intellectual figure of the Roman Catholic Church, Martini was the liberal contender for the Papacy in the 2005 conclave, following the death of Pope John Paul II. According to highly placed Vatican sources, Martini received more votes in the first round than Cardinal Joseph Ratzinger, the conservative candidate: 40 to 38. Ratzinger ended up with more votes in a subsequent round, thus becoming pope. Pope Francis was a protégé of liberal Cardinal Martini. The plan was for Bergoglio to become the next pope, and not Ratzinger.

Interestingly, Mormonism teaches that Jesus and Lucifer are brothers who have the same Father, God. I wonder where Joseph Smith got that idea from. Who else worships Lucifer as the 'light bearer' of the world? Freemason do that, don't you know? Hmm, I wonder where they could have got that idea from. Well, you know what they say about 'birds of a feather', they sure do flock together.

Here are three of the most despicable facts of Pope Francis, and his heretical views

- **CONFIRMING HIS ROLE AS ANTICHRIST, POPE FRANCIS SPITS IN JESUS' FACE AND DEFIANTLY DECLARES THAT 'THERE IS NO HELL'**
- **POPE FRANCIS TELLS CATHOLICS IN ROME THAT A 'PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP' WITH JESUS IS 'DANGEROUS AND HARMFUL'**
- **POPE FRANCIS IN POLAND TELLS WORLD FAMOUS PHOTOGRAPHER 'I AM THE DEVIL' THEN LAUGHS IT OFF**

Since his election and installation in March, 2013 as Pope Francis of the Roman Catholic Church, we now have sufficient evidence from Jorge Bergoglio's words and deeds to raise serious questions as to whether this pope is Catholic or even Christian:

- To begin, a legitimate case has been made that Bergoglio's election as pope was illicit, being contaminated by lobbying in violation of papal law.
- Bishops, cardinals and archbishops who are Bergoglio's allies are flagrant homosexuals and pedophiles. Bergoglio misuses the pope's claim to doctrinal infallibility by claiming papal infallibility on climate change.

- Bergoglio lectures Europe and the United States to take in “migrants” and “refugees” while the Vatican has taken in only one refugee family, and is protected by 40 ft. tall walls. Bergoglio went so far as to say migrants’ right to protection trumps the host countries’ national security concerns.
- He calls Christian gun-manufacturers hypocrites, but the Vatican’s own Swiss guards are well-armed with a dizzying array of traditional (sword, command baton, partisan, halberd, flamberge and cuirass) and modern arms (SIG P220 pistol, Glock 19, Steyr TMP, Heckler & Koch MP5A3, Heckler & Koch MP7A1, SIG SG 550, and SIG SG 552).
- He grieved over the death of Cuban dictator and Jesuit Fidel Castro, who persecuted Catholics and lived in luxury while the Cuban people starved.
- As pope, Bergoglio has made pronouncements that are contrary to both Catholic doctrine and Christian theology. As an example, in an interview with his longtime atheist friend Eugenio Scalfari, published March 28, 2018 in *La Repubblica*, Pope Francis claims that Hell does not exist and that condemned souls just “disappear.” This is a denial of what Jesus Himself said in Mark 9 about Gehenna of “unquenchable fire”, and the 2,000-year-old teaching of the Catholic Church about the reality of Hell and the eternal existence of the soul.
- Bergoglio’s reign as pope has been one of terror: His critics are censored and threatened with excommunication. Bergoglio’s most recent and most prominent critic, Archbishop Carlo Viganò, who calls for the pope’s resignation, has gone into hiding, in fear for his life. See also “Cardinal Müller: ‘Pervading sense of fear’ in Pope Francis’ Curia”.
- Most egregious of all is Bergoglio’s refusal to genuflect at the consecration, his joke about Christ’s crucifixion, and his concealment of the crucifix by stuffing it inside his waist sash so as not to offend Jews.

(1) The Wiccan Stang

The pagan religion of Wicca or witchcraft uses a range of “magical” tools in its rituals — at an altar or inside a “magic” circle. Each of the tools has different uses and associations, but all supposedly direct magical energies.

The stang is one such “magical” tool — a pronged wooden staff, topped either with a naturally occurring fork or with antlers affixed (*Wikipedia*). Wiccans claim that witches not only rode on broomsticks, but also on stangs.

On October 3, 2018, **Pope Francis opened the Synod of Bishops** in Saint Peters Square in Vatican City, **holding** what appears to be **a Wiccan stang**, instead of the traditional papal ferula with a cross at the top.

A picture of Pope Francis with the Wiccan stang staff is on the next page. Below that is a picture of Pope Francis at the World Youth Day conference wearing the LGBT rainbow cross.



(2) The LGBT Rainbow Cross

It's bad enough that homosexuals have appropriated the rainbow, which God sent after the Great Flood as a sign of His promise that He would never again punish humanity and other living creatures with "a flood to destroy the earth" -(Genesis 9).



On World Youth Day on October 17, 2018, Bergoglio signaled his solidarity with homosexuals by adorning himself with an LGBT rainbow cross pendant.

What does the Bible say about homosexuality? When speaking on this topic many people act like it's a toss-up issue. Many people ask is homosexuality a sin and the answer is that it is a sin just like stealing is a sin. It has always been a sin. I'm tired of all these lies that I see on the internet, on YouTube, and in real life.

Here are some lies brought on by the devil. God is OK with you being gay, you can be a gay Christian, the Bible says nothing about homosexuality, you were born that way, David and Jonathon were gay. I've even seen mockery signs that said Jesus was gay. There is no evidence to prove such claims. The blasphemy of Jesus Christ will not go unpunished.

People can make all the jokes that they want. God will not be mocked. As the world gets worse for the Antichrist there are more and more deceitful people who will literally twist and take away from God's Word and run away from the truth. I've seen people provide every single excuse for verses that clearly condemn homosexuality.

Why should we condone it? Do we condone murdering others? Do we condone stealing? Do we condone lying? What if thieves speak out and say, "stealing is good?" Do you not see the hypocrisy? When has it ever been OK to parade sin around? Why do homosexuals get a pass?

Why are some so called Christians condoning the gay lifestyle and allowing gay pastors to preach to others when it's just going to drive more people to hell? Please, but this is not to offend any gays, but to speak the truth in love to save lives. Many Christians are afraid to do this, but would rather want you to go to hell by being silent.



Pope Francis has robes and mitres for all fashionable occasions. The Roman Catholic site *Patheos* attempts to dispel the views that this does not represent the LGBT embracement

of homosexuality: *“When we see the Pope using a symbol, it is worthwhile to try to understand two things before critiquing. First, what is meant? And second, what do those symbols have in that culture? In this case, the answer to the first is it represents the coming together of Latin American youth from various nations and regions. Second, I don’t think the LGBT flag has gained as much of a foothold in Latin American symbology as it has in the USA. This cross is clearly not intended as an endorsement of LGBT pride. Let’s be honest in trying to understand Pope Francis and other symbols used by Catholics in other parts of the world.”* Give me a break!

The launching of this symbol during the Youth Synod in Rome, which is supposed to give moral orientation to young Catholics, also sends the implicit message that the Synod should open Catholic morals to homosexuals and all those who have similar anti-natural vices, today classified as LGBT. By the way, for the first time this acronym was used in one of the preparatory documents of the Synod.

Pope Francis – faithful to his faithless custom of sending ambiguous messages – wore that cross to pressure the Synod participants to adopt a progressivist approach in the final document.



To open the eyes of those Catholics who, taking an ostrich position, deny that this rainbow cross de facto symbolizes the Conciliar Church's complacency with homosexuality, there are photos of Francis wearing a rainbow bracelet in 2014. At that time no Bishops

Conference tried to justify those colors as symbols of areas or eco-systems: They were considered as a clear support for homosexuality. Those folks who think Pope Francis is just a bumbling old pope going senile are being deceived. He is a cunning Jesuit drawing people into the idea that homosexuality is approved by the church.

Despite this theoretical explanation and given that the rainbow has unfortunately become identified with the homosexual movement, we believe that those who created this cross did so to oblige the Bishops who will be present at the WYD-2019 to wear it. It is yet another way to promote homosexuality, and particularly to encourage the WYD participants to accept this vice.

If Pope Francis says homosexual priests should not be in the church, let him explain why Francis announced giving “absolution” to over 2,000 priests accused of molesting children, altar boys mostly, and parishioners. These represented the backlog of pending cases. Pope Francis in a new book he wrote due out soon, that the priesthood is not for homosexuals. His written statement seems inconsistent with religious discipline or civil law enforcement prosecution. Only the most severe cases get referred to the Vatican for so-called justice.

Quotes:

- “Concerning homosexuality: This once brought hell out of heaven on Sodom.” Charles Spurgeon
- “America is every bit as sin-sick as Sodom and Gomorrah ever were. We’re rotting from within.” John Hagee
- “Satan delights in homosexual perversion because it not only exists outside of marriage, but it also defiles God’s very image reflected as male and female.”
- “Homosexuals cannot reproduce. They must recruit. And they want our children! That’s why they are getting public officials to require school teachers to present homosexuality as “normal” and acceptable. They know that the next generation will one day be voters, and they will change the laws so that homosexuals can prey on children and not worry about breaking the law!”

You will be persecuted. I know from personal experience. Twenty-five years ago, I was persecuted and forced to leave the United Methodist Church for exposing my predecessor, a lesbian ordained United Methodist pastor and whose significant other was also a lesbian and ordained United Methodist pastor. The UMC chose to hold a blind-eye approach of two female elders (pastors appointed to serve churches) in the church, engaged in an open lesbian relationship, which is contrary to the UMC Discipline, the denominational rule book.

Homosexuals are suing and threatening to sue preachers, churches, Christian bakers, wedding photographers and more. These days you can’t say anything about homosexuality. Even if you try to warn them it’s called hate speech. Look to see more people being sued in the future. Some homosexuals are going into Christian bakeries with the intention of finding a reason to sue them. Just like the Bible says you will be hated for being a Christ follower and part

of being a Christ follower is standing up for Him, His Word, and all the consequences that come with it. Christians are being persecuted more and more and this will lead to many denying God and going astray.

1. 2nd Timothy 3:12 Yes and everyone who wants to live a godly life in Christ Jesus will suffer persecution.

Same-sex attraction is a form of idolatry. You exchange the glory of God for self and your gender. When you idolize self-one of the things it can lead to is same-sex attraction.

2. Romans 1:24-25 ***“Therefore God gave them over in the lusts of their hearts to impurity, so that their bodies would be dishonored among them. For they exchanged the truth of God for a lie, and worshiped and served the creature rather than the Creator, who is blessed forever. Amen.”***

Homosexuality leads to AIDS and early death. It is proven and it is a punishment from God.

3. Romans 1:27 ***“In the same way the men also abandoned natural relations with women and were inflamed with lust for one another. Men committed shameful acts with other men, and received in themselves the due penalty for their error.”***

Homosexuality doesn't harm anyone. That is a flat out lie! Sometimes harm doesn't reveal itself immediately and sometimes it does. The legalization of same-sex marriage is extremely wicked. It destroys everything. It destroys families and God's intended purpose for marriage. It perverts what God intended for good and it makes it evil. It destroys kids, mothers, fathers, and it opens the doors to more wickedness such as pedophilia marriage, incest marriage, human-animal marriage, and more. There are already cases of these things throughout the world. You can legalize same-sex marriage all that you want, but you will never change what marriage is in God's eyes, which is one man and one woman. To say otherwise is blasphemy against the Lord and it is of the devil. God defines morality not the government.

4. Genesis 1:27-28 ***“So God created mankind in his own image, in the image of God he created them; male and female he created them. God blessed them and said to them, “Be fruitful and increase in number; fill the earth and subdue it. Rule over the fish in the sea and the birds in the sky and over every living creature that moves on the ground.”***

Women were made to compliment men. The same sex was not made to complement each other in marriage. Trying to do something else is a distortion of God's ways.

5. Genesis 2:20-24 ***“So the man gave names to all the livestock, the birds in the sky and all the wild animals. But for Adam no suitable helper was found. So the LORD God caused the man to fall into a deep sleep; and while he was sleeping, he took one of the man's ribs and then closed up the place with flesh. Then the LORD God made a woman from the rib he had taken out of the man, and he brought her to the man. The man said, “This is now bone of my bones***

and flesh of my flesh; she shall be called ‘woman,’ for she was taken out of man.” That is why a man leaves his father and mother and is united to his wife, and they become one flesh.

Did Jesus talk about homosexuality?

Yes, He talked about it by reaffirming what the Old Testament said about marriage, which is one man and one woman and not one man and one man or lesbianism. Another thing that many people forget is that Jesus is God. The same way that God feels about it is the same way that Jesus feels about it because they are one. He never changes. It’s no laughing matter!



6. Matthew 19:4-6 *“Haven’t you read,” he replied, “that at the beginning the Creator ‘made them male and female,’ and said, ‘For this reason a man will leave his father and mother and be united to his wife, and the two will become one flesh’? So they are no longer two, but one flesh. Therefore what God has joined together, let no one separate.”*

Because homosexuality was so serious and wicked in the Old Testament it was punishable by death. What it did was destroy the hope of civilization and reproduction. God equates it to having sex with an animal. It is perversion.

7. Leviticus 18:22-24 *“You shall not lie with a male as one lies with a female; it is an abomination. Also you shall not have intercourse with any animal to be defiled with it, nor*

shall any woman stand before an animal to mate with it; it is a perversion. Do not defile yourselves by any of these things; for by all these the nations which I am casting out before you have become defiled."

8. Leviticus 20:13 *"If there is a man who lies with a male as those who lie with a woman, both of them have committed a detestable act; they shall surely be put to death. Their blood guiltiness is upon them."*

Are gay people born gay? No, God didn't create people gay. Homosexual relationships are not love they are lust.

9. James 1:13-15 When tempted, no one should say, "God is tempting me." *"For God cannot be tempted by evil, nor does he tempt anyone; but each person is tempted when they are dragged away by their own evil desire and enticed. Then, after desire has conceived, it gives birth to sin; and sin, when it is full-grown, gives birth to death."*

Homosexuality is unnatural.

10. Romans 1:26 *"For this reason God gave them over to degrading passions; for their women exchanged the natural function for that which is unnatural."*

Scripture even warns against cross dressing / transvestism. To try to look or act like the opposite sex is an abomination to God. Men are to be masculine and women are to be feminine.

11. Deuteronomy 22:5 *"A woman shall not wear man's clothing, nor shall a man put on a woman's clothing; for whoever does these things is an abomination to the LORD your God."*

Transvestites will not enter Heaven. Having a sex change is an abomination.

12. Deuteronomy 23:1 *"No one whose testicles are crushed or whose male organ is cut off shall enter the assembly of the Lord."*

Homosexuality violates natural law. I have talked to many people who struggle with homosexual thoughts and they know it's wrong. God lets us know in our very being that it is wrong and that marriage is between a man and a woman.

13. Romans 2:14-15 *"For when Gentiles who do not have the Law do instinctively the things of the Law, these, not having the Law, are a law to themselves, in that they show the work of the Law written in their hearts, their conscience bearing witness and their thoughts alternately accusing or else defending them."*

Do not be deceived.

Many homosexuals say, *“Only God can judge me”* or *“just let God judge”*. The problem is that God hates homosexuality and He has made it crystal clear that no homosexual will enter into Heaven. Some people don’t know what they are saying. You would not want God to judge you. God will throw those without Christ into hell for eternity. Do not be deceived by the false teachers and everyone in this wicked world who says homosexuality is not a sin. No one who practices homosexuality will enter into Heaven. Clear as day!

14. 1st Corinthians 6:9-10 *“Don’t you know that the unrighteous will not inherit God’s kingdom? Do not be deceived: No sexually immoral people, idolaters, adulterers, or anyone practicing homosexuality, no thieves, greedy people, drunkards, verbally abusive people, or swindlers will inherit God’s kingdom.”*

Can a Christian be gay?

No. There is no such thing as a gay Christian just like there is no such thing as a Christian who practices premarital sex or a Christian who loves to murder people. If you say, *“I don’t care, I’m going to still practice homosexuality”* or if you say, *“if Jesus is this good I can sin all I want”* that is a clear indication that you are not a Christian and you’re knocking on the doors of hell.

Christians are a new creation through Christ just like 2nd Corinthians 5:17 says. We won’t want to live a life of continuous rebellion. The spirit of God is living inside Christians. Homosexuality is telling God that you are right and He is wrong. It doesn’t matter what sin it is if someone is practicing sin without a care in the world and God lets them without bringing them to repentance, then they are not Christian and they are deceiving themselves.

15. 1st John 3:8-10 *“Whoever makes a practice of sinning is of the devil, for the devil has been sinning from the beginning. The reason the Son of God appeared was to destroy the works of the devil. No one born of God makes a practice of sinning, for God’s seed abides in him, and he cannot keep on sinning because he has been born of God. By this it is evident who are the children of God, and who are the children of the devil: whoever does not practice righteousness is not of God, nor is the one who does not love his brother.”*

Jesus can break any chain!

How can homosexuality be genetics when many have turned away from it? Christ saves and He makes new. Some people from the church of Corinth were homosexuals, but they were saved by the blood of Christ. You were like that, but now you are not.

16. 1st Corinthians 6:11 *“And that is what some of you were. But you were washed, you were sanctified, you were justified in the name of the Lord Jesus Christ and by the Spirit of our God.”*

The law of God was made to expose evildoers. It clearly says, “homosexuality is contrary to sound doctrine.”

17. 1st Timothy 1:9-11 *"We also know that the law is made not for the righteous but for lawbreakers and rebels, the ungodly and sinful, the unholy and irreligious, for those who kill their fathers or mothers, for murderers, for the sexually immoral, for those practicing homosexuality, for slave traders and liars and perjurers—and for whatever else is contrary to the sound doctrine that conforms to the gospel concerning the glory of the blessed God, which he entrusted to me."*

Homosexuality grieves the heart of God. As I'm writing, just thinking about what is happening to the church and the world hurts my heart. How much more does it hurt a holy God?

18. Genesis 6:5-6 *"The LORD saw how great the wickedness of the human race had become on the earth, and that every inclination of the thoughts of the human heart was only evil all the time. The LORD regretted that he had made human beings on the earth, and his heart was deeply troubled."*

When professing Christians condone and support homosexuality they are fighting against God. They are denying God. They are standing up for their father the devil. They are saying, "God you are wrong and Satan is right." The Bible is the authoritative Word of God. Plain and simple.

19. James 4:4 *"You adulterous people, don't you know that friendship with the world means enmity against God? Therefore, anyone who chooses to be a friend of the world becomes an enemy of God."*

Why was Sodom and Gomorrah destroyed?

God destroyed a city because of homosexuality. Some Bible twisters say, "no it was because a lack of hospitality." That is a lie from Satan. I don't understand how anyone can lie so hard to say that. It is a sin against nature. The word sodomy comes from Sodom and Gomorrah.

20. Genesis 18:20-21 *"And the Lord said, Because the cry of Sodom and Gomorrah is great, and because their sin is very grievous; I will go down now, and see whether they have done altogether according to the cry of it, which is come unto me; and if not, I will know."*

21. Genesis 19:4-7 *"Before they had gone to bed, all the men from every part of the city of Sodom—both young and old—surrounded the house. They called to Lot, "Where are the men who came to you tonight? Bring them out to us so that we can have sex with them." Lot went outside to meet them and shut the door behind him and said, "No, my friends. Don't do this wicked thing."*

22. Jude 1:7 *"just as Sodom and Gomorrah and the surrounding cities, which likewise indulged in sexual immorality and pursued unnatural desire, serve as an example by undergoing a punishment of eternal fire."*

23. 2 Peter 2:6-10 *“Later, God condemned the cities of Sodom and Gomorrah and turned them into heaps of ashes. He made them an example of what will happen to ungodly people. But God also rescued Lot out of Sodom because he was a righteous man who was sick of the shameful immorality of the wicked people around him. Yes, Lot was a righteous man who was tormented in his soul by the wickedness he saw and heard day after day. So you see, the Lord knows how to rescue godly people from their trials, even while keeping the wicked under punishment until the day of final judgment. He is especially hard on those who follow their own twisted sexual desire, and who despise authority. These people are proud and arrogant, daring even to scoff at supernatural beings without so much as trembling.”*

Gay pride is a sin and the rainbow is God’s promise not a symbol of pride. The devil finds ways to pervert everything that the Lord has made.

24. Ezekiel 16:49 *“Behold, this was the iniquity of thy sister Sodom, pride, fullness of bread, and abundance of idleness was in her and in her daughters, neither did she strengthen the hand of the poor and needy.”*

The huge LGBT movement and gay parades were foreseen.

25. Isaiah 3:8-9 *“For Jerusalem has stumbled, and Judah has fallen, because what they say and do opposes the Lord; they keep defying him. “The expressions on their faces give them away. They parade their sin around like Sodom; they don’t even try to hide it. How horrible it will be for them, because they have brought disaster on themselves!”*

God calls homosexuals sodomites.

26. 1st Kings 14:24 *“And there were also sodomites in the land: and they did according to all the abominations of the nations which the LORD cast out before the children of Israel.”*

Gay rights agenda.

There are many homosexuals that will curse you out and have a ruthless attitude if you say anything about homosexuality yet they never get called out, only Christians even if they say things in a loving way. When you are wrong the only thing that you can do is raise your voice in anger. Romans 1:31 describes today’s gay rights agenda and activists.

27. Romans 1:31 *“They are foolish, faithless, heartless, ruthless.”*

The depraved mind.

Satan who is the god of this world seeks to destroy the things of God. Many people are blind to the truth. How can the blind lead the blind? When there is blindness, destruction and lawlessness are going to increase. It is going to get worse as time goes on. All Pastors, ministers, judges, presidential parties, etc. know what the Scriptures say about homosexuality,

but many overlook it. They are without the Spirit of God and just like the Bible says they have a depraved mind and they approve of those who practice these things. Romans also show that those who suppress the truth to seek their own ways are under God's wrath. God has given them over. This is what happens when God has abandoned a nation. To gain number strength the LGBTQ was quick to bring into their camp, all of the mental problems of the "Trans-Gender" movement. This perverse movement has attacked genetic and biological sex standards. In addition to the fact one is either XX chromosome or XY chromosome, there are 6,500 genetic differences between a male and a female.

28. Romans 1:28-30 *"Furthermore, just as they did not think it worthwhile to retain the knowledge of God, so God gave them over to a depraved mind, so that they do what ought not to be done. They have become filled with every kind of wickedness, evil, greed and depravity. They are full of envy, murder, strife, deceit and malice. They are gossips, slanderers, God-haters, insolent, arrogant and boastful; they invent ways of doing evil; they disobey their parents; they have no understanding, no fidelity, no love, no mercy. Although they know God's righteous decree that those who do such things deserve death, they not only continue to do these very things but also approve of those who practice them."*

29. 2nd Timothy 3:1-5 *"But understand this, that in the last days there will come times of difficulty. For people will be lovers of self, lovers of money, proud, arrogant, abusive, disobedient to their parents, ungrateful, unholy, heartless, unappeasable, slanderous, without self-control, brutal, not loving good, treacherous, reckless, swollen with conceit, lovers of pleasure rather than lovers of God, having the appearance of godliness, but denying its power. Avoid such people."*

Homosexuality in the church.

What to do if there are people professing to be Christian, but are practicing homosexuality in my church disregarding rebuke? The nature of the relationship must change. If someone says, *"I don't care what you and the Bible says, it's my life."* What we do then is plead that they repent and trust in Christ Jesus. You must treat them like an unbeliever. We must do it with love, praying that they come to repentance. No one professing to be Christian who is unrepentantly and actively living in any sexual sin should be allowed to be a member of a biblical church.

30. Matthew 18:15-17 *"If your brother sins, go and show him his fault in private; if he listens to you, you have won your brother. But if he does not listen to you, take one or two more with you, so that by the mouth of two or three witnesses every fact may be confirmed. If he refuses to listen to them, tell it to the church; and if he refuses to listen even to the church, let him be to you as a Gentile and a tax collector."*

Scripture tells us that there will be many false teachers. It is hateful to be silent to the truth. Would you let a child run in front of a moving car without saying anything?

Many people are trying to declassify homosexuality as a sin. That is basically saying, “just go to hell.” Many people are burning in hell right now because of gay preachers and people who condone homosexuality. They find a way to twist the truth. Please don’t listen to these morons that say, *“This is what this passage really means”* and they twist the passage.

Listening to liars will only drive you deeper into depression while you know deep down it is wrong, but you are trying to find an excuse. Homosexuality is not an alternative lifestyle, it has nothing to do with genetics. It is perversion. It is sin that will take you to hell for eternity.

31. 2nd Peter 2:1-2 *“But false prophets also arose among the people, just as there will be false teachers among you, who will secretly bring in destructive heresies, even denying the Master who bought them, bringing upon themselves swift destruction. And many will follow their sensuality, and because of them the way of truth will be blasphemed.”*

32. 2nd Peter 2:18 *“They brag about themselves with empty, foolish boasting. With an appeal to twisted sexual desires, they lure back into sin those who have barely escaped from a lifestyle of deception.”*

Can a Christian struggle with homosexual thoughts?

Yes, just like heterosexual Christian men can struggle with lustful thoughts about women or sinful desires, former homosexuals can struggle with sinful thoughts about the same sex. In fact, some former homosexuals remain single for life. There are some homosexuals who have been saved by Christ who are able to have strong affections for the opposite sex and there are some who after being saved never have those affections for the opposite sex. Sometimes singleness is a gift from God to be used of God.

33. Romans 3:23-24 *“For all have sinned and fall short of the glory of God, and all are justified freely by his grace through the redemption that came by Christ Jesus.”*

Should we bash homosexuals?

No, we must tell them that there is a way out in Jesus Christ. We must call them to repentance. Just like people who indulge in any sins many homosexuals are broken. Many Christians are broken, but Christ makes broken things beautiful. There is no permanent joy in the homosexual lifestyle. Just like any sin you might enjoy it for a moment, but then you go back more broken than before. When you are alone you fall deep into depression. You know you are not right with God. True everlasting joy and contentment is only found in Jesus Christ. We should not have a sign that says, “God hates fags” like the Westboro Baptist Church.

34. 2nd Timothy 2:24-26 *“And the Lord’s servant must not be quarrelsome but kind to everyone, able to teach, patiently enduring evil, correcting his opponents with gentleness. God may perhaps grant them repentance leading to a knowledge of the truth, and they may*

come to their senses and escape from the snare of the devil, after being captured by him to do his will.”

Can a person who has been a homosexual all of their life be saved?

If Jesus can save a murderous man like Paul who killed many Christians, He can save you. Don't ever think that Jesus can't save you if He has saved the worst and the most hardened homosexuals and sinners of all sorts. He is able.

35. 1st Timothy 1:15-16 *“Here is a trustworthy saying that deserves full acceptance: Christ Jesus came into the world to save sinners—of whom I am the worst. But for that very reason I was shown mercy so that in me, the worst of sinners, Christ Jesus might display his immense patience as an example for those who would believe in him and receive eternal life.”*

Bonus: If you are a homosexual reading this, please humble yourself. Grace is offered to homosexuals if they repent. You can be rescued by Jesus. Your chains can be broken today.

Isaiah 56:3-5 *“Let no foreigner who is bound to the Lord say, “The Lord will surely exclude me from his people.” And let no eunuch complain, “I am only a dry tree.” For this is what the Lord says: “To the eunuchs who keep my Sabbaths, who choose what pleases me and hold fast to my covenant—to them I will give within my temple and its walls a memorial and a name better than sons and daughters; I will give them an everlasting name that will endure forever.”*

Here are some beautiful words from a former homosexual.

“I struggle with same-sex attractions, but when I struggle I look to the cross and see Jesus hanging there it makes me want to fight. If Jesus sacrificed His life for me to go to Heaven, then I can sacrifice this sinful lifestyle for Him.”

In conclusion.

Although homosexuality is not the worst sin it is sinful nonetheless, it is an abomination to God, and there is no way around it. No one who lives in a continuous lifestyle of sin and rebellion will enter into Heaven. Christians are made new with new desires to obey God. There is hope for anyone who turns from their sin and puts their trust in Christ. Love is telling someone you're about to fall off the cliff. Hate is allowing someone to fall off the cliff without saying a thing.

Pastor Bob,
EvanTeachr@aol.com